

Dear Mrs Smith,

APP/14/01184: Development site at Portsdown Hill, west of Glebe Park Avenue and north of Bedhampton Hill, Havant: Discharge of Condition No's 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 and 17 of Planning Permission APP/14/00232

Thank you for your consultation. I believe that my colleague David Hopkins has already advised that we would have no objection to the discharge of Condition 2 in relation to the above application. In relation to Condition 3, I would draw your attention to the Archaeological Evaluation Report – Condition 3 which is included among the documentation attached to the above application on your website. This report concludes in paragraphs 7.3 and 7.4 that:

'7.3 No archaeological remains were present in any of the trenches. The chalk natural generally allows good visibility of archaeological material and it is highly unlikely that any remains were present but overlooked.

7.4. Based on these results it appears highly unlikely that further fieldwork will be considered necessary on the site in order to discharge the planning condition.'

I would concur with these conclusions and would agree that, on the basis of these negative results, no further archaeological work will be required in connection with this development. I would therefore have no objection to the discharge of Condition 3 as no programme of archaeological mitigation is now required.

If you have any further queries then please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely,

Neil J. Adam BA ACIfA

Senior Archaeologist
Hampshire County Council
Strategic Environment Delivery Group
Economy, Transport and Environment Department
Elizabeth II Court West,
The Castle
Winchester
SO23 8UD
Tel: 01962 832337