

To Whom it may concern,

I formally object to planning application

APP/21/01350. [REDACTED] the aforementioned proposal is fundamentally flawed on legal and highways grounds.

1. Flawed legal access: Billy road is a private road. The developer has not secured the necessary legal right of way to access for this additional development. The developer has not approached the management of the Oysters to discuss this matter.
2. Unfair maintenance costs: the plan fails to provide any funding or provision for the significant increase in wear and tear [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
3. Alternative public access: viable alternative access exists via Charleston close or Furniss Way (council adopted roads). No justification has been provided for proposing to use [REDACTED] road. Furnished Way is over a metre wider and eminently more suitable for construction traffic and that of the increased traffic burden the new development would pose.
4. Location and Drainage: the proposed plan goes beyond the boundary of the current Oysters estate (I have a document that shows the Oysters site extends beyond the residents fencing). It includes properties over the site of the drainage ditch and right up to the fence of current residents. This is land that is maintained by residents of the Oysters. The plans also detail drainage into the pond which again the Oysters residents maintain.

Without a clear and legally sound plan to resolve the issues of access, maintenance and land ownership, this application must be rejected.

Yours Faithfully,

[REDACTED]