

162 Stakes Hill Road Waterlooville

Environmental Noise Survey and Noise Impact Assessment Report

26838/NIA1

7 June 2019

For:
Corbiere Woking Ltd
Venture House
The Tanneries
East Street
Titchfield
PO14 4AR



Hann Tucker Associates
Consultants in Acoustics Noise & Vibration

Head Office: Duke House, 1-2 Duke Street, Woking, Surrey, GU21 5BA (t) +44 (0) 1483 770 595
Manchester Office: First Floor, 346 Deansgate, Manchester, M3 4LY (t) +44 (0) 161 832 7041
(w) hanntucker.co.uk (e) enquiries@hanntucker.co.uk



Environmental Noise Survey and Noise Impact Assessment Report 26838/NIA1

Document Control

Rev	Date	Comment	Prepared by	Authorised by
0	07/06/2019	-	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
			Luke Brough Consultant MSc, BSc(Hons), AMIOA	Andrew Fermer Director BSc(Hons), MIOA



Environmental Noise Survey and Noise Impact Assessment Report 26838/NIA1

Contents	Page
1.0 Introduction	1
2.0 Objectives	1
3.0 Site Description	2
4.0 Acoustic Terminology	3
5.0 Methodology	3
6.0 Results	5
7.0 Discussion of Noise Climate	7
8.0 Relevant Planning Policies and Guidance	7
9.0 Proposed Design Target Internal Noise Levels	15
10.0 Achievable Internal Noise Levels	16
11.0 Mitigation Measures	17
12.0 Plant Noise Emission Criteria	18
13.0 Conclusions	19

Attachments

Appendix A – Acoustic Terminology



1.0 Introduction

It is proposed to develop a block of 9 flats on Stakes Hill Road, Waterloooville, following the demolition of the existing house at 162 Stakes Hill Road.

The Local Authority, Havant Borough Council, require a Noise Impact Assessment for these proposals, accounting for noise from the neighbouring Public House as well as road traffic noise.

Hann Tucker Associates have therefore been commissioned to undertake an environmental noise survey and noise impact assessment in order to assess the suitability of the site for residential use.

This report presents the methodology and findings of our noise survey and assessment in the context of national planning policies and the policy of the Local Authority.

2.0 Objectives

To establish by means of a detailed 24 hour survey the existing L_{Amax} , L_{A10} , L_{Aeq} and L_{A90} environmental road, rail and air traffic noise levels at up to two (2No.) secure and accessible on-site positions, using fully computerised unmanned monitoring equipment.

To establish the number of L_{Amax} noise events which exceed 82dBA at the measurement positions.

Based on the results of the survey, to undertake a noise assessment to assess the suitability of the proposed development for residential use in accordance with the NPPF/PPG24 and Local Authority guidance/requirements, including existing adjacent commercial/pub uses where applicable.

Based upon the Environmental Noise Survey data and suitable recommended internal noise criteria, potential glazing configurations and internal acoustic treatment capable of meeting the criteria will be presented along with assessment of adjacent non-residential uses if applicable.

To propose plant noise emission criteria to be achieved at noise sensitive locations based on the requirements of the Local Authority.



3.0 Site Description

3.1 Location

The site is Located on Stakes Hill Road, south of Waterlooville town centre, west of the A3(M). The location is shown in the Location Map below.



Location Map (©2019 Google)

The site falls within the jurisdiction of Havant Borough Council.

3.2 Description

The site is set back from Stakes Hill Road which is situated to the east. The pavement and a row of trees lie between Stakes Hill Road and the site. The site is bounded to the south by Durham Gardens. The surrounding buildings are mostly residential but there is a Public House immediately to the north.

The site is shown on the Site Plan below.



Site Plan (©2019 Google)

4.0 Acoustic Terminology

For an explanation of the acoustic terminology used in this report please refer to Appendix A enclosed.

5.0 Methodology

The survey was undertaken by Luke Brough MSc, BSc(Hons), AMIOA.

5.1 Unmanned Survey

5.1.1 Procedure

Fully automated environmental noise monitoring was undertaken from approximately 14:15 hours on Friday 31 May 2019 to 10:30 hours on Monday 3 June 2019.

During the periods we were on site the wind conditions were calm. The sky was generally clear. We understand that generally throughout the survey period the weather conditions were similar. These conditions are considered suitable for obtaining representative measurement results.

Measurements were taken continuously of the A-weighted (dBA) L_{10} , L_{90} , L_{eq} and L_{max} sound pressure levels over 15 minute periods.



5.1.2 Measurement Positions

The noise level measurements were undertaken at 2 positions as described in the table below.

Position No	Description
1	The microphone was secured to a tree at the site boundary, approximately 2m above ground level, overlooking the outdoor seating/beer garden area of the neighbouring Public House.
2	The microphone was secured to a tree at the site boundary, approximately 2m above ground level, at the closest point to Stakes Hill Road, approximately 8m away.

The positions are shown on the plan below.



Plan Showing Unmanned Measurement Positions (©2019 Google)



5.1.3 Instrumentation

The instrumentation used during the survey is presented in the table below:

Description	Manufacturer	Type	Serial Number	Annual Calibration
Position 1 Type 1 ½" Condenser Microphone	PCB	377B02	122885	Calibration on 09/07/2018
Position 1 Preamp	Larson Davis	PRM902	3692	Calibration on 09/07/2018
Position 1 Type 1 Data Logging Sound Level Meter	Larson Davis	824	3444	Calibration on 09/07/2018
Position 2 Type 1 ½" Condenser Microphone	PCB	377B02	139312	Calibration on 20/06/2018
Position 2 Preamp	Larson Davis	PRM902	5161	Calibration on 20/06/2018
Position 2 Type 1 Data Logging Sound Level Meter	Larson Davis	824	3443	Calibration on 20/06/2018

Each sound level meter, including the extension cable, was calibrated prior to and on completion of the survey. No significant changes were found to have occurred.

Each sound level meter was located in an environmental case with the microphone connected to the sound level meter via an extension cable. Each microphone was fitted with a windshield.

6.0 Results

The results have been plotted on Time History Graphs 26838/TH1 to 26838/TH2 enclosed presenting the 15 minute A-weighted (dBA) L_{10} , L_{90} , L_{eq} and L_{max} levels at each measurement position throughout the duration of the survey.

The following table presents the lowest measured L_{A90} background noise levels during the survey:



Position	Lowest Measured L_{A90} Background Noise Level (dB re 2×10^{-5} Pa)	
	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00) Hours	Night-Time (23:00 – 07:00) Hours
1	38	33
2	37	32

The following table presents the modal average of the measured L_{A90} background noise levels during the survey:

Position	Modal Average Measured L_{A90} Background Noise Level (dB re 2×10^{-5} Pa)	
	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00) Hours	Night-Time (23:00 – 07:00) Hours
1	50	42
2	51	46

6.1 L_{eq} Noise Levels

In order to compare the results of our survey with the relevant guidelines it is necessary to convert the measured $L_{Aeq(15\text{ minute})}$ noise levels into single figure daytime $L_{Aeq(16\text{-hour})}$ (07:00-23:00 hours) and night-time $L_{Aeq(8\text{-hour})}$ (23:00-07:00 hours) levels.

The daytime $L_{Aeq(16\text{-hour})}$ and night-time $L_{Aeq(8\text{-hour})}$ noise levels for each position are presented in the tables below.

Position	Daytime $L_{Aeq(16\text{-hour})}$	Night-Time $L_{Aeq(8\text{-hour})}$
1	56dB	49dB
2	62dB	56dB

The above levels are as measured at the measurement positions and include local reflections.

6.2 Night-time L_{max} Results

During the night-time period 23:00 hours – 07:00 hours the recorded L_{Amax} events range from 51dB to 84dB at Position 1 and from 64dB to 85dB at Position 2. The 10th highest L_{Amax} event recorded was 70dB at Position 1 and 77dB at Position 2. The night-time L_{Amax} exceeded 82dB no more than once per night at Position 1 and twice per night at Position 2.



7.0 Discussion of Noise Climate

During the periods we were on site the dominant noise source was noted to be road traffic noise from Stakes Hill Road. There was no noise being generated from the Public House during the periods we were on site.

8.0 Relevant Planning Policies and Guidance

8.1 Noise Policy Statement for England

The Noise Policy Statement for England (NPSE) was published in March 2010. The NPSE is the overarching statement of noise policy for England and applies to all forms of noise other than occupational noise, setting out the long term vision of Government noise policy which is to:

"Promote good health and a good quality of life through the effective management of noise within the context of Government policy on sustainable development."

That vision is supported by the following NPSE noise policy aims which are reflected in three of the four aims of planning policies and decisions in paragraph 123 of the NPPF (see paragraph 8.2 (b) below):

"Through the effective management and control of environmental, neighbour and neighbourhood noise within the context of Government policy on sustainable development:

- *avoid significant adverse impacts on health and quality of life;*
- *mitigate and minimise adverse impacts on health and quality of life; and*
- *where possible, contribute to the improvement of health and quality of life."*

The Explanatory Note to the NPSE has three concepts for the assessment of noise in this country:

NOEL – No Observed Effect Level

This is the level below which no effect can be detected and below which there is no detectable effect on health and quality of life due to noise.

LOAEL – Lowest Observable Adverse Effect Level

This is the level above which adverse effects on health and quality of life can be detected.

**SOAEL – Significant Observed Adverse Effect Level**

This is the level above which significant adverse effects on health and quality of life occur.

None of these three levels are defined numerically and for the SOAEL the NPSE makes it clear that the noise level is likely to vary depending upon the noise source, the receptor and the time of day/day of the week, etc. The need for more research to investigate what may represent an SOAEL for noise is acknowledged in the NPSE and the NPSE asserts that not stating specific SOAEL levels provides policy flexibility in the period until there is further evidence and guidance.

The NPSE concludes by explaining in a little more detail how the LOAEL and SOAEL relate to the three NPSE noise policy aims listed above. It starts with the aim of avoiding significant adverse effects on health and quality of life, then addresses the situation where the noise impact falls between the LOAEL and the SOAEL when *“all reasonable steps should be taken to mitigate and minimise adverse effects on health and quality of life while also taking into account the guiding principles of sustainable development.”* The final aim envisages pro-active management of noise to improve health and quality of life, again taking into account the guiding principles of sustainable development which include the need to minimise travel distance between housing and employment uses in an area.

8.2 National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

The following paragraphs are from the NPPF (revised February 2019):

“180. Planning policies and decisions should also ensure that new development is appropriate for its location taking into account the likely effects (including cumulative effects) of pollution on health, living conditions and the natural environment, as well as the potential sensitivity of the site or the wider area to impacts that could arise from the development. In doing so they should:

a) mitigate and reduce to a minimum potential adverse impacts resulting from noise from new development – and avoid noise giving rise to significant adverse impacts on health and the quality of life;

b) identify and protect tranquil areas which have remained relatively undisturbed by noise and are prized for their recreational and amenity value for this reason.

182. Planning policies and decisions should ensure that new development can be integrated effectively with existing businesses and community facilities (such as places of worship, pubs, music venues and sports clubs). Existing businesses and facilities should not have



unreasonable restrictions placed on them as a result of development permitted after they were established. Where the operation of an existing business or community facility could have a significant adverse effect on new development (including changes of use) in its vicinity, the applicant (or 'agent of change') should be required to provide suitable mitigation before the development has been completed."

Paragraph 180 also references the Noise Policy Statement for England. This document does not refer to specific noise levels but instead sets out three aims:

"Avoid significant adverse impacts on health and quality of life from environmental, neighbour and neighbourhood noise within the context of Government policy on sustainable development.

Mitigate and minimise adverse impacts on health and quality of life from environmental, neighbour and neighbourhood noise within the context of Government policy on sustainable development.

Where possible, contribute to the improvement of health and quality of life through the effective management and control of environmental, neighbour and neighbourhood noise within the context of Government policy on sustainable development."

8.3 Planning Practice Guidance on Noise

Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) under the NPPF has been published by the Government as a web based resource at <http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/blog/guidance/>. This includes specific guidance on Noise although, like the NPPF and NPSE the PPG does not provide any quantitative advice. It seeks to illustrate a range of effect levels in terms of examples of outcomes as set out in the following table:

Perception	Examples of Outcomes	Increasing effect level	Action
Not noticeable	No effect	No Observed Effect	No specific measures required
Noticeable and not intrusive	Noise can be heard, but does not cause any change in behaviour or attitude. Can slightly affect the acoustic character of the area but not such that there is a perceived change in the quality of life.	No Observed Adverse Effect	No specific measures required
		Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level	
Noticeable and intrusive	Noise can be heard and causes small changes in behaviour and/or attitude, e.g. turning up volume of television; speaking more loudly; where there is no alternative ventilation, having to close windows for some of the time because of the noise.	Observed Adverse Effect	Mitigate and reduce to a minimum



	Potential for some reported sleep disturbance.		
		Significant Observed Adverse Effect Level	
Noticeable and disruptive	The noise causes a material change in behaviour and/or attitude, e.g. avoiding certain activities during periods of intrusion; where there is no alternative ventilation, having to keep windows closed most of the time because of the noise. Potential for sleep disturbance resulting in difficulty in getting to sleep, premature awakening and difficulty in getting back to sleep. Quality of life diminished due to change in acoustic character of the area.	Significant Observed Adverse Effect	Avoid
Noticeable and very disruptive	Extensive and regular changes in behaviour and/or an inability to mitigate effect of noise leading to psychological stress or physiological effects, e.g. regular sleep deprivation/awakening; loss of appetite, significant, medically definable hard, e.g. auditory and non-auditory.	Unacceptable Adverse Effect	Prevent

8.4 World Health Organisation

The current Environmental Noise Guidelines 2018 for the European Region (ENG) supersede the Guidelines for Community Noise from 1999 (CNG). Nevertheless, the ENG recommends that all CNG indoor guideline values and any values not covered by the current guidelines (such as industrial noise and shopping areas) remain valid.

A summary of the guidance from the ENG and CNG is shown in the table below.

Source	CNG guideline indoors all sources	ENG guideline outdoors noise from specific source only
Road traffic noise	35 LAeq, 16h	53 dB Lden
	30 LAeq, 8h	45 dB Lnight
Railway noise	35 LAeq, 16h	54 dB Lden
	30 LAeq, 8h	44 dB Lnight
Aircraft noise	35 LAeq, 16h	45 dB Lden
	30 LAeq, 8h	40 dB Lnight

With regard to single-event noise indicators, Section 2.2.2 of the WHO Environmental Noise Guidelines 2018 state:

"In many situations, average noise levels like the L_{den} or L_{night} indicators may not be the best to explain a particular noise effect. Single-event noise indicators – such as the maximum sound



pressure level ($L_{A,max}$) and its frequency distribution – are warranted in specific situations, such as in the context of night-time railway or aircraft noise events that can clearly elicit awakenings and other physiological reactions that are mostly determined by $L_{A,max}$. Nevertheless, the assessment of the relationship between different types of single-event noise indicators and long-term health outcomes at the population level remains tentative. The guidelines therefore make no recommendations for single-event noise indicators.”

8.5 British Standard BS8233: 2014

British Standard 8233: 2014 “Guidance on sound insulation and noise reduction for buildings” provides guidance for the control of noise in and around buildings.

8.5.1 Internal Areas

BS8233:2014 Section 7.7.2 titled “Internal ambient noise levels for dwellings” states:

“In general for steady external noise sources, it is desirable that internal ambient noise levels do not exceed the following guideline values:

Activity	Location	Desirable Internal Ambient Criteria	
		07:00 – 23:00	23:00 to 07:00
Resting	Living Rooms	35 dB $L_{Aeq,16hour}$	-
Dining	Dining Room/Area	40 dB $L_{Aeq,16hour}$	-
Sleeping (Daytime Resting)	Bedroom	35 dB $L_{Aeq,16hour}$	30 dB $L_{Aeq,8hour}$

Note 1 The above table provides recommended levels for overall noise in the design of a building. These are the sum total of structure-borne and airborne noise sources. Groundborne noise is assessed separately and is not included as part of these targets, as human response to groundborne noise varies with many factors such as level, character, timing, occupant expectation and sensitivity.

Note 2 The levels shown in the above table are based on the existing guidelines issued by the WHO and assume normal diurnal fluctuations in external noise. In cases where local conditions do not follow a typical diurnal pattern, for example on a road serving a port with high levels of traffic at certain times of the night, an appropriate alternative period, e.g. 1 hour, may be used, but the level should be selected to ensure consistency with the levels recommended in the above table.

Note 3 These levels are based on annual average data and do not have to be achieved in all circumstances. For example, it is normal to exclude occasional events, such as fireworks night or New Year's Eve.

Note 4 Regular individual noise events (for example, scheduled aircraft or passing trains) can cause sleep



disturbance. A guideline value may be set in terms of SEL or $L_{Amax,F}$ depending on the character and number of events per night. Sporadic noise events could require separate values.

Note 5 If relying on closed windows to meet the guide values, there needs to be an appropriate alternative ventilation that does not compromise the façade insulation or the resulting noise level.

If applicable, any room should have adequate ventilation (e.g. trickle ventilators should be open) during assessment.

Note 6 Attention is drawn to the Building Regulations.

Note 7 Where development is considered necessary or desirable, despite external noise levels above WHO guidelines, the internal target levels may be relaxed by up to 5 dB and reasonable internal conditions still achieved."

8.5.2 External Amenity Areas

BS823:2014 Section 7.7.3.2 titled "Design criteria for external noise" states:

"For traditional external areas that are used for amenity space, such as gardens and patios, it is desirable that the external noise level does not exceed 50 dB $L_{Aeq,T}$, with an upper guideline value of 55 dB $L_{Aeq,T}$ which would be acceptable in noisier environments. However, it is also recognized that these guideline values are not achievable in all circumstances where development might be desirable. In higher noise areas, such as city centres or urban areas adjoining the strategic transport network, a compromise between elevated noise levels and other factors, such as the convenience of living in these locations or making efficient use of land resources to ensure development needs can be met, might be warranted. In such a situation, development should be designed to achieve the lowest practicable levels in these external amenity spaces, but should not be prohibited.

Other locations, such as balconies, roof gardens and terraces, are also important in residential buildings where normal external amenity space might be limited or not available, i.e. in flats, apartment blocks, etc. In these locations, specification of noise limits is not necessarily appropriate. Small balconies may be included for uses such as drying washing or growing pot plants, and noise limits should not be necessary for these uses. However, the general guidance on noise in amenity space is still appropriate for larger balconies, roof gardens, and terraces, which might be intended to be used for relaxation. In high-noise areas consideration should be given to protecting these areas by screening or building design to achieve the lowest practicable levels. Achieving levels of 55dB $L_{Aeq,T}$ or less might not be possible at the outer edge of these areas, but should be achievable in some areas of the space."



8.6 ProPG : Planning & Noise : 2017

- 8.6.1** The primary goal of the ProPG is to assist the delivery of sustainable development by promoting good health and well-being through the effective management of noise. It seeks to do that through encouraging a good acoustic design process in and around proposed new residential development having regard to national policy on planning and noise. It is applicable to noise from existing transport sources (noting that good professional practice should have regard to any reasonably foreseeable changes in existing and/or new sources of noise). The recommended approach is also considered suitable where some industrial or commercial noise contributes to the acoustic environment provided that is "not dominant".
- 8.6.2** This ProPG advocates a systematic, proportionate, risk based, 2-stage, approach. The approach encourages early consideration of noise issues, facilitates straightforward accelerated decision making for lower risk sites, and assists proper consideration of noise issues where the acoustic environment is challenging.
- 8.6.3** The two sequential stages of the overall approach are:
- Stage 1 – an initial noise risk assessment of the proposed development site; and
 - Stage 2 – a systematic consideration of four key elements.
- 8.6.4** The four key elements to be undertaken in parallel during Stage 2 of the recommended approach are:
- Element 1 – demonstrating a "Good Acoustic Design Process";
 - Element 2 – observing internal "Noise Level Guidelines";
 - Element 3 – undertaking an "External Amenity Area Noise Assessment"; and
 - Element 4 – consideration of "Other Relevant Issues".
- 8.6.5** The ProPG considers suitable guidance on internal noise levels found in "BS8233:2014: Guidance on sound insulation and noise reduction for buildings". Table 4 in Section 7.7.2 of the standard suggests that "in general, for steady external noise sources, it is desirable that the internal ambient noise level does not exceed the guideline values". The standard states (Section 7.7.1) that "occupants are usually more tolerant of noise without a specific character" and only noise without such character is considered in Table 4 of the standard.



Activity	Location	07:00 – 23:00 Hours	23:00 – 07:00 Hours
Resting	Living Room	35dB $L_{Aeq,16hr}$	-
Dining	Dining Room / Area	40dB $L_{Aeq,16hr}$	-
Sleeping (daytime resting)	Bedroom	35dB $L_{Aeq,16hr}$	30dB $L_{Aeq,16hr}$ 45dB $L_{Amax,F}$

NOTE 1 the Table provides recommended internal L_{Aeq} target levels for overall noise in the design of a building. These are the sum total of structure-borne and airborne noise sources. Ground-borne noise is assessed separately and is not included as part of these targets, as human response to ground-borne noise varies with many factors such as level, character, timing, occupant expectation and sensitivity.

NOTE 2 The internal L_{Aeq} target levels shown in the Table are based on the existing guidelines issued by the WHO and assume normal diurnal fluctuations in external noise. In cases where local conditions do not follow a typical diurnal pattern, for example on a road serving a port with high levels of traffic at certain times of the night, an appropriate alternative period, e.g. 1 hour, may be used, but the level should be selected to ensure consistency with the L_{Aeq} target levels recommended in the Table.

NOTE 3 These internal L_{Aeq} target levels are based on annual average data and do not have to be achieved in all circumstances. For example, it is normal to exclude occasional events, such as fireworks night or New Year's Eve.

NOTE 4 Regular individual noise events (for example, scheduled aircraft or passing trains) can cause sleep disturbance. A guideline value may be set in terms of SEL or $L_{Amax,F}$, depending on the character and number of events per night. Sporadic noise events could require separate values. In most circumstances in noise-sensitive rooms at night (e.g. bedrooms) good acoustic design can be used so that individual noise events do not normally exceed 45dB $L_{Amax,F}$ more than 10 times a night. However, where it is not reasonably practicable to achieve this guideline then the judgement of acceptability will depend not only on the maximum noise levels but also on factors such as the source, number, distribution, predictability and regularity of noise events (see Appendix A).

NOTE 5 Designing the site layout and the dwellings so that the internal target levels can be achieved with open windows in as many properties as possible demonstrates good acoustic design. Where it is not possible to meet internal target levels with windows open, internal noise levels can be assessed with windows closed, however any façade openings used to provide whole dwelling ventilation (e.g. trickle ventilators) should be assessed in the "open" position



and, in this scenario, the internal L_{Aeq} target levels should not normally be exceeded, subject to the further advice in Note 7.

NOTE 6 Attention is drawn to the requirements of the Building Regulations.

NOTE 7 Where development is considered necessary or desirable, despite external noise levels above WHO guidelines, the internal L_{Aeq} target levels may be relaxed by up to 5dB and reasonable internal conditions still achieved. The more often internal L_{Aeq} levels start to exceed the internal L_{Aeq} target levels by more than 5dB, the more that most people are likely to regard them as "unreasonable". Where such exceedances are predicted, applicants should be required to show how the relevant number of rooms affected has been kept to a minimum. Once internal L_{Aeq} levels exceed the target levels by more than 10dB, they are likely to be regarded as "unacceptable" by most people, particularly if such levels occur more than occasionally. Every effort should be made to avoid relevant rooms experiencing "unacceptable" noise levels at all and where such levels are likely to occur frequently, the development should be prevented in its proposed form (See Section 3.D).

Figure 2. ProPG Internal Noise Level Guidelines (additions to BS8233:2014 shown in blue).

9.0 Proposed Design Target Internal Noise Levels

On the basis of BS8233:2014 we propose the following internal noise levels be adopted as design targets in the proposed habitable rooms:

Activity	Location	Desirable Internal Ambient Criteria	
		07:00 – 23:00	23:00 to 07:00
Resting	Living Rooms	35 dB $L_{Aeq,16hour}$	-
Dining	Dining Room/Area	40 dB $L_{Aeq,16hour}$	-
Sleeping (Daytime Resting)	Bedroom	35 dB $L_{Aeq,16hour}$	30 dB $L_{Aeq,8hour}$ *45 dB L_{Amax}

*45 dB L_{Amax} not to be exceeded more than 10-15 times per night.

Where development is considered necessary or desirable, despite external noise levels above WHO guidelines, the internal target noise levels may be relaxed (subject to the requirements of any planning conditions) by up to 5 dB and reasonable internal conditions still achieved.



10.0 Achievable Internal Noise Levels

We have predicted the levels that would be achievable in the worst-case dwellings with windows partially opened and also with windows closed.

10.1 Windows Partially Open

It is generally accepted that the typical noise reduction achieved with partially opened windows is around 15dBA (ref. BS 8233:2014 Annex G.1). This value is the difference between dBA levels measured outside and inside typical dwellings, therefore 3dBA should be added to free field noise levels to determine outside levels.

A simple assessment thus indicates the following noise levels may be expected within the proposed worst case habitable rooms with partially opened windows.

Description	Predicted Worst Case Internal Noise Levels with Windows Partially Opened					
	Position 1			Position 2		
	Daytime LAeq(16-hour)	Night-time LAeq(8-hour)	Night-time L _{Amax}	Daytime LAeq(16-hour)	Night-time LAeq(8-hour)	Night-time L _{Amax}
External free field level	56dBA	49dBA	70dBA	62dBA	56dBA	77dBA
Façade correction	+3dBA	+3dBA	+3dBA	+3dBA	+3dBA	+3dBA
Façade noise level	59dBA	52dBA	73dBA	65dBA	59dBA	80dBA
Noise reduction for conventional thermal double glazing	-15dBA	-15dBA	-15dBA	-15dBA	-15dBA	-15dBA
Predicted internal noise levels	44dBA	37dBA	58dBA	50dBA	44dBA	65dBA

10.2 Windows Closed

It is generally accepted that the typical noise reduction achieved by conventional thermal double glazing is 33dBA for road traffic noise. This value is taken from PPG24 (now superseded) and is the difference between dBA levels measured outside and inside typical dwellings, therefore 3dBA should be added to free field noise levels to determine outside levels.

A simple assessment thus indicates the following noise levels may be expected within the proposed worst case dwellings with conventional thermal double glazing.



Description	Predicted Worst Case Internal Noise Levels with Windows Closed			
	Position 1		Position 2	
	Daytime $L_{Aeq}(16\text{-hour})$	Night-time $L_{Aeq}(8\text{-hour})$	Daytime $L_{Aeq}(16\text{-hour})$	Night-time $L_{Aeq}(8\text{-hour})$
External free field level	56dBA	49dBA	62dBA	56dBA
Façade correction	+3dBA	+3dBA	+3dBA	+3dBA
Façade noise level	59dBA	52dBA	65dBA	59dBA
Noise reduction for conventional thermal double glazing	-33dBA	-33dBA	-33dBA	-33dBA
Predicted internal noise levels	26dBA	19dBA	32dBA	26dBA

More detailed calculations based on the typical L_{max} levels measured indicate that the proposed criteria should be met with conventional, suitably specified glazing and constructions.

Note: At detailed design stage octave band acoustic specifications will need to be developed, and it will be essential that the prospective glazing/cladding system suppliers can demonstrate compliance with these specifications, rather than simply offering generic glazing configurations as described above.

11.0 Mitigation Measures

The predicted worst case internal noise levels with windows closed meet the proposed criteria. It is thus demonstrated that acceptable internal noise levels are achievable with conventional double glazing.

The predicted worst case internal noise levels with windows partially opened exceed the proposed target levels (as is often the case). The minimum mitigation available to future occupants would be to close their window. Ventilation (incorporating suitable acoustic attenuation) will be provided to comply with the requirements of the Building Regulations Approved Document F whole dwelling ventilation. The occupants will thus have the option of keeping windows closed for most of the time and opening windows for purge ventilation.

This form of mitigation is supported within the Pro:PG which advises the following:

- 2.34 Where the LPA accepts that there is a justification that the internal target noise levels can only be practically achieved with windows closed, which may be the case in urban areas and at sites adjacent to transportation noise sources, special care must be taken to design the accommodation so that it provides good standards of acoustics, ventilation and thermal comfort without unduly compromising other aspects of the living



environment. In such circumstances, internal noise levels can be assessed with windows closed but with façade openings used to provide “whole dwelling ventilation” in accordance with Building Regulations Approved Document F (e.g. trickle ventilators) in the open position (see Supplementary Document 2). Furthermore, in this scenario the internal L_{Aeq} target noise levels should not generally be exceeded.

- 2.35 It should also be noted that the internal noise level guidelines are generally not applicable under “purge ventilation” conditions as defined by Building Regulations Approved Document F, as this should only occur occasionally (e.g. to remove odour from painting and decorating or from burnt food).

At this stage of the design scheme the precise details of window to be used are not known, nor are the precise details of the ventilation.

The external envelope of the proposed residences will incorporate suitably specified glazing so as to achieve the proposed design target internal noise levels presented above.

Where ventilation is provided through the façade it shall be suitably acoustically attenuated to ensure the achievement of the proposed target internal noise levels is not compromised.

The Local Planning Authority may expect to be provided with details of the sound insulation treatments when available. Therefore in granting consent it would be appropriate for a planning condition to be imposed along the following lines, (based on the example condition 1 drawn from PPG24):

“Construction work shall not begin until a scheme for protecting the proposed [noise-sensitive development] from noise from the has been submitted to and approved by the local planning authority; all works which form part of the scheme shall be completed before [any part of] the [noise-sensitive development] is occupied.”

12.0 Plant Noise Emission Criteria

The site falls under the jurisdiction of Hampshire County Council and Havant Borough Council. We have requested their advice concerning their requirements for setting atmospheric noise emission criteria for building service plant, but have not received a reply at the time of writing.

On the basis of the aforementioned acoustic standards, together with the results of the environmental noise survey, we propose that the following plant noise emission criteria be



achieved at 1 metre from the nearest noise sensitive residential window:

Plant Noise Emission Criteria (dB re 2x10 ⁻⁵ Pa)	
Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	Night-time (23:00 – 07:00 hours)
32dBA	27dBA

The above criteria are to be achieved with all of the proposed plant operating simultaneously.

If plant contains tonal or impulsive characteristics the external design criteria should be reduced by 5dBA.

It should be noted that the above are subject to the final approval of the Local Authority.

13.0 Conclusions

A detailed environmental noise survey has been undertaken in order to establish the currently prevailing environmental noise climate around the site.

The environmental noise impact upon the proposed dwellings has been assessed in the context of national and local planning policies.

Appropriate target internal noise levels have been proposed. These are achievable using conventional mitigation measures.

Appropriate target internal noise levels have been proposed. These are achievable using conventional mitigation measures. Mitigation advice, including the use of suitably specified glazing and acoustically attenuated ventilation, have been recommended to reduce to a minimum the adverse impact on health and quality life arising from environmental noise.

Plant noise emission criteria have been recommended based on the results of the noise survey.

The assessment shows the site, subject to appropriate mitigation measures, is suitable for residential development in terms of noise.

Appendix A

The acoustic terms used in this report are defined as follows:

dB	Decibel - Used as a measurement of sound level. Decibels are not an absolute unit of measurement but an expression of ratio between two quantities expressed in logarithmic form. The relationships between Decibel levels do not work in the same way that non-logarithmic (linear) numbers work (e.g. 30dB + 30dB = 33dB, not 60dB).
dBA	The human ear is more susceptible to mid-frequency noise than the high and low frequencies. The 'A'-weighting scale approximates this response and allows sound levels to be expressed as an overall single figure value in dBA. The _A subscript is applied to an acoustical parameter to indicate the stated noise level is A-weighted It should be noted that levels in dBA do not have a linear relationship to each other; for similar noises, a change in noise level of 10dBA represents a doubling or halving of subjective loudness. A change of 3dBA is just perceptible.
L _{90,T}	L ₉₀ is the noise level exceeded for 90% of the period <i>T</i> (i.e. the quietest 10% of the measurement) and is often used to describe the background noise level.
L _{eq,T}	L _{eq,T} is the equivalent continuous sound pressure level. It is an average of the total sound energy measured over a specified time period, <i>T</i> .
L _{max}	L _{max} is the maximum sound pressure level recorded over the period stated. L _{max} is sometimes used in assessing environmental noise where occasional loud noises occur, which may have little effect on the L _{eq} noise level.
L _p	Sound Pressure Level (SPL) is the sound pressure relative to a standard reference pressure of 2×10^{-5} Pa. This level varies for a given source according to a number of factors (including but not limited to: distance from the source; positioning; screening and meteorological effects).
L _w	Sound Power Level (SWL) is the total amount of sound energy inherent in a particular sound source, independent of its environment. It is a logarithmic measure of the sound power in comparison to a specified reference level (usually 10^{-12} W).


162 Stakes Hill Road

Position 1 (Pub)

L_{eq} , L_{max} , L_{10} and L_{90} Noise Levels

Friday 31 May 2019 to Monday 3 June 2019

L_{max} L_{10} L_{eq} L_{90}



Date and Time

26838/TH1


162 Stakes Hill Road

Position 2 (Road)

L_{eq} , L_{max} , L_{10} and L_{90} Noise Levels

Friday 31 May 2019 to Monday 3 June 2019

L_{max} L_{10} L_{eq} L_{90}



Date and Time

26838/TH2