

Michelle Houghton

From: Daphney Haywood
Sent: 14 November 2013 14:53
To: bst shared
Subject: FW: APP/13/00639 Land north of Station Road/East of Furniss Way, West Town, Hayling Island 13.0759HCC Ecology comment

From: Norton, Tristan [mailto:Tristan.Norton@hants.gov.uk]
Sent: 14 November 2013 13:14
To: Daphney Haywood
Cc: Planning and Development Shared Mailbox
Subject: APP/13/00639 Land north of Station Road/East of Furniss Way, West Town, Hayling Island 13.0759

Hi Daphney,

APP/13/00639 Outline Planning permission for mixed-used development comprising up to 60 dwellings and 1,250 sq.m of light-industrial accommodation (Use Class B1) Land north of Station Road/East of Furniss Way, West Town, Hayling Island 13.0759

Many thanks for consulting me on this application.

As discussed in our meeting held at HBC's offices on 15th August and via subsequent conversations, I consider that the mitigation strategy proposed (Brent Goose Mitigation Strategy Addendum, Tyler Grange, 17th October 2013) (which was influenced in no small part by the detailed discussions between the applicant, HBC, HCC and NE on 15th August 2013 plus subsequent discussions) is a sensible and pragmatic scenario which provides deliverable mitigation for the identified impacts – both Natural England and I considered the mitigation proposed to be perfectly reasonable. The two key threads of the mitigation strategy – the incorporation of H34D into arable use (effectively adding it to H34E) and the enhancement of H34C through boundary security – will provide both replacement habitat for that being lost to the development as well as offering enhancement (in terms of secure boundaries) to an adjacent important Brent goose site. This would seem to be a sound result which, on balance, provides a sensible outcome. Agreed, there will not be a like-for-like replacement in terms of area (2.5 Ha lost to development, 1.5 Ha provided) but it was discussed and agreed at our meeting on 15th August that the two-pronged approach to mitigation here (plus developer contributions through SDMP) was robust. The additional boundary strengthening of H34C will, it is expected, ensure that birds utilising that field are subjected to fewer and less frequent disturbance events than at present. This would seem to be a perfectly rational assumption.

The issue of crop rotation was discussed at our meeting. The applicant stated that to the best of his knowledge the existing farmer (there is apparently a single farmer responsible for the overwhelming bulk of agricultural land on Hayling) employs a crop rotation system (winter wheat every other year, with other economically-viable crops in intervening years) and had done so for many years. I do not see it as the applicant's role to attempt to influence the agricultural practices of local landowners in perpetuity: the mitigation proposed should seek to address, as far as is reasonably practicable, the identified impacts of the proposed development. If my understanding is correct and the winter of 2012/13 is the first winter in 19 years in which geese have not been seen on H34E, then this would suggest that the geese are not overly concerned with the existing crop rotation and that this is less of an issue to geese than disturbance.

In this case, the combined approach of providing a chunk of 'new' arable farmland (albeit not an exact like for like area, but nevertheless at least contiguous with the application site and thus more ecologically-coherent than off-site mitigation), increased security for an adjacent site and contributions to the SDMP Phase III scheme (when implemented by HBC) is a useful outcome – this is, I would argue, a perfectly sensible and above-all, realistic approach to ecological mitigation.

There is a wider issue here in that there is at present no coherent, strategic approach to mitigation for the loss of brent goose/wader supporting habitat – this applies to all coastal district authorities in Hampshire. The SDMP provides a good example of how such a strategic approach can provide a suitable framework for planning authorities, developers, conservation bodies and commercial ecologists – it is only to be hoped that a similar approach can be taken towards habitat loss, rather than continuing with a site-by-site approach which inevitably results in unnecessarily complicated mitigation proposals.

Please don't hesitate to contact me if you have any queries.

Kind regards,

Tristan

Tristan Norton

Senior Ecologist

Ecology Team

Strategic Environmental Delivery Group,
Economy, Transport and Environment Department

Hampshire County Council

Elizabeth II Court West

The Castle, Winchester

Hampshire SO23 8UD

Direct Line: 01962 832335

Mobile: 07885 594878

E-mail: tristan.norton@hants.gov.uk; www.hants.gov.uk/biodiversity

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

Please note that this advice is given in accordance with the Service Level Agreement that has been signed between Hampshire County Council and your Council. These comments are expressed as a professional view provided to Havant Borough Council and should not, therefore, be interpreted as those of Hampshire County Council.

From: Daphney Haywood [<mailto:Daphney.Haywood@havant.gov.uk>]

Sent: 07 October 2013 12:19

To: 'Thompson, Simon (NE)'; Norton, Tristan

Subject:

Hi

Please see attached response from the rspb

They are not satisfied with the details/level of mitigation - your comments would be much appreciated.

kind regards

Daphney Haywood
Havant BC

This message (and any associated files) is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is confidential, subject to copyright or constitutes a trade secret. If you are not the intended recipient you are hereby notified that any dissemination, copying or distribution of this message, or files associated with this message, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer. Messages sent to and from us may be monitored.

Internet communications cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error-free as information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, arrive late or incomplete, or contain viruses. Therefore, we do not accept responsibility for any errors or omissions that are present in this message, or any attachment, that have arisen as a result of e-mail transmission. If verification is required, please request a hard-copy version. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the council.

You can follow Havant Borough on [twitter](#) & [facebook](#)

*** This email, and any attachments, is strictly confidential and may be legally privileged. It is intended only for the addressee. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or other use of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please contact the sender. Any request for disclosure of this document under the Data Protection Act 1998 or Freedom of Information Act 2000 should be referred to the sender. [disclaimer id: HCCStdDisclaimerExt] ***