



36 Martin Road, Portsmouth, Hampshire, PO3 6JZ
www.le-electrical.co.uk . admin@le-electrical.co.uk

02392 100 260 . 07976 845 930

October 29, 2019

Dear Clare/Stuart:

Driftwood Café
44 High Street
Emsworth
PO10 7AW

Planning Consent application:

Further to our conversation and following on from my discussion with the Building Control Officer at Havant Borough Council, please use this document for additional information pertaining to the newly started Planning Application, relating specifically to the CCTV system and the raised points regarding the three external cameras.

The BCO for HBC stated the three external cameras fall outside the scope of 'permitted development' for two reasons;

- 1) Schedule 2, Part 2, Class F, Paragraph C – Height of camera to ground level.
- 2) Schedule 2, Part 2, Class F, Paragraph F – Distance between any two cameras.

Firstly this system was installed for A) Staff safety, B) Quality of service and training and C) Lone worker situational awareness, the use of them for security reasons is merely an added feature due to the capabilities of the equipment chosen for the three reasons above.

Secondly, now that an official planning request will be submitted, I shall impart the knowledge and thought process behind the choices of camera locations.

i) External camera on staff office shed: this looks back towards the rear door of the pod and the boundary wall, installed at a height so as to not view over the wall and cause intrusion on neighbors while maintaining its purpose of A, B & C stated above.

ii) External camera on rear corner of pod: looks at the space between the office and shed, along the front of the office and across to the bins, so positioned to achieve points A, B & C at the highest point of the pod so as to avoid damage to the rain water collection/waste system and not stand out from the building to cause neighbor dissatisfaction due to its aesthetics or a misconception on its field of view.

iii) External camera on front corner of pod: this looks into the main café garden seating area, kitchen rear door and walk in fridge, this again was so positioned at the building's highest point, colour matched with the building supporting pillar and installed so as to not stand out from the building to cause neighbor dissatisfaction due to its aesthetics or a misconception on its field of view while still achieving the reason for the system of points A, B & C.

The selection of these positions clearly satisfies the requirement of the system for its intended purpose (points A, B & C) while not being to excess (e.g. multiple cameras sharing the same field of view), overlooking adjoining properties (requiring additional privacy solutions), damaging building structure

(needing separate mounting poles to avoid damage), and also being as ascetically pleasing as any system of this nature could expect to be.

Our extensive experience gained over the last 20 years has shown us what does and what does not work including what may sometimes be referred to as a negative solution, one such example would be to select camera locations that 'invite' criminal damage, an issue we see all to often in installations completed by inexperienced personnel.

The suggestion given to raise the cameras onto poles, either floor based or wall mounted, to increase the camera heights would be a negative solution, it would induce activity in attempts to cause damage to the equipment, this activity inherently then spreads to other areas of both the property in question and the surrounding region, the neighbors, their properties and public spaces.

I hope this helps clarify the suitability of the system and therefor the approval of the pending planning request.

Sincerely,



Luke Evans
Managing Director
LE Electrical South Ltd



LE ELECTRICAL SOUTH LTD are registered in England and Wales.
Company no: 10676058. VAT No: 265736083.



@LE_ELECTRICAL



le_electrical



Find us on