

HAVANT BOROUGH
COUNCIL

28 FEB 2017

RECEIVED

J. Tassell
24 Bound Lane
Hayling Island
HANTS
PO11 9HU

For the attention of Mrs T Pickup

Your reference APP/17/00151 proposal for alterations and additions to 26 Bound Lane
Hayling Island PO11 9HU

As you will observe from the above address, we live at number 24, Bound Lane, alongside and to the north of number 26 Bound Lane. We have studied the proposed alterations to number 26, and we have strong objections and will oppose any development as they exist in the proposal on many points, as I will outline below.

1. The Architects who have drawn up and submitted the proposal, have shown no consideration regarding impact on our property and garden. They have claimed there will be no impact and to endorse this point, they have shown the front street scene, exhibiting a bungalow to the north of Bound Lane, some 6 properties away which has no significance to this development. All proposed alterations on number 26, will run alongside our property alone.
2. The existing extension on number 26, was built with no application for planning. The extension as it exists now is extremely close to our boundary. The proposal for alterations to this extension and to raise its height and length will significantly impact vastly on our garden. We have had quiet enjoyment of our garden for the past 20 years and we have a right to continue to do so as we always have.
3. To raise the height and length alongside the north side of number 26, will directly affect the sunlight and light we currently enjoy in our garden. We have a patio that runs from the rear of our garage that runs to the end and in line with the existing extension. The bulk and impact of such an incongruously large extension to number 26, will severely impede our right to enjoy our outdoor space as we are currently able to do. Also, our living area, to the rear of our property, would have light blocked by any raise in the roof height or length to the existing extension at number 26.
4. Our master bedroom has a window to the side of our property and to the north side of number 26, I have a concern that I could lose light in this room if the roof height is raised and the pitch altered.
5. The visual aspect of having such a vast extension, so close to our boundary, must be refused. Number 26, is set in a large tract of land. To the south of the property, there is ample room to accommodate such a large extension. Any alteration and extension to number 26 on the south side, would have little or no affect on any other property.

To summarise our objections to the proposal: Loss of light to the garden. Loss of light to the living areas to the rear of our property. Visual impact of such a large extension so close to our boundary. Bulk, height and size so close to our boundary. We urge planning officials to come and see for themselves the impact of this proposal upon our property.