

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/X1735/W/23/3328946

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference

APP/X1735/W/23/3328946

Appeal By

MR BRIAN LONG

Site Address

27 Emsworth Road
Havant
PO9 2SN

SENDER DETAILS

Name

Address

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- ☐ Appellant
- ☐ Agent
- ☒ Interested Party / Person
- ☐ Land Owner
- ☐ Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- ☐ Final Comments
- ☐ Proof of Evidence
- ☐ Statement
- ☐ Statement of Common Ground
- ☒ Interested Party/Person Correspondence
- ☐ Other

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

All the reasons for this application to have been overwhelmingly refused by the council still stand and I can see nothing new or of any relevance in the appeal, so am assuming common sense will prevail and the council's original correct and sensible decision will be upheld.

The 'site' is an extremely poky, inappropriate scrap of space and a sad indictment of planning applications today that any developer would even begin to feel this would be an appropriate use of space.

Contrary to the appeal's document claim, there will be next to no impact on addressing any "local housing need" from adding two minuscule studio flats to the housing stock of Havant, so this argument is futile (yet there would be significant impact on future residents of any such properties and existing local residents (no room for bins, outside space, light, parking etc etc etc)).

The appellant also compares the existing large metal advertising hoarding, which would severely overshadow the proposed development, to a "tall hedgerow" in a similar property, Grove House, in the same road, so I think on that basis alone it's fairly clear that we are clutching at straws here with this appeal.

I do feel for the developer as obviously good money has been spent on this site some time ago now and he would like to recoup this cost. Understandable... but sadly a shortsighted purchase as this is really not a place for living quarters and the experienced local council have ratified this in their refusal of the application.

If there still remains any doubt at all in terms of whether to refuse the appeal, which I'm assuming there won't be but if there was, then the Planning Officer/Inspector(s) are more than welcome to view the proposed 'site' from our property to see for themselves how unsuitable it is for the proposed development.