STEPHEN JUPI
BA(Hons), LLM, MRTPI

CHARTERED TOWN PLANNER

B.O.H. REFUSE

Planning Department
Civic Offices
Havant
Hants
PO9 2AX

HAVANT BOROUGH COUNCIL
DEVELOPMENT CONTROL
16 JUL 2010
RECEIVED

Thursday, 15 July 2010

Dear Sir/Madam:

Re: Former St John Ambulance Headquarters, Convent Lane, Emsworth

Approval of reserved matters application

Construction of 1no single dwelling

I have the pleasure of enclosing my clients' reserved ammeters application for the above development.

Outline planning permission was granted on 22nd October 2008 under reference 08/58345/004.

Condition 2 specifies the required reserved matters submission as being *"the scale, layout and appearance of the proposed development"*.

There is one condition seeking to limit the scale of the proposed building. That is condition 4 which states:

> *"The dwelling hereby permitted shall be predominantly single storey with first floor accommodation contained entirely within the roofspace."*

I interpret this condition as allowing a chalet bungalow style development, but prohibiting raised eaves or dormer windows.

There is no condition limiting the footprint or height of the dwelling.

The submitted scheme has been developed on the basis of the above matters.

PLANNING APPLICATIONS .
AUTOCAD DRAWINGS.
GENERAL ADVICE.
OBJECTION LETTERS.
APPEALS.
SITE DEVELOPMENT APPRAISALS.
LOCAL PLAN SUBMISSIONS.
EXPERT PLANNING ADVICE.
SPECIALISING IN:
DEVELOPMENT CONTROL,
AGRICULTURE,
ENFORCEMENT AND
NATURE CONSERVATION LAW

PARTNERS:

STEPHEN PAUL JUPP
BA(Hons), LLM, MRTPI

LYNN ALEXANDRA JUPP

348 CHICHESTER ROAD
NORTH BERSTED
BOGNOR REGIS
WEST SUSSEX
PO21 5BX

Phone: 01243-822113

Mobile: 07777 618886

E-Mail:
steve@planning-solutions.co.uk
lynn@planning-solutions.co.uk

Web Site:
www.planning-solutions.co.uk

Member of the
Royal Town Planning Institute.

B.O.H.
REFUSE

The submitted scheme provides a well designed chalet bungalow which retains the 1m gap to boundaries as shown on the outline consent.

The main roof has half hips in order to minimise the overall bulk of the building and to reduce the perceived bulk for the terraced properties to the east. In this respect the projecting front portion has a fully hipped roof to ensure that the terraced properties are not adversely affected.

I have used the BRE guidance on daylight and sunlight and the 25 degree line form 2m above ground level for the property at #30 has been shown [see drawing 9]. This demonstrates that only a very small section of the top of the hipped roof will transgress this line. I consider the transgression to be extremely minor and not to give rise to an overbearing building or loss of sunlight.

For #26 - which looks onto the hipped roof of the garage part - it is clear that the ridge line is well within the allowable tolerance.

I therefore conclude that the proposed dwelling will not give rise to any material harm to the terraced properties to the west.

To the north there is a garage compound before any residential properties. There will therefore be no adverse impact upon properties.

To the east there is a well conceived scheme of 3 units. These are set some distance from the proposed chalet bungalow and have their orientation away from the site. The nearest property [#4 Convent Lane] has a dormer window which looks west across the front garden of the proposed dwelling. I therefore do not consider that they are adversely affected.

In the light of the foregoing I trust that officers will be able to support this reserved matters application.

Yours sincerely,

Stephen Jupp

STEPHEN JUPP
BA(Hons), LLM, MRTPI
Chartered Town Planner

HAVANT BOROUGH COUNCIL
DEVELOPMENT CONTROL
16 JUL 2010
RECEIVED

B.O.H.
REFUSE



View of terraced properties to the west



View of #4 Convent Lane to the east

HAVANT BOROUGH COUNCIL
DEVELOPMENT CONTROL
16 JUL 2010
RECEIVED

B.O.H.
REFUSE



View within site looking north towards garage compound

HAVANT BOROUGH COUNCIL
DEVELOPMENT CONTROL
16 JUL 2010
RECEIVED