

10, Gwatkin Close
Bedhampton
Havant
Hants PO9 3PZ

HAVANT BOROUGH
COUNCIL

- 8 NOV 2016

RECEIVED

Mrs D Hayward
Public Service Plaza
Civic Centre Road
Havant PO9 2AX

Friday 4th November 2016

Dear Mrs ~~Howard~~ *Hayward*

Ref No APP/16/01043

We are writing about the proposed development plan for 26, Scratchface Lane. Our understanding is that an application has been made to change the use of the building at the rear of the property from general industrial manufacture of fibre glass boats to the storage of concrete cutting equipment.

We wish to state our objections in the strongest possible terms. The area is residential and while the previous business was there for many years, the disruption is caused was minimal. The new proposals would mean that 10-11 employees would be working on site with the immediate problem of parking in what is already a heavily congested area. It is likely that the employees would have to park in Scratchface Lane. There is insufficient parking so either they or residents would have to find parking in the surrounding area.

There is also the serious problems associated with the plan for 5-10 vans to be stored overnight and the necessity for the frequent movement of equipment. Scratchface Lane and the surrounding areas are not suitable for frequent use by heavy vehicles and in the immediate area of 26, Scratchface Lane there is limited space for large vehicles to park, to make and pick up deliveries and no turning room.

I believe the Highway Authority has expressed its concerns about the impact on the neighbourhood.

All the problems above will cause serious disruption to residents. Added to that will be the noise and disruption associated with morning/evening work, including early mornings and weekends which is unacceptable in a residential area. Scratchface Lane is both a public footpath and a busy road which already has problems with parking. There will be unacceptable noise pollution as well as an increase in emissions and fumes from the property and the heavy traffic associated with it.

In our view the proposal should be refused as it is an unacceptable use of property in a quiet, residential area. There are many commercial sites locally more suitable for this business to operate from.

Yours sincerely


Mr and Mrs M A D Fellows