

Thank you for your email.

I have examined the revised Amended Proposed Site Plan and letter from Highways Agency.

This does not change any of the points of objection raised in my recent submission. In fact it only serves to re-inforce two of the key points raised:

1) The new access from the public highway is described as 'Possible'. We believe that approval for this, requiring Highways Authority input, would be problematical for the various reasons I highlighted. But beyond this, we do not believe the Applicant is necessarily sincere in this part of his application and that it is a somewhat cynical 'sweetener' for the residents on the close who would be adversely affected by the proposed extension.

2) The new Site Plan is almost comical in that it shows two cars neatly parked on the property. The reality is that 5-6 cars are usually present, with frequent noisy maneuverings at early and late hours to access each vehicle. I am sending you a picture taken today (in a separate note to ensure this note does not catch your 'spam' filters) showing six cars parked somewhat chaotically on the property. If the building were to be extended as proposed (most likely 3 extra bedrooms per my objection comments re the 'stealth' extension over the garage) then the number of vehicles and consequent parking issues would only worsen, as we are given to understand that the reason for the extension is to move another part of the family into the home. Please note on the photo that the garage is used for storage and has never been used to park cars, and the proposed extension of course removes space which is currently used for parking.

