

[REDACTED]

Sent: 14 August 2023 11:53
To: Havant Planning and Development Mailbox New
Subject: TOTALLY SUPPORT THIS PLANING APPLICATION APP/23/00518

CAUTION: This email came from outside of the council - only open links and attachments that you're expecting.

To Miss S Donophy APP/23/00518

TOTALLY SUPPORT THIS PLANING APPLICATION APP/23/00518

ALL PICS TAKEN FROM DRONE SAME HIEGHT AS STANDING ON BALCONIES

North elevation additional balconies

From the North elevation the two new proposed balconies would overlook the highway - Eastoke Corner/shops/flats/neighbouring property and all houses in the immediate vicinity.

"Please have a look at the pictures, there are 8 balconies about 3 metres x 1 metre. If you have a walk down the road you we see approx 10 more balconies, the Flats directly across have no 1.8-metre-high obscured glass side panels, it's funny you never mentioned these all over looking No2 Bembrigde Drive. Not sure how a first-floor balcony will overlook a 4-storey block of flats, No.2 already has a 40ft x 40ft roof garden with 360 degrees view since 1995. I think you might be getting confused with the buccaneer flats on rails lane next to Darren Ford garage overlooking shops/highway/eastoke corner/neighbouring property."

They have absolutely no view, use or purpose other than to significantly overlook— all of the flats in the block which is directly across the road from this property, plus the adjacent block of flats— [REDACTED] There is already a large third floor balcony (North elevation) is it necessary for two more observation balconies. Furthermore, there is also approval for two very large balconies. One on the 2nd floor (South elevation) third floor (south elevation) wrapping around to the (West and North elevations).

"How can anyone overlook a block of flats 4 storey high, when the balconies at NO.2 are on the first floor, the view will be the same if standing inside property or standing outside on 1st floor balcony."

The two proposed North elevation balconies both overlook the neighbouring property who are within such close proximity, there would be an unfair and unnecessary loss of privacy to all of the residents in the flats and neighbouring properties. The excessive amount of balconies provide the opportunity for residents to potentially be observed from every elevation of this property there would be an overwhelming sense of being watched that is intimidating and damaging to residents psychological well-being. 2 Bembridge Drive has mirrored effect windows to protect their privacy which is obviously important to them but the same entitlement doesn't seem to apply to the residents in the flats opposite how can that be justified or fair.

"Do you not think for one moment that number 2 must be overlooked by everybody having a 4-story block of flats opposite that is probably why they have tinted glass, I did not see you make a comment when the church at No 4 got built 3 storeys high and overlooking everybody with skylights on both sides and now introduced a 2.5 metre balcony to the south, I didn't see you make a comment about that, and

No.18 or 48 Bembridge Drive putting in planning” I would think the (psychological well-being) is towards the people who live at NO.2



These balconies have no view only to overlook other properties if approval were to be granted it would be better if they have 1.8-metre-high obscured glass side panels and heavily tinted glass panels to ensure ultra privacy for all residents.

“It's such a pity that you never commented on No.4 when they were building, they are looking straight into No 2's bedrooms from the east side six foot away and overlooking NO.6. Also No.2 already has a 40ft x 40ft roof garden with 360 degrees view since 1995. They can walk corner to corner on the roof. The flats opposite do not have 1.8-metre-high obscured glass side panels and heavily tinted glass panels so why does NO.2 need them.”

It is out of character for properties/single dwellings on the seafront side of Bembridge Drive to have glass balconies on the highway frontage they would add to the appearance of a block of apartments/flats, perhaps this is ultimately the objective.

“Have a look at the flats opposite No 2, you do need to go to Specsavers because along the sea front there are several balconies with highway frontage”

All of the properties in the vicinity are set back and forwards from each other providing privacy, character and space. The plans are unclear, but it looks like these added balconies will virtually bring the front of the dwelling in line with the adjacent property which will add more mass and create a block like appearance further adding to the bulkiness of the already approved plans.

“Think you'll find the number 4 is more north than No2, it's such a shame you never commented on No 4 overlooking number 2 and 6 with two extra storeys high and the 2.5 metre balcony to the South, where were you”

It will be absolutely huge even larger and cramped on its plot, over dominant in relation to the public areas, adjacent and neighbouring properties in the vicinity and would be a discordant feature in the street scene.

“Do you walk down Bembridge Drive with your eyes closed there is a block of flats opposite No 2 with six balconies and property next door to No 2 which is higher, you have not mentioned one word about No 4 being too big and overlooking the street scene”

On the planning notification letter the proposal is for a three-story front extension but as the plans are very ambiguous and without clearer North Elevation comparisons with the neighbouring property it is very difficult to work out if it's an extension with added balconies or balconies only. I have based my objection on the latter and hope that this is correct. If not, the property will be un-reasonably enormous for a single dwelling property in relation to the plot size and on a prominent corner. Even more overwhelming, dominant and harmful to the surrounding area.

“How can a property be harmful to surrounding area, you need to go to sandbanks and have a look what they have done down there it's amazing” we need to move on with new designs, this property with others down Bembridge Drive and Sea Front that have modernise their properties which has enhanced the area.”

I urge you to please carefully consider these comments and scrutinise the purpose and need for these extra balconies and what impact they will have on the residents privacy and the street scene.

“Please have a look at the balcony of No 4 on the South side it is so out of place being 2.5 metres out and overlooking No 2 and No 6 but it's funny you did not make one comment” No.2 already has a 40ft x 40ft roof garden with 360 degrees view since 1995. They can walk corner to corner on the roof 2 stories high.”
“I fully support this planning”

