

Michelle Houghton

From: Daphney Haywood
Sent: 11 June 2015 12:43
To: bst shared
Subject: FW: APP/15/00478 Dunsbury Hill Farm, Park Lane, Cowplain, Waterlooville-
agents response re ecology for meridio and web please

Daphney Haywood
Havant Borough Council,
Public Service Plaza,
Civic Centre Road,
Havant,
Hampshire.
PO9 2AX

Web: www.havant.gov.uk

email: daphney.haywood@havant.gov.uk

Direct Phone: 023 9244 6513

Please note my usual work days are Monday, Wednesday and Thursday

From: Brewer, James [<mailto:James.Brewer@portsmouthcc.gov.uk>]
Sent: 11 June 2015 12:39
To: Daphney Haywood
Cc: 'Joanne Jones'
Subject: RE: APP/15/00478 Dunsbury Hill Farm, Park Lane, Cowplain, Waterlooville

Hi Daphney

In Jo's absence, I have provided comments below in red against Tristan's comments.

Any queries, please let me know.

Thanks
James

James Brewer
Principal Planner (Projects Team)
City Development & Cultural Services
Portsmouth City Council
T: 023 9283 4825

From: Daphney Haywood [<mailto:Daphney.Haywood@havant.gov.uk>]
Sent: 11 June 2015 12:25
To: 'Joanne Jones'

Cc: Brewer, James

Subject: FW: APP/15/00478 Dunsbury Hill Farm, Park Lane, Cowplain, Waterlooville

Joanne

Please see below the comments from the ecologist. Can you please clarify the matters raised?

Best regards

Daphney Haywood
Havant Borough Council,
Public Service Plaza,
Civic Centre Road,
Havant,
Hampshire.
PO9 2AX

Web: www.havant.gov.uk

email: daphney.haywood@havant.gov.uk

Direct Phone: 023 9244 6513

Please note my usual work days are Monday, Wednesday and Thursday

From: Norton, Tristan [<mailto:Tristan.Norton@hants.gov.uk>]

Sent: 11 June 2015 12:03

To: Daphney Haywood

Cc: Planning and Development Shared Mailbox

Subject: APP/15/00478 Dunsbury Hill Farm, Park Lane, Cowplain, Waterlooville

Dear Daphney,

APP/15/00478 Discharge of Condition No/s A1, A2, A3, C2 and C3 of Planning Permission APP/12/00338. Dunsbury Hill Farm, Park Lane, Cowplain, Waterlooville

Thank you for consulting me on this application. Apologies for the length of the following response – as discussed, it's complex and I feel it is important to clearly state exactly what is being discussed.

For clarification, it is my understanding that this application for discharge of conditions relates primarily to the works associated with the spine road and associated works, although includes broad measures for the wider development plots (i.e. incorporates both full and outline parts of the extant permission). There is still a requirement to submit ecological information (to be informed by ecological survey as appropriate) for each remaining phase of development (extending for many years to come). The submitted letter from Peter Brett Associates dated 1st May 2015 does not explicitly state the extent of works for which this condition discharge is being sought: given the significant ecological constraints at this site it is, in my opinion, important to establish exactly what is being determined. **JB/PCC Correct, the submission centres on the construction of the access link road, with each reserved matters application to follow needing to pick up ecological issues, using the 'masterplan' as a guide.**

I am also assuming that the overall Masterplan for the site (currently on revision F) will inevitably change and so there will undoubtedly be a need for the applicant to again submit amended details of ecological mitigation, to reflect both a revised development scheme but also any significant findings from ongoing ecological surveys. Any discharge of ecology-related conditions for the wider application site should therefore be clearly qualified so as to secure the requirement to amend details (such as layout, design, landscaping) and reflect the latest ecological findings: in essence, whilst the submitted landscaping strategy as of June 2015 may be acceptable in broad terms the finer details (informed by evidence) must be provided at the requisite development stage. JB/PCC - Correct - the strategy submitted is a guide to be used to inform future survey works as development across the site progresses.

Recent studies associated with other development sites have highlighted the importance of this local landscape to the rare Bechstein's bat and, as our knowledge has increased, it has become clear that standard survey methods (as used in previous works here) are simply not suited to establishing this species' presence at a site. I would expect any future bat surveys at this site to make use of upcoming new guidance on appropriate survey methods for this bat species (i.e. that trapping surveys are the only reliable method of identification) and I would anticipate that should the site be shown to be used by Bechstein's bats then amendments will be needed to mitigation measures. I would also strongly recommend that, if not already underway, surveys for Bechstein's bats are commenced at the earliest opportunity so as to provide an early 'heads-up' to any substantial constraints (e.g. an on-site maternity colony would be sufficient to require non-development of a particular area and the provision of significant mitigation land). The potential for Bechstein's bat to occur was raised in previous advice on this site in 2013. JB/PCC - I will pass this onto Chloe to ensure that future bat survey work takes into account the new guidance once it has been produced. It may be prudent to wait until this guidance has been produced to carry out additional surveys as work on the road progresses to ensure this survey work encompasses the new methods.

I would ask whether the submitted Landscape Management Plan (Terra Firma, April 2015) has been reviewed by an ecologist – there may (or may not) be incompatibilities between standard landscaping regimes and the requirements for non-managed areas containing significant ecological constraints. Some comment from the ecologist on the submitted lighting strategy would also be useful. JB/PCC - Chloe Delgery (Atkins) is the retained ecologist working for PCC on the DHF site and Chloe has provided comments and input into the Landscape management Plan produced by TerraFirma, and these comments were reflected in the Plan that was submitted.

I also note that the March 2014 Atkins Habitat Management & Maintenance Plan is not actually appended to the Landscape Management Plan nor does it appear in the list of application documents. The Atkins report (available via the original application) is also a working document (complete with multiple track changes) which one would assume has been amended in the last year or more: it would be useful to see the latest iteration. JB/PCC I shall forward the latest iteration as referred to in the Plan to Daphney and Tristan.

In summary, whilst the broad landscape measures for the site are acceptable, it is important to qualify any permission with the need to inform all phases of development through updating ecological survey. There is some clarification needed at this stage on the lighting strategy and whether an ecologist has seen the submitted landscape management plan. JB/PCC - as mentioned above, Chloe has seen and had input into the Landscape Management Plan. The site wide strategy provided is an evolving document which will continually be updated as works on site progress, both for the access link road and as reserved matters plots come forward.

I hope the above is useful – if you have any queries please don't hesitate to contact me.

Kind regards,

Tristan

Tristan Norton

**Senior Ecologist
Ecology Team**

Specialist Environment Services
Economy, Transport and Environment Department
Hampshire County Council
Elizabeth II Court West
The Castle, Winchester
Hampshire SO23 8UD
Direct Line: 01962 832335
E-mail: tristan.norton@hants.gov.uk; www.hants.gov.uk/biodiversity

 Please consider the environment before printing this email.

Please note that this advice is given in accordance with the Service Level Agreement that has been signed between Hampshire County Council and your Council. These comments are expressed as a professional view provided to Havant Borough Council and should not, therefore, be interpreted as those of Hampshire County Council.

*** This email, and any attachments, is strictly confidential and may be legally privileged. It is intended only for the addressee. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or other use of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please contact the sender. Any request for disclosure of this document under the Data Protection Act 1998 or Freedom of Information Act 2000 should be referred to the sender. [disclaimer id: HCCStdDisclaimerExt] ***

Information in this message is confidential and may be privileged. It is intended solely for the person to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender and delete the message from your system immediately.

Do it quicker online: is your family new to Portsmouth? Register children for school admissions [here](#):

This email is for the intended recipient(s) only.

If you have received this email due to an error in addressing, transmission or for any other reason, please reply to it and let the author know. If you are not the intended recipient, you must not use, disclose, distribute, copy or print it.

This email may be monitored, read, recorded and/or kept by Portsmouth

City Council. Email monitoring and blocking software may be used.
