



Objections to Proposed Plan

In our opinion, the extension is too high. Mr Fry has removed the original bedroom window and replaced with a smaller (shorter) window to accommodate the extreme height of the extension (Pic 1). Accordingly, the small bedroom window means that the appearance of the back of No. 33 is different from the rest of the houses in the street. In our opinion, this is therefore not a **single** storey extension. At no point have Mr or Mrs Fry discussed the extension with us, other than a comment by Mr Fry to my husband that he "would not build higher than the fence". The height of the extension casts a shadow across our hitherto sunny patio and also obscures our view of the trees we had before. Combined with the other brick built outbuilding (which Mr Fry also did not seek planning permission to build) the extension takes up a very large percentage of the garden area and both structures together run almost the entire length of our border (Pic 2). This is extremely oppressive when viewed from our side of the fence (Pic 3). In our opinion, the extension is also too close to our fence as there is virtually no gap between the extension side and our fencing. The plans show cladding but it would not be possible to get cladding on in the gap as it currently stands (Pic 4). The plans refer to a "games **room** extension" and in our opinion it is therefore being used as an additional room to the house and should accordingly be built in the same materials as the original building.

Construction already undertaken

The structure already partially built bears no resemblance to the plans and what has been done is of poor quality. The sides of the extension are made of plywood and we have screws protruding through our side (Pic 5). The roof covering is clad in grey metal and does not match the roof tiles of the existing building (Pic 6). The current extension is of thin construction and has no sound insulation. All noise can be heard – the balls clacking on the pool table and dropping when the table is reloaded, conversation and music, particularly if the roof light windows are open. This sometimes goes on until quite late and is directly under my daughter's bedroom window, leaving her unable to sleep when she has to get up for work in the morning. Mr Fry is undertaking the work himself and we doubt that he would be able to achieve the high standards shown in the architect's drawings.

The height of the extension also has an impact on the security of our garden and property, allowing easy access (by climbing) to our garden and my daughter's bedroom window. We will be unable to leave this open when the house is unattended. This may have implications for our home insurance if we were burgled.

Mr and Mrs Fry are currently using what looks like a wood burning stove to heat the extension, with a chimney flue attached to the structure. The structure is wooden and in our opinion this makes the stove a fire hazard not only to No. 33 but to our house also. Again, this might affect our home insurance in the event of claim due to fire.





