

I wish to object to the above new planning application in the strongest terms [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] for much the same reasons as it is virtually a new application for what has already been turned down.

In the Langstone Village Design Statement it is clear that Towers Garden was intended to consist of 3 short terraces of white boarded houses fronted by uninterrupted grass in contrast to the rather urban feel of the High Street where the cottages open straight off the pavement.

In order to achieve this and keep it in perpetuity in 2011 HBC passed the Article 4 Direction but in 2012 failed to get it counter-signed so this serious error of omission by HBC Legal Department was taken advantage of by several householders to put hard standing in front of their properties in order to park there, without first obtaining planning consent.

Therefore it cannot be argued by the applicant that hard standing already in place gives precedence for allowing another one at No 2, since they are only there due to the negligence of HBC, and it seriously harms the appearance and character of the Conservation Area very close to and in full view of its historic core.

I oppose this application as it does not enhance the CA but rather is detrimental to it and I therefore ask the committee to turn it down and protect the Langstone Conservation Area from further harm.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]