

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 27 January 2014 22:21
To: Planning Mailbox Account
Subject: Your Ref: W19499/28, Your Case No: 14/00068/REM

f.a.o. **Mrs Jill Lee**

Following comments are written in my role as Footpath Secretary of the local, SE Hants, Ramblers Group and are offered as formal comments from the Ramblers.

I have, today, just obtained a copy of the Case above and hope that I still meet your deadline of
14th January + 14 days.

My comment relates to the words, in the proposal box, (THIS APPLICATION MAY AFFECT THE SETTING OF A PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY)

I have not been able to download an appropriate map of the proposed development area but I am assuming that the only Public R of W possible is **Denmead Footpath 1**

I have been actively involved with Representations regarding Paths within the SE corner of the MDA and my discussion with the relevant Planning Officer (based in Havant) have latterly centred around the need to retain a **rural aspect** for the strategic, longer distance, Footpaths where they track out of the Urban / residential environment into distinctly rural, greened areas. It is hoped that a common approach for the whole MDA can be agreed.

Denmead Footpath 1 is a prime example of such a strategic Footpath and I propose that this, Definitive Map based, Footpath is retained in a rural state (suitably cleared) and the link to the contiguous **Southwick & Widley Footpath 29 and 30 (western end)** is sympathetically blended in with and their Footpath status retained. This blending should address design / width and material / surface parameters

[REDACTED]

Click [here](#) to report this email as spam.