

From: Jim Palmer [REDACTED]

Sent: 07 February 2017 17:19

Subject: Re: Redevelopment of Mill Rythe holiday camp hailing island

These are my observations on the application listed above.

The accompanying documents with this application are incomplete therefore it is impossible to make informed observations.

Essentially this is an application to provide a site containing second homes and the only way to ensure that there is a distinction between holiday homes and permanent residence is to ensure that the Borough Council's policy on the use of such dwellings is adhered to, i.e. 10 month occupation per annum.

To allow any relaxation of the current policy could prove disastrous for the Island's infrastructure.

There are approximately 2000 static holiday caravans on the island which comply with the current policy and any change to that policy could mean that an additional 2000 permanent residences will be created.

Very little information is provided on the traffic generation anticipated and how this compares with the former holiday camp. I also note that Southern Water require proposals from the developer for dealing with the drainage of the site.

The Chichester harbour Conservancy object to the proposal and one aspect of their objection deals with potential noise from the site.

In a similar vein I am concerned with reference to an outdoor theatre. None of the other holiday camps on the island have outside facilities for entertainment and if this proposal is approved then it could be a major cause of noise pollution.

Particularly worrying is the lack of information on how the site will be managed and marketed. At one extreme it could be managed as a major local tourist attraction and this would have major implications for traffic on the A3023.

It is my contention that the application should be deferred until much more detailed information is available.

Mr J Palmer MICE