

Information regarding Ownership and Rights of Way provided in email dated 6.1.14

For the avoidance of doubt, I attach updated lists of notices sent for each application.

For clarification - see below:

NO. 7

- The owner of no. 5 shares a party wall with the applicant's site, and has a right of way across land in the ownership of no 7. - Notice issued.

- The owners of no. 9 shares a party wall with the applicant's site. - Notice issued.

NO. 9

- The owner of no. 7 shares a party wall with the applicant's site. - Notice issued.

- The owner of no. 11 shares a party wall with the applicant's site. - Notice issued.

- No. 7 enjoys the benefit of a right of way to their site across land in the ownership of No. 11.
- Notice issued,

- No. 6 The Pallant does not have any rights across the applicant's land and has no party walls. - Courtesy notice issued.

- No. 4 North street does not have any established rights and has no party walls. - Courtesy notice issued.

- The public house on the corner of north street does not have any rights across the applicant's land and has no party walls. There are, however, some external chillers installed on the applicant's land which are currently under dispute - not affecting this application. - No notice issued therefore.

Further information provided in email dated 9.1.14

I have checked the various deeds and must now confirm that no. 5 does NOT enjoy any rights of way/access across either no. 7 or no. 9 land. Indeed there is a wall on the north west boundary between no. 7 and no. 9.