

Dear Sir/Madam,

Re: Objection to Planning Application APP/21/01/01350

I am writing to formally object to the above planning application. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] I believe the proposal is fundamentally flawed on legal, highways, and safety grounds. My specific concerns are outlined below:

1. Flawed Legal Access

Billy Road is a private road, and the developer has not secured the necessary legal right of way to access this additional development. Furthermore, the developer has not approached The Oysters management to address this issue.

2. Alternative Public Access

Viable alternative access exists via Charleston Close or Furniss Way, both of which are Council-adopted roads. No justification has been provided for the proposed use of [REDACTED] narrow, winding private road. Billy Road is only 5.3–5.5 metres wide, whereas Furniss Way is 6.5 metres wide and far more suitable for accommodating additional traffic.

3. Unacceptable Traffic Burden

The proposed development would generate an estimated 143 additional daily car journeys, which is unsustainable and poses a severe safety risk. Billy Road is narrow and primarily serves a residential estate with many young families and children. The increased traffic would significantly compromise their safety.

4. Unfair Maintenance Costs

The application fails to address the financial burden of increased wear and tear

[REDACTED] This would unfairly shift the cost of repairs and maintenance onto existing residents, which is unacceptable.

5. Havant Local Plan and Flood Risk

It is understood that the Havant Local Plan discourages further development on Hayling Island due to flood risks and the strain on existing infrastructure. This proposal appears to contravene these guidelines.

In conclusion, without a clear and legally sound plan to resolve the issues of access, safety, and maintenance funding, I urge the Council to reject this application.



