

Karen Wooden

From: David Eaves
Sent: 18 December 2013 13:28
To: bst shared
Subject: FW: Re: The White Hart, Havant APP/13/01066

Hi

Please add the following email to Web and Meridio as Agents further details

Thanks

David

From: ko@tpexpert.org [<mailto:ko@tpexpert.org>]
Sent: 06 December 2013 09:17
To: David Eaves
Subject: Fwd: Re: The White Hart, Havant APP/13/01066

Answers below

please advise if you wish this to be set out in a letter and if you require amended plans

Keith

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Max Holmes <max@conceptdp.co.uk>
Date: Fri Dec 06 2013 09:06:34 GMT+0000 (GMT Standard Time)
Subject: Re: The White Hart, Havant APP/13/01066
To: ko@tpexpert.org

These were the clients answers.

We can update drawings.

Further to my site visit yesterday with Barry Milizia (unfortunately we were unable to gain access to the building and I will therefore have to revisit tomorrow) a number of further matters were identified which need clarifying, could you therefore provide more details on the points below:

1. The plans indicate separate areas for the adult gaming centre and the bingo hall. I understand from Barry that the bingo use can effectively take place in both areas (would the slot machines be limited to the front area only). Please clarify and amend the proposed floor plan accordingly. **Bingo can take place in both areas, however, the main concentration for slot machines will be in what is currently the main bar area. There will be a few machines in what is the current function room.**
2. It is understood that your client will be applying for a bingo licence and this will allow a number of slot machines to also be provided. Please clarify the number of bingo players and slot machines proposed. **There will be approximately 60 bingo terminals between the two areas (some will be in fixed seating and some in tablet form). We would be permitted to have up to 60 slot machines but we will limit them to 30 – 35.**
3. It was understood that a ramp is proposed to the rear entrance to the lobby area. This would be encouraged from a disability point of view. If this is the case please provide an amended ground floor plan to show this. **The ramp should be provided for access to the side entrance.**
4. In the DAS, it is stated in 4.3 that 'there would be no background music', Barry stated that there would be background music, please could you clarify the position. **We would expect to install TVs and background music.**
5. The DAS states in 4.8 that 'No external alterations are proposed'... having carried out the external site inspection and considered the plans further, this does not appear to be the case. The south elevation to East Street contains a door and lobby at present. This is shown to be removed on the proposed floor plan. The plans also show the corner door being re-opened with a different pair of side hung doors. Having spoken to Barry it would appear that these changes may not in fact be required. In my view it would be preferable to retain openings as existing, please clarify. **I did agree that we could keep the existing openings.**

In relation to the determination of the application, as you are probably aware there have been a large number of objections to the application. If planning permission is recommended under the Council's new constitution it will be necessary to contact the Councillors for St Faiths ward to advise them of the recommendation and for them to determine (with the agreement of the Chairman of the Development Management Committee) whether they wish the application to be determined at Committee or under delegated powers. If a committee determination is necessary I would anticipate taking the application to the 2nd January committee.

Please could you respond to the above points to enable the application to move towards a determination as soon as possible.

Regards

David Eaves
Senior Planning Officer