

Denise Sheath

From: Stephen Cantwell
Sent: 24 June 2013 15:50
To: bst shared
Subject: APP/13/00317 Yacht Haven, Copse Lane, Hayling Island. Archaeological consultation response.
Attachments: DocConsult01.pdf

Hi

Pl log to meridio.

thanks

Stephen Cantwell
Havant Borough Council
Development Control Team Leader
(02392) 446509

From: Fluck, Hannah [mailto:Hannah.Fluck@hants.gov.uk]
Sent: 24 June 2013 15:44
To: Planning and Development Shared Mailbox
Cc: Stephen Cantwell
Subject: Application APP/13/00317 Yacht Haven Development Site, Copse Lane, Hayling Island. Archaeological consultation response.

Dear Mr Cantwell,

Re: APP/13/00317 Yacht Haven Development Site, Copse Lane, Hayling Island.

As the applicant identifies in their Environmental Statement this development is within an archaeologically sensitive area. Hayling Island and the harbours either side are known to have been a focus of prehistoric activity from the Mesolithic onwards. The presence of an Iron Age hill fort at Tournurbury to the south and a Roman temple to the west indicate the importance of this area in later prehistory and Roman times. It is likely that salt production was an important industry at this time and it is possible that remains associated with this are present within the site. The nature of the changes to the coastline mean that we don't know at what depth the relevant archaeological deposits would be but certainly for the earlier prehistoric periods it is possible that archaeology could be within superficial geology. The archaeological section of the Environmental Statement refers to information from non-archaeological test pits being used to determine the survival of archaeologically rich deposits. It states that material of archaeological value is most likely to be found with topsoil, this is simply not true. It states that only made ground and silty clay was found, these are terms used in the geotechnical report. In geotechnical terms archaeology is made ground, in addition as discussed above the silty clays could be of archaeological interest for earlier prehistory, therefore the geotechnical//contamination boreholes cannot be used to dismiss the archaeological potential. I would advise that while archaeology is unlikely to present an overriding concern there is a potential for previously unidentified archaeological remains of local or regional significance to be present within the development area that would be impacted by the proposed development works. The assessment, investigation and recording of any archaeological deposits should be secured through the attachment of a suitable phased condition to any planning consent that may be granted. For instance:

1) That no development shall take place until the applicant has secured the implementation of a programme of archaeological evaluation in accordance with a Written Scheme of Investigation that has been submitted to and approved by the Planning Authority.

Reason: To assess the extent, nature and date of any archaeological deposits that might be present and the impact of the development upon these heritage assets.

2) That no development shall take place until the applicant has secured the implementation of a programme of archaeological mitigation of impact in accordance with a Written Scheme of Investigation that has been submitted to and approved by the Planning Authority.

Reason: To mitigate the effect of the works associated with the development upon any heritage assets and to ensure that information regarding these heritage assets is preserved by record for future generations.

3) Following completion of archaeological fieldwork a report will be produced in accordance with an approved programme including where appropriate post-excavation assessment, specialist analysis and reports, publication and public engagement.

Reason: To contribute to our knowledge and understanding of our past by ensuring that opportunities are taken to capture evidence from the historic environment and to make this publicly available.

In the first instance this should take the form of an archaeological field assessment, probably in the form of trenching or trial pits. The results of this will inform the nature and extent of any further mitigation that might be required. This fieldwork will need to be undertaken ahead of the commencement of any groundworks.

Should you or the applicant have any questions please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours Sincerely,

Dr Hannah Fluck
Senior Archaeologist

Strategic Environmental Delivery Group,
Economy, Transport and Environment Department
Elizabeth II Court West,
The Castle,
Winchester SO23 8UD

Tel: 01962 832337; HPSN 8 200 2337; Fax. 01962 832117

 **Please consider the environment before printing this email.**

From: Historic Environment

Sent: 17 June 2013 10:40

To: Fluck, Hannah

Subject: FW: Planning Application APP/13/00317 Yacht Haven Development Site, Copse Lane, Hayling Island

From: planning.development@havant.gov.uk [mailto:planning.development@havant.gov.uk]

Sent: 14 June 2013 11:00

To: Historic Environment

Subject: Planning Application APP/13/00317 Yacht Haven Development Site, Copse Lane, Hayling Island

This email is a consultation request regarding the subject planning application, reference APP/13/00317.

The attached letter contains contact details should you wish to discuss the application with the Case Officer.

To see the details of the application and associated documents on the Havant Borough Council website, follow

[this link.](#)

or

<http://www5.havant.gov.uk/ACOLNETDCOnline/acolnetcgi.gov?ACTION=UNWRAP&RIPNAME=Root.PgeResultDetail&TheSystemkey=229891>

If you require any further information please email planning.development@havant.gov.uk or telephone (023) 02392 446509.

For Chris Murray

Services Manager (Planning Development)