

Dear Tina Pickup,

I totally object to this planning

With reference to 'Grant of Planning Permission APP APP/21/00499 22nd February 2022.'
Comments for Planning Application APP/24/00435

No 5. States :- (The soft landscaping for the frontage of the site shown on the hereby approved plans shall be completed in full prior to the first use of the hereby approved garage. Any tree or shrub planted as part of the approved landscaping scheme which dies or is otherwise removed within the first 5 years, shall be replaced with another of the same species and size in the same position during the first available planting season.)

Reason: (To ensure the appearance of the development is satisfactory and having due regard to policy CS16 of the Havant Borough Local Plan (Core Strategy) 2011 and the National Planning Policy Framework.)

29 months ago from current date 22.07.2024, No. 4 Bembridge Drive still hasn't complied with the planning order, there is no screen planting of Elaeagnus Hedge (Escallonia) No. 4 has NEVER planted any plants or shrubs on the West Northern boundary fence nor do they appear to ever intend to, they would have incorporated this in when the driveway was built.

No. 4 should be enforced to put these shrubs in to apply with the planning order, there are plenty of trees in Bembridge Drive that survive the salt air / sea conditions, if No. 4 walked along the sea front you will see there's several trees shrubs flowers that survive and flourish in these conditions, there is also an App that can be installed on a device which allows the user to scan plants / trees etc inform you of the type, there is no excuse why they haven't put this boundary fence screening in and requires immediate investigation.

No. 2 has various shrubs, trees and flowers all within one foot of topsoil, I am shocked at the audacity that No. 4 has applied for planning to remove these when they failed to conform to planting to the West boundary. As per the pictures, No. 6 also has trees planted.

No. 4 has not applied to the boundary fences that was set out the heights show on by the colours, these also need to be thoroughly investigated, No. 4 seem to be able to carry out these changes and breaches as they please, with no remorse or worry of their actions, this is not setting a good example and seems to be giving the impression that others can follow with this arrogance and ignorant attitude for others or any rules. The fences are now or over 2m high, this needs to be measured and checked as they don't seem to comply with the planning that was granted.

I believe No. 4 wants to remove the planning because No. 4 has not complied with the Northern Western boundary approved landscaping scheme policy CS16

The picture shows the extension of height which should be 0.6 marked in yellow on the plans and not 2m.

The reason why the plants are not growing could be where the asbestos from the two old garages were buried. Should be investigated to where the asbestos went to.





Sign in to edit and save changes to this file.











