

Hi,

Thank you for providing the update plans for the proposed extension at 232.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] we do have concerns regarding the nature of the proposed changes, which appear to transform the bungalow into something more akin to a house, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

As I mentioned during our recent telephone conversation, the new design gives the impression of a house rather than a bungalow, and I believe you acknowledged this point. While there are several loft conversions along [REDACTED] road, most remain in keeping with the original bungalow structure. The majority do not involve changes to the overall height in the way these plans propose.

Our main concern is the impact of the increased height and massing, especially the high walls [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

I also disagree with the findings of the sun study. [REDACTED]
experience reduced natural light, and I find it difficult to understand how the study concludes that the proposed extension would not worsen this. Had we been fully aware of the scale of last year's extension, [REDACTED] we would have raised objections at that time.

[REDACTED]

Lastly, while you mention that the architect has changed, it appears that only the trading name has been updated. The core team, including planner, director and office manger, remain the same.

We feel an independent un-biased sun study should've been done rather than by the same company that want to push the planning application through.

Thank you for taking the time to consider our concerns.

Kind regards

