

**Application No. APP/12/00824**

**Address: The Mews, Langstone High Street, Havant PO9 1SL**

**COMMENTS:**

This proposal is for the discharge of conditions 2, 3 and 4 of planning permission APP/12/00193 which for ease of reference are set out below. My response to each is set out in blue.

- 2 Construction of the extension hereby permitted shall not commence until **samples and details of all external facing and roofing materials**, the latter of which shall comprise hand made clay tiles, shall be submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority.

Thereafter only such approved materials and finishes shall be used in carrying out the development.

**Reason:** To ensure the appearance of the development is satisfactory and having due regard to policies CS11 and CS16 of the Havant Borough Core Strategy 2011 which form part of the Local Development Framework and The National Planning Policy Framework, March 2012.

No samples submitted which is very clearly the purpose of the condition – see section highlighted in bold. Brick and tile samples required. Key is to get a good match to the boundary wall. In the absence of samples this condition **can not** be discharged.

- 3 Prior to the commencement of work, a Method Statement setting out the precautions to be undertaken to protect the historic boundary wall throughout the process of dismantling the present structures and construction of the permitted replacement, shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The method statement shall be compiled by a party with acknowledged expertise in the conservation and repair of historic buildings and structures. All work shall be carried out in full accordance with the approved Method Statement.

**Reason:** To ensure the retention of the boundary wall and having due regard to policies CS11 and CS16 of the Havant Borough Core Strategy 2011 which form part of the Local Development Framework and The National Planning Policy Framework, March 2012.

The Method Statement is broadly satisfactory. However, I advise two amendments which will aid implementation of the works without unnecessary delay later in the process.

Insert new para between 3.0 and 4.0 to read:

3.0a "All repointing to be carried out in strict accordance with the methodology set out the Hampshire County Council publication 'Repointing' (page 9) - see [http://www3.hants.gov.uk/59544\\_re-pointing.pdf](http://www3.hants.gov.uk/59544_re-pointing.pdf)

Paras 4.0 and 6.0 (exact mix to be agreed in writing with Conservation Officer)  
This element could be retained BUT it would be sensible to undertake a mortar analysis now to determine the appropriate mix which could then be included in the Method Statement. Number of parties could undertake this work – nearest probably The Lime Centre, nr Winchester [www.thelimecentre.co.uk](http://www.thelimecentre.co.uk) I note James Webb has been involved in the production of the document. He will be familiar with this work and what is required



- 4 Notwithstanding the provisions of any Town and Country Planning General Permitted Development Order, no ducts or flues shall be erected to the extension hereby permitted without the prior written approval of the Local Planning Authority.  
**Reason:** To ensure the appearance of the development is satisfactory and having due regard to policies CS11 and CS16 of the Havant Borough Core Strategy 2011 which form part of the Local Development Framework and The National Planning Policy Framework, March 2012.  
This is a precautionary condition. If no flues proposed no action required.

More work to do!!

John Townsend  
Conservation Consultant  
10/08/12