

41-49 Frogmore Lane APP/15/00228

Richard with regard to the above application I have the following comments:

The supporting arboricultural evidence is comprehensive although generic in bulk content and outlined in the following points:

Some tree loss G1 and G3 containing cypress, Acacia and fruit trees is required to facilitate this development. The trees proposed for removal are all of low value and grading and some could have been removed for sound arboricultural reasons regardless of any redevelopment proposals.

From a review of the Tree Protection Plan (TPP) there are a number of trees shown as replacements which should adequately mitigate the loss of any current trees from this site. These trees must be substantial in size – Standards and of a species to be agreed via condition with HBC Arb.

Provided that the methodology prescribed in the AMS & TPP report is conditioned strictly adhered to and the site monitoring exercise is undertaken I would expect the retained trees to survive the development unscathed and to continue to grow on in the future.

Therefore I have no objections to this scheme in arboricultural terms.

Thanks
J

Jamie Gargett MSc MArborA
Arboriculturalist