

Michelle Houghton

From: Rachael Hebden
Sent: 19 March 2013 13:42
To: bst shared
Subject: FW: 8-10 Park Way, Havant

Importance: High

Please add to meridio for APP/12/01270 as 'additional EH response'

Thanks

From: Michael Smith
Sent: 18 March 2013 08:19
To: Rachael Hebden
Subject: RE: 8-10 Park Way, Havant
Importance: High

Dear Rachael,

My apologies for the delay in coming back to you and the applicant. I have put together some comments as requested.

From what I can see, the consultant and I agree that BS4142 is not designed for this type of scenario. Where we disagree, or perhaps have misunderstood each other is the concept of how the applicant has calculated their SEL figure. I made the point in my original comments that it appeared to me that the noisiest activity of loading and unloading the delivery was excluded from the applicant's calculations. I also questioned that the activity of loading/unloading is not a single noise event, but a series of multiple loud noises which take place over the period of the delivery. I questioned whether if these events had been included whether only one event or multiple events were taken into account when the applicant has come up with their figures. The applicant has however not answered this question. Nonetheless, we agree that BS4142 is not appropriate for this type of event as the applicant correctly points out, a delivery cannot arrive and complete the delivery in a 5 minute period. A delivery taking place at 5 am could last for a considerable period of time over which there will be a series of noisy events taking place as the delivery unloads. The methodology is therefore not appropriate.

Regardless of the disagreement about the calculations, I would reiterate that I stood in front of the houses in Cross Way which back onto Aldi's delivery area while a delivery was taking place. Even stood in the road further away from the delivery area with the properties between me and Aldi I could clearly hear the noise of a delivery being unloaded at 1pm in the afternoon, when background traffic is higher than it would be at between 5 and 7am. I therefore quickly came to the conclusion that a delivery taking place at this early hour would have an unacceptable impact on local residents. I would add that I also spoke to staff at the Aldi store who confirmed that there is no operational reason at store level for a delivery to take place before 7am.

Therefore, I see no grounds to change my objection to this application.

Regards...Mike

Mike Smith
Environmental Health
Havant Borough Council

Ground Floor - Block A
Public Service Plaza | Civic Centre Road | Havant | PO9 2AX
Ext: 446678
Email: michael.smith@havant.gov.uk

From: Rachael Hebden
Sent: 07 March 2013 10:32
To: Michael Smith
Subject: FW: 8-10 Park Way, Havant

From: Dan Templeton [mailto:dtempleton@turleyassociates.co.uk]
Sent: 06 March 2013 18:10
To: Rachael Hebden
Subject: FW: 8-10 Park Way, Havant

Dear Rachel,

Further to our discussion and the comments from your EHO colleague, please find attached our response below. I wonder if the most expeditious route is to invite the respective experts to discuss this direct? Would you be able to facilitate such a discussion tomorrow morning?

Yours sincerely,

Dan Templeton.

From: Nick Davey [mailto:nickdavey@entranltd.co.uk]
Sent: 06 March 2013 17:42
To: Dan Templeton
Subject: RE: 8-10 Park Way, Havant

Dan,

Please find a response below from our acoustics team:

There is some misunderstanding of the adopted method here. Firstly, with regard to the following comment:

Secondly and importantly, in table 5, the Applicant has only included the fields denoted in grey with an asterisk in their total night-time calculation. They have completely discounted, or I suspect deliberately ignored the activity of pallet unloading where there are numerous frequent and sudden loud noise incidents during a typical delivery, which would push any overall totals far higher than those calculated in the report.

It seems the EHO has apparently ignored, missed, or not understood the following statement which is contained within the report:

As the 05:00 to 07:00 application period falls within the night-time period defined in PPG24; the assessment has considered the impact of the activity over a 5-minute period, as set out in the night-time assessment methodology defined in BS 4142. It is assumed that the delivery vehicles cannot arrive, unload and depart in a five minute period, so the noisiest combination of likely operations is assessed, i.e. HGV arriving, reversing, door open/close and service bay access. As stated, it is assumed that only one vehicle arrives at the store during any five minute period

With regard to the EHO's computation; she demonstrates the mechanics of distance attenuation, but not the concept of an SEL (Single Event Level), which is effectively a 1-second Leq containing all the energy generated by a particular activity across a variable time period, such as the manoeuvring of an HGV. The correct process for converting this to a 5-min or 1-hour level is to consider this noise level across the whole period, prior to considering it as an Leq source

level i.e. anti-logging and dividing by 300 for 5-mins and 3600 for an hour. The report clearly states that the source levels are quoted as SELs.

The predictions are therefore considered robust, proper and undertaken in accordance with agreed standards and methodologies; therefore the criticism is not accepted and highlights a lack of understanding on the reviewer's part.

Please let me know if you need any further information.

Best regards,
Nick

Dr Nick Davey
Director

Tel: 0117 937 4077
Mob: 07816 961961
www.entranltd.com



12 Greenway Farm | Bath Road | Wick | Bristol | BS30 5RL

From: Dan Templeton [<mailto:dtempleton@turleyassociates.co.uk>]
Sent: 06 March 2013 16:07
To: Nick Davey
Subject: FW: 8-10 Park Way, Havant

Nick – please will you urgently review the attached and advise if there is any reasonable response for us at this stage?

Many thanks and regards,

Dan.

From: Rachael Hebden [<mailto:Rachael.Hebden@havant.gov.uk>]
Sent: 06 March 2013 15:51
To: Dan Templeton
Subject: 8-10 Park Way, Havant
Importance: High

Mr Templeton,

Re: 8-10 Park Way, Havant

Please find attached the Environmental Health officer's consultee response. In his response the EH officer raises a number of discrepancies with the submitted Noise Assessment. The EH officer was also able to visit the site when a delivery was taking place and he concludes that in his opinion the proposal would generate a loss of amenity to local residents. While entran can address the discrepancies within the report, I'm not convinced that this would enable the EH officer to come to remove his objection to the proposal. As we discussed earlier today, I'm therefore unable to offer my support to this application and will be recommending that it is refused.

The application deadline is 7th March, therefore if you want to withdraw the application please let me know by midday tomorrow.

Many thanks,

Rachael Hebden MRTPI
Planning Officer | Development Control

Havant Borough Council | Public Service Plaza
Civic Centre Road | Havant | Hampshire
PO9 2AX | t: 023 9244 6518

This message (and any associated files) is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is confidential, subject to copyright or constitutes a trade secret. If you are not the intended recipient you are hereby notified that any dissemination, copying or distribution of this message, or files associated with this message, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer. Messages sent to and from us may be monitored.

Internet communications cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error-free as information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, arrive late or incomplete, or contain viruses. Therefore, we do not accept responsibility for any errors or omissions that are present in this message, or any attachment, that have arisen as a result of e-mail transmission. If verification is required, please request a hard-copy version. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the council.

You can follow Havant Borough on [twitter](#) & [facebook](#)

_____ Information from ESET Smart Security, version of virus signature database 8084 (20130306)

_____ The message was checked by ESET Smart Security.

<http://www.eset.com>