



28th July 2024

Dear Ms Lacey

REF : APP / 24 / 00302

**PLANNING APPLICATION OF SITE ADDRESS :
SHORE HOUSE, MILL LANE, PO9 1RX**

Following our visit to you to discuss the above Application we wish to lodge our strong objection to the above proposed plan. Our objections are based on the following reasons:

a) Demolishing a Flint brick wall to build a garage, construct car ports and create a gravel driveway.

1) This Application is the second Application in four years to extend the property now named Shore House. Each Planning Application to extend the house has . The previous extension that was agreed extended the house by 4.5 metres. The present Application will extend the property by a further 3.5 metres. In total the house will then have been extended by 8.0 metres 

 the new extension will be exceedingly overbearing 

2) The planned new car ports and parking area will be very intrusive creating noise and fumes from vehicles that manoeuvre within its confined space.

The new parking area will also be a security risk for the houses in Harbourside which have gardens backing onto the private driveway leading to Shore House. The new gravel driveway is situated at the back of Shore House and would allow intruders to park in the area without being easily seen or identified. Currently this area is part of Shore House garden and is protected by the high wall that is planned to be demolished.

b) Felling of mature trees on the Western site boundaries between Shore House and our property.

1) These mature trees at present provide [REDACTED] a screen of privacy from the intrusion of Shore House. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] would be overlooked by Shore House if the trees are felled.

The planned first floor balcony for Shore House will be an added problem if the trees are removed [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Should permission be given to fell the trees [REDACTED] privacy and that of Shore House would be totally lost.

2) These tall trees also act as a baffle against the pollution of noise and fumes from Langstone Road and the A27. The value this brings will be lost if the trees are cut down. The loss of these trees could not be resolved by planting new ornamental French Cypress Trees that has been suggested.

3) The area in which the trees are planted is a Conservation Area which should have offered the trees some protection. However the presented application does not clarify why the trees would be removed : it does not appear to relate to the planned building work. Why is it necessary to remove these trees?

It should be noted that the removal of the trees appears to be in conflict with Government Policy on Climate Change which seeks to preserve trees.

4) The trees are mature and healthy. They do grow profusely so that previous owners and ourselves have regularly trimmed them.

5) As mature trees they do absorb gallons of water daily. If the trees are removed the water level in the area will rise and increase concerns about flooding.

c) APP / 24 /00302

1) We were extremely shocked to learn about this Planning Application. Shore House has a very extensive garden leading to Langstone Harbour. With such a vast garden it is difficult to understand why a plan to build a garage and car ports could not have been designed within the garden in a way that did not involve demolishing soundly built Flint walls, removing healthy mature trees or being intrusive and overbearing to surrounding properties.

Yours sincerely

MS JOSEPHINE LACEY

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

HAWANT

PO9 2AX