
Site Address: 34 Manor Close, Havant, PO9 1BD
Proposal: Fell 1No. Cypress; Fell 2No. Pittosporum; Crown reduce by 1.5m and reshape 2No. Cherry; Crown reduce by 1m and reshape 2No. Bay. All within St Faiths Conservation Area.
Application No: APP/14/00406
Applicant: Mr A Tomasso
Agent:
Ward: St Faiths

Expiry Date: 27/05/2014
Team: Team 1
Case Officer: Jamie Gargett

Recommendation: **RAISE NO OBJECTION**

1 Site Description

Garden area to a residential property converted to a Vet Practice.

2 Planning History

00/00000/000

3 Proposal

Fell 1No. Cypress; Fell 2No. Pittosporum; Crown reduce by 1.5m and reshape 2No. Cherry; Crown reduce by 1m and reshape 2No. Bay. All within St Faiths Conservation Area.

4 Policy Considerations

Core Strategies 2011 forming part of the HBLDF
DM8 (Conservation, Protection and Enhancement of Existing Natural Features)

Listed Building Grade: Not applicable.
Conservation Area: St Faiths

5 Statutory and Non Statutory Consultations

Conservation Officer
Landscape Team - No objection

6 Community Involvement

This application was publicised in accordance with the Council's Code of Practice for Publicity of Planning Applications approved at minute 207/6/92 (as amended), as a result of which the following publicity was undertaken:

Number of neighbour notification letters sent: 9

Number of site notices: 1

Statutory advertisement: Not applicable.

Number of representations received: 0

7 Planning Considerations

- 7.1 Having regard to the relevant policies of the development plan it is considered that the main issues arising from this application are:

My inspection related specifically to assessing the proposed works rather than generally inspecting the tree's health and condition in any great detail. I visually inspected the trees from ground level and the following report deals with the relevant issues that have been raised on site, in the application form and from my site observations.

The pruning works to the trees listed in this Section 211 notification are considered reasonable and as such there is no requirement to place a TPO on the trees.

The trees outlined for felling are associated with cracking to the wall constituting what is considered to be actionable nuisance in law, this automatically removes them from the eligibility for a TPO.

As such this S211 is considered reasonable;e practice in this instance.

8 Conclusion

Recommend RNO.

9 RECOMMENDATION:

That the Executive Head of Planning and Built Environment be authorised to **RAISE NO OBJECTION** for application APP/14/00406