

Planning Application Objections
REF: APP/17/00394

- 1: Proposed windows overlooking our home.
- 2: Excessive over development of site in a residential area.
- 3: Too close.
- 4: Boundary fence height.
- 5: Positioning of extractors and vents from kitchens/laundry.
- 6: Possible overview.



1: The proposed position of the extension windows, north aspect, ground floor, will overlook and be overlooked by, our windows. Residents have, under previous owners, objected to such potential intrusion and no doubt will do so again. The height of the boundary fence was earlier raised to overcome this problem. We would object most strongly if this was proposed for the section adjacent to our property. We believe that the fence was, on that occasion, raised higher than the permitted two metres, viewed from our side. Even an increase up to the regulation (two metres?) would cause daylong overshadowing of a substantial area of our garden, rendering it difficult to cultivate or enjoy.

The logical solution would be no window additions on the proposed north aspect.

2: We believe that the proposals are an excessive development of the site. This is a residential area. Any program of building, on this scale, would be bordering on an industrial undertaking and cannot be of any community benefit or enhancement.

3: We feel that, even if there is complicity with regulations, the proposed construction will be so close as to be claustrophobic, taking all pleasure from the use of our garden.

4: As previously mentioned, the height of the boundary fence is, from our side, already at the permitted maximum. Any increase in height would be overpowering and detrimental to enjoyment of our garden.

5: There are currently extractors and vents above the existing north wing. Where will these be re-sited if the north end of the first floor gable is extended? The current positions of these ugly, even if essential, protrusions are bad enough. If moved closer, the noise and discharge will definitely have a negative effect.

6: We would welcome a site visit from the planning and development committee to see the effect that this proposal would have.

Yours sincerely,


D.W. & J. Harris