



FORTY ACRE FARM, BEDHAMPTON

REVISED TRANSPORT ASSESSMENT

September 2019

Barratt David Wilson Homes

**RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT  
FORTY ACRE FARM  
BEDHAMPTON**

**REVISED TRANSPORT ASSESSMENT**

**CONTROLLED DOCUMENT**

|                                   |               |                  |             |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|------------------|-------------|
| <i>PB-Associates Document No:</i> |               | 041.0023/RTA/3   |             |
| <i>Status:</i>                    | Original      | <i>Copy No:</i>  |             |
|                                   | <i>Name</i>   | <i>Signature</i> | <i>Date</i> |
| <i>Prepared by:</i>               | Jessica Lloyd |                  | May 2019    |
| <i>Checked:</i>                   | Mark Smith    |                  | May 2019    |
| <i>PBA Approved:</i>              | Mark Smith    |                  | May 2019    |

**Revision Record**

| <i>Rev.</i> | <i>Date</i> | <i>By</i> | <i>Summary of Changes</i> | <i>Chkd</i> | <i>Aprvd</i> |
|-------------|-------------|-----------|---------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| 2           | 13/06/2019  | JL        | Revised Site Layout       | MS          | MS           |
| 3           | 16/09/2019  | JL        | Revised Site Layout       | MS          | MS           |



**Barratt David Wilson Homes**  
Tollbar House  
Tollbar Way  
Hedge End  
Southampton  
SO30 2UH



**Paul Basham Associates Ltd**  
Lancaster Court  
8 Barnes Wallis Road  
Fareham  
Hampshire  
PO15 5TU

**RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT  
FORTY ACRE FARM  
BEDHAMPTON**

**REVISED TRANSPORT ASSESSMENT**

**Contents**

|           |                                            |           |
|-----------|--------------------------------------------|-----------|
| <b>1.</b> | <b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>                   | <b>3</b>  |
| <b>2.</b> | <b>EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS .....</b>      | <b>7</b>  |
| <b>3.</b> | <b>PLANNING POLICY .....</b>               | <b>23</b> |
| <b>4.</b> | <b>PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT.....</b>           | <b>27</b> |
| <b>5.</b> | <b>TRIP GENERATION AND ASSIGNMENT.....</b> | <b>40</b> |
| <b>6.</b> | <b>PERCENTAGE IMPACT ASSESSMENTS.....</b>  | <b>46</b> |
| <b>7.</b> | <b>JUNCTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS .....</b>    | <b>50</b> |
| <b>8.</b> | <b>SENSITIVITY TEST.....</b>               | <b>63</b> |
| <b>9.</b> | <b>CONCLUSION .....</b>                    | <b>67</b> |

**Figures**

- Figure 1 – Site Location
- Figure 2 – Site Map from HBC Housing Statement
- Figure 3 – Site Map from HBC Draft Local Plan (2036)
- Figure 4 – Site Context
- Figure 5 – Havant Borough Cycle Network Map 2017
- Figure 6 – Local Cycle Routes
- Figure 7 – PIA Incidents
- Figure 8 – Walking Distances from Penk Ridge

**Tables**

- Table 1 – Distance to Local Facilities
- Table 2 – Local Bus Services
- Table 3 – A2030 (Havant Road) Traffic Survey Summary
- Table 4 – Accommodation Schedule
- Table 5 – HBC Car Parking Standards
- Table 6 – HBC Cycle Parking Standards
- Table 7 – Proposed Trip Generation
- Table 8 – 2011 Census 'Travel to Work Data
- Table 9 – Route Assignment by Percentage
- Table 10 – Development Traffic Distribution
- Table 11 – Updated Route Assignment
- Table 12 – Westways Trip Rate
- Table 13 – Proposed Vehicle Splits Between Accesses
- Table 14 – TEMPRO Growth (NTEM Adjusted)
- Table 15 – Percentage Impact of Development on Rusty Cutter Roundabout
- Table 16 – Percentage Impact of Development on Rusty Cutter Roundabout Arms

|                                                                      |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Table 17 – Percentage Impact of Development on A27 Teardrop Junction |
| Table 18 – Percentage Impact on Local Road Network (2028)            |
| Table 19 – PCC Sensitivity Assessment                                |
| Table 20 – Site Access (Eastern) Modelling                           |
| Table 21 – Site Access (Western) Modelling                           |
| Table 22 – Havant Road/Auriol Drive Modelling                        |
| Table 23 – Havant Road/Bedhampton Hill Modelling                     |
| Table 24 – Rusty Cutter Roundabout Modelling                         |
| Table 25 – A27 Teardrop Junction Modelling                           |
| Table 26 – Sensitivity Test Trip Generation                          |
| Table 27 – Sensitivity Test Trip Distributions                       |
| Table 28 – Sensitivity Trip Generation through SRN                   |
| Table 29 – Sensitivity Test SRN Modelling                            |

## Appendices

|                                                                   |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Appendix A – Proposed Site Layout                                 |
| Appendix B – Pre-application Meeting Minutes                      |
| Appendix C – Accessibility Map                                    |
| Appendix D – Havant Road Traffic Survey Results                   |
| Appendix E – Hampshire County Council Accident Data               |
| Appendix F – Access Arrangement                                   |
| Appendix G – Road Safety Audit and Designers Response             |
| Appendix H – Concept Design Check                                 |
| Appendix I – Internal Visibility Splays                           |
| Appendix J – Refuse Vehicle Tracking                              |
| Appendix K – Fire Tender Vehicle Tracking                         |
| Appendix L – Cycle Parking Plan                                   |
| Appendix M – Route to School Improvement Plans                    |
| Appendix N – GG119 Compliant Road Safety Audit                    |
| Appendix O – TRICS Output                                         |
| Appendix P – Development Traffic Distribution                     |
| Appendix Q – Site Access Junctions 9 Output                       |
| Appendix R – Havant Road/Auriol Drive Junctions 9 Output          |
| Appendix S – Havant Road/Bedhampton Hill Junctions 9 Output       |
| Appendix T – Rusty Cutter Roundabout LinSig Outputs               |
| Appendix U – A27 Teardrop LinSig Output                           |
| Appendix V – HBC Local Plan Sensitivity Test Distribution Diagram |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 This Revised Transport Assessment (RTA) has been prepared by Paul Basham Associates (PBA) on behalf Barratt David Wilson Homes (BDWH) to support a re-consultation for a full planning application for a residential development comprising 320 residential dwellings, a 66-bedroom care home, allotments, habitat mitigation zone, provision of public open space and associated infrastructure at Forty Acre Farm, Bedhampton. The site location is demonstrated in **Figure 1**, with the site layout attached as **Appendix A**.




**Figure 1:** Site Location

- 1.2 The scope of this TA reflects the principles of the recently revoked DfT's 'Guidance on Transport Assessments' (March 2007) reviewing; site accessibility, personal injury accident (PIA) data, the proposed accommodation schedule, car and cycle parking requirements, visibility and access arrangements, servicing, trip assessments, traffic impact and capacity assessment on the local road network (LRN), makes recommendations for any mitigation measures and draws conclusions from the overall assessment.
- 1.3 A Transport Scoping Note (TSN) was submitted to Hampshire County Council (HCC) Development Control Officers, which set out the baseline traffic conditions on Havant Road (A2030), development trip rates, traffic distribution, scope of capacity assessment and accident review, the principle of access design into the site and a general assessment regarding site accessibility. The meeting minutes are provided in **Appendix B**.

- 1.4 A separate Full Residential Travel Plan (FTP) was been prepared in conjunction with the original TA and planning application, which seeks to facilitate and promote the use of sustainable transport modes, by providing a package of soft measures, supported by investment in infrastructure. The FTP will also include a detailed action plan and mode share targets, based on HCC Travel Plan guidance.
- 1.5 The proposed site was included in Havant Borough Council's Draft Local Plan Housing Statement (December 2016) under site reference UE68 indicatively for 300 dwellings. Being included as a 'site considered suitable for early release' means that the site is free of constraints that cannot be mitigated, is available for development and sustainable development could be achieved on the site. The site map from the Housing Statement is demonstrated in **Figure 2**.



**Figure 2:** Site Map from HBC Housing Statement

- 1.6 The site has also been identified in Havant Borough Council's Draft Local Plan (2036) which was out for public consultation between 8th January to 16<sup>th</sup> February 2018. The site is identified as Policy H14: Forty Acres with policy stating that a Transport Assessment and Travel Plan are required to support a planning application. The policy also outlined that infrastructure should be delivered in a coordinated manner across the site and nearby sites and that off-site highway improvements are made including a pedestrian and cycle crossing on the Rusty Cutter roundabout. The site map from the Draft Local plan is demonstrated in **Figure 3**.



**Figure 3:** Site Map from HBC Draft Local Plan (2036)

- 1.7 The Pre-submission Havant Borough Local Plan 2036 was approved by the Full Council on 30<sup>th</sup> January 2019 and consulted on between 1<sup>st</sup> February to 18<sup>th</sup> March 2019. The proposed development was included within this Pre-Submission document under Policy H14 for mixed use development comprising about 320 dwellings and a care home (C2). The policy states that a Transport Assessment and Travel Plan are required to support an application, that 'an appropriate means of access is established to the satisfaction of the Highway Authority' and that 'off-site highway improvements are made including a pedestrian and cycle crossing on the Rusty Cutter roundabout and a crossing to the north side of the A2030'.

- 1.8 The development proposals were originally submitted to Havant Borough Council in May 2018 under planning application reference APP/18/00450. A Transport Assessment and Travel Plan were submitted with this application. This Revised Transport Assessment has been prepared to provide an updated highways position and opportunity for a new consultation following updates to the development proposals from the original planning application.
- 1.9 Since the submission of the original application and transport works, the highway elements have been subject to extensive further assessment. A full set of initial comments were received from HCC Highways on 16<sup>th</sup> July 2018, whilst initial comments from Portsmouth City Council (PCC) were received on 19<sup>th</sup> June 2018 and from Highways England on 12<sup>th</sup> June 2018.
- 1.10 This RTA has been informed by a Sustainability Review Report (SRR) prepared by Paul Basham Associates in October 2018. This SRR report was prepared to respond to HCC and HE's initial comments on the original application and covered the following:
- Detailed review on access to Bidbury Schools
    - Pedestrian options
    - Cycling routes
    - Use of Public Transport
    - Provision of 'Park and Stride' at car park next to recreation ground and cricket club
  - Detailed review on access to Warblington School (Secondary)
    - Pedestrian options
    - Cycling routes
    - Use of Public Transport
  - Detailed review on access to facilities within Drayton
  - Review of requirement for additional controlled crossing on Havant Road.

This report has been provided and submitted under a separate cover within the re-consultation. For reference this report ultimately concluded that there are a number of existing sustainable travel opportunities to access the local schools in Bidbury and Warblington and local facilities in Drayton.

## 2. EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS

- 2.1 The site is circa 9.67 hectares and currently operates as a farm with weekly car boot sales.
- 2.2 The existing site is accessed via a single bellmouth taken from Havant Road (see **Photograph 1**). Opposite the existing site access is a right-turn lane providing access into residential development on Auriol Drive. As part of the development proposals, the existing access would be closed and reinstated as footway/cycleway, (no stopping up of highway rights is required).



**Photograph 1:** Existing Site Access

- 2.3 The site is located on the western boundary of Bedhampton, within Havant Borough Council and is bounded to the south by railway lines and the A27/A3(M), with Havant Road to the north, residential development to the west and the A3(M) off-slip to the east. The site has good access to local facilities (confirmed through the draft allocation), being within 1km of Drayton local centre and within 900m of the A27 and A3(M). The site and its surroundings are demonstrated in **Figure 4**.



**Figure 4:** Site Context

### Location

- 2.4 The site is located to the west of Bedhampton, on the south side of the A2030 (Havant Road). There is a footway flanking the northern side of the Havant Road carriageway which varies in width between 1.5m-2m and demonstrated in **Photograph 2**, and has a levels difference of 0.57 circa from the carriageway levels across the central part of the site frontage.



**Photograph 2:** Existing Pedestrian Conditions on Havant Road

- 2.5 The local facilities, services and amenities available within Drayton and Bedhampton are illustrated in an Accessibility Map attached as **Appendix C**. In Drayton local centre there is a convenience store and post office.
- 2.6 Given the confirmed catchment area for the proposed development, the schools assigned to the

children within the development would be Bidbury Infant and Bidbury Junior Schools located approximately 1.8km (from the centre of the proposed development to the pedestrian entrance to the schools) to the east of the site. Bidbury Infant and Bidbury Junior Schools are accessible via Havant Road, Bedhampton Hill and Bedhampton Road. The schools are therefore within the CIHT suggested acceptable (preferred maximum) walking distance for schools of 2km. Following submission of the original TA, the Sustainability Review Report completed a thorough assessment of all sustainable travel opportunities to the Bidbury Schools. In summary there are opportunities for walking, cycling, a 'Park and Stride' facility associated with the car park adjacent to the Cricket Club (agreed in principle by Havant Borough Council's Estate Team) and opportunities to use the existing high frequency public transport services. Mitigation to help improve the pedestrian route towards Bidbury Infant and Bidbury Junior School and around the Rusty Cutter Roundabout is discussed more within **Section 4** of this TA under off-site improvements.

- 2.7 The 'Park and Stride' facility to the Bidbury Schools will be required to be advertised within the Travel Plan Welcome Packs and with the school. HCC also require a £2000 contribution to allow provision of park and stride signage and literature to advertise the site.
- 2.8 The catchment secondary school for the proposed development has been confirmed as Warblington School. Utilising the current pedestrian infrastructure via the north of the Rusty Cutter, the walking route to Warblington School is 4.4km (taken from the centre of the site). Based on a walking speed of 80m per minute, this equates to a walking time of 55 minutes. This distance is therefore above the CIHT guidance. However, as confirmed by HCC's initial comments to the original application the school does fall within a recognised acceptable cycling distance of 5km.
- 2.9 The route to both schools has been fully considered in the Sustainability Review Report submitted under a separate cover. Ultimately, to access the Bidbury Schools the existing high frequency bus service can be used (discussed in more detail below), the existing route around the north of the Rusty Cutter and along Bedhampton Hill can be used, a proposed route around the south of the Rusty Cutter and along Bedhampton Hill can be used, or a Park and Stride facility is proposed at the car park adjacent to the Cricket Club in Bedhampton (agreed in principle with HBC). To access Warblington School, the existing and proposed upgrades to the cycle network around the north of the Rusty Cutter roundabout can be used or alternatively the high frequency bus service can be used to access Havant Town Centre from which it is then a circa 17-minute walk to the school via East Street, Emsworth Road and Southleigh Road. Warblington Train Station also offers a realistic travel opportunity to access Warblington School.

- 2.10 The nearest further education and higher education establishment is the Havant and South Downs

College located circa 3.4km to the north of the development site. To access the college would constitute a 42 minute walk utilising existing pedestrian infrastructure on Rectory Avenue, Woodfield Avenue, Beverley Grove, Portsdown Hill Road and College Road. Whilst the route does experience change in gradients, due to the age of attendees at the college this should not restrict the use of this route. **Photographs 3 to 8** demonstrate this route.



**Photograph 3:** Rectory Avenue



**Photograph 4:** Woodfield Avenue



**Photograph 5:** Beverley Grove



**Photograph 6:** Portsdown Hill Road



**Photograph 7:** College Road



**Photograph 8:** College Road

- 2.11 Alternatively, public transport can be used to access the college by using the bus service which passes the site frontage to Havant and then changing to a different bus service which stops at the college.
- 2.12 There are also a number of pre-schools and nurseries to the north and east of the site including Peacock Pre-school, Phoenix Pre-School, Farlington Day Nursery, The Wendy House, Bidbury Pre-School and St Thomas More's Pre-School.
- 2.13 In terms of health provision there are multiple doctors surgeries in Drayton, Bedhampton, Widley and Cosham, with the closest 1.9km (taken from the centre of the site) to the west of the site in Drayton. The closest pharmacy is 1.7km (taken from the centre of the site) to the west of the site in Drayton. The full route towards Drayton has been assessed within the Sustainability Review Report. The nearest dentist is in Farlington, to the west on Havant Road. The nearest hospital with Accident and Emergency facilities is Queen Alexandra Hospital located approximately 4km west of the site.
- 2.14 The site's proximity to existing local amenities, pedestrian and cycle networks presents an opportunity to encourage the use of sustainable transport and create a sustainable development. A summary of walking, cycling and bus times from the centre of the site is shown in **Table 1**.

| Amenity                   | Approximate Walking Distance (Centre of Site) | Approximate Walking Time (Minutes) | Approximate Cycle Time (Minutes) | Approximate time by bus (Minutes) |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Local Primary School      | 1.8km                                         | 24                                 | 7                                | 7                                 |
| Local Secondary School    | 3.4km                                         | 26                                 | 9                                | 8                                 |
| Post Office               | 1.2km                                         | 13                                 | 5                                | 6                                 |
| Closest Bus Stop          | 400m                                          | 2                                  | 1                                | -                                 |
| Closest Convenience Store | 1km                                           | 12                                 | 4                                | 5                                 |
| Bedhampton Train Station  | 2km                                           | 25                                 | 8                                | 8                                 |
| Bedhampton Local Centre   | 2km                                           | 25                                 | 8                                | 6                                 |
| Supermarket               | 2.4km                                         | 27                                 | 10                               | 12                                |

**Table 1:** Distances to Local Facilities

### **Pedestrian Network**

- 2.15 The local footway network around the site benefits from a gentle topography and footways of sufficient width.
- 2.16 Within the vicinity of the site there are currently footways on the northern side of Havant Road which are approximately 1.5-2m. These footways are facilitated by dropped kerbs, tactile paving and pedestrian crossing points to the east and west. Approximately 170m to the east of the existing site access is a formal crossing point, which currently provides access from the northern footway to the bus stop located on the southern side of the carriageway. An example of the pedestrian infrastructure on Havant Road is demonstrated in **Photograph 9**.



**Photograph 9:** Existing Pedestrian Crossing facilities

- 2.17 Travelling west along the A2030 the footway runs on both sides of the carriageway approximately 170m from the proposed site's western access. At this point there is an informal pedestrian crossing with a pedestrian refuge island but no dropped kerb or tactile paving. This crossing is due to be upgraded as part of the development proposals. Continuing west into Drayton and Farlington footways flank either side of the carriageway with multiple pedestrian refuge island crossing points. At the junction of Gant Road and Havant Road there is a signalised pelican crossing to aid pedestrian movements to and from the local facilities.
- 2.18 Travelling east, Havant Road (A2030) forms a junction with the Rusty Cutter Roundabout (A3(M), A27, Bedhampton Hill and Havant Road). There is an existing signposted footway/cycleway route around the north of the Rusty Cutter to connect with Bedhampton. This includes dropped kerbs and tactile paving across the A3(M) on-slip and off-slip towards Bedhampton Hill. These crossing points are demonstrated in **Photographs 10 and 11**.



**Photograph 10:** Pedestrian crossing A3(M) on-slip



**Photograph 11:** A3(M) off-slip

- 2.19 Havant Road benefits from street lighting, which helps create a safe and attractive pedestrian environment. This would help to encourage the use of local footways by future residents.

### **Cycle Network**

- 2.20 The LRN also benefits from gentle gradients which help to make cycling a more attractive mode of transport. Furthermore, an off-road footway/cycleway currently runs along the northern side of Havant Road from the junction of Havant Road/E Lodge Park.
- 2.21 To the east of the site a signposted network link and partly off-road cycle track is available around the north of the Rusty Cutter Roundabout (**Photographs 10** and **11**) and onto the A27 and Teardrop junction connecting towards the Harts Farm Way Industrial Estate. From the Rusty Cutter roundabout a signposted network link is also available along Lower Road to connect towards Bedhampton Local Centre. A snapshot of the Havant Borough Cycle Network Map 2017 in vicinity of the site is provided in **Figure 5**.



**Figure 5:** Havant Borough Cycle Network Map 2017

- 2.22 Whilst for the majority of Havant Road there are no dedicated on-road cycle lanes, the width of the road ensures that cars are able to pass without disturbing cyclists on the road. Frequent dropped kerbs on the northern side of the carriageway allows for cyclists to dismount safely.
- 2.23 There are also two National Cycle Network (NCN) routes within the vicinity of the site. The 22 is an on-road route from Banstead to Portsmouth and the Isle of Wight. Route number 222 runs to the west of the site and is a partially traffic free route which connects to Portsmouth and NCN Route 2 to the south and Horndean and Clanfield to the north. A typical cycle to Portsmouth takes approximately 30 minutes.
- 2.24 The cycle routes under the National Cycle Network within the vicinity of the site are summarised in **Figure 6**, with purple lines representing on-road routes, and green representing traffic-free routes.



**Figure 6:** Local Cycle Routes (Map from [www.sutrans.org.uk](http://www.sutrans.org.uk))

## Bus Services

2.25 The site is supported by two pairs of bus stops on Havant Road both within 200m either side of the proposed site accesses (400m from the centre of the site). Both sets of bus stops benefit from shelters (except the eastern eastbound bus stop), seating, timetables and are served by Stagecoach services 21 and 23. A further service operated by First Group is available from the 'Sunshine Inn E bound' bus stop approximately 800m west of the proposed developments western access. A summary of the services is provided in **Table 2**.

| Service | Route                                                | Stop                    | Operator   | Frequency                                  |                                            |                                           |
|---------|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
|         |                                                      |                         |            | Monday - Friday                            | Saturday                                   | Sunday and Public Holiday                 |
| 21      | Portsmouth - Farlington - West Leigh - Havant        | Forty Acres/Havant Road | Stagecoach | Every 30 minutes from 05:50 am - 23:35 pm* | Every 30 minutes from 06:01 am - 23:25 pm* | Hourly from 07:58 am - 21:09 pm           |
| 23      | Leigh Park - Havant - Farlington - Cosham - Southsea | Forty Acres/Havant Road | Stagecoach | Every 10 minutes from 05:09 am - 23:21 pm* | Every 10 minutes from 06:46 am - 23:21 pm* | Every 20 minutes from 08:07 am - 22:59 pm |
| 22      | Highbury - Cosham - Drayton - Farlington             | Sunshine Inn East Bound | First      | Hourly from 07:00 am - 18:00 pm            |                                            | Hourly from 09:00 am - 18:00 pm           |

**Table 2:** Local Bus Services

\*Increased service provision during morning and evening peaks

2.26 The closest bus stop to the east is referred to as 'Forty Acres (W-Bound)' on Havant Road. This bus stop is equipped with a shelter, bench and bus timetables (see **Photograph 12**). The east bound bus

stop consists of a flag and pole, timetable and bench (see **Photograph 13**).



**Photograph 12:** Forty Acres W-bound



**Photograph 13:** Forty Acres E-bound

2.27 The bus stops to the west of the site are referred to as 'Rectory Avenue NE-bound' and 'Rectory Avenue SW-bound'. Both stops benefit from shelter, seating, timetabling information and laybys (see **Photographs 14 and 15**).



**Photograph 14:** Rectory Avenue NE-bound



**Photograph 15:** Rectory Avenue SW-bound

2.28 The 21 service provides a half hourly service to Portsmouth and Havant. The service operates all day Monday to Saturday from 06:00 to 23:00, providing an alternative to the private vehicle. On Sundays the service reduces to hourly operating from 08:00 to 21:00. A journey to Portsmouth Hard Interchange from Forty Acres averages 35 minutes and journeys to Havant Bus Station take approximately 10 minutes.

- 2.29 The 23 service provide a high frequency service from Leigh Park to Southsea via Farlington. This service operates every 10 minutes from 05:00 to 23:00 Monday to Friday, every 10 minutes 06:00-23:00 and every 20 minutes from 08:00 to 23:00. This service connecting Leigh Park, Cosham, Portsmouth and Southsea provides a realistic opportunity for commuters who may work in the area. A typical journey from Havant Road to Portsmouth City Centre on the 23 takes on average 50 minutes.
- 2.30 The 22 First Bus provides an hourly service (Monday – Sunday) between Cosham, Drayton and Farlington, providing a frequent service to local facilities for less mobile residents, albeit with reduced service hours on Sundays (09:00-18:00).
- 2.31 HCC requested that contact was made with Stagecoach to understand if the above services could be routed through the site. Stagecoach confirmed that they would not consider a service diversion as it would cause unnecessary delay to passengers. This principle was accepted by HCC in the previous submission.

### **Rail Services**

- 2.32 Bedhampton Railway Station is located approximately 2km to the east of the proposed development, approximately an 8-minute cycle or an 8-minute journey on the number 23 bus service.
- 2.33 The station is equipped with 20 sheltered and secure cycle storage spaces, customer help points, CCTV and daytime (Monday – Saturday) staffing.
- 2.34 Bedhampton Railway Station provides direct access to destinations including Portsmouth and Southsea, London Waterloo and Guildford. Trains typically stop at a frequency of two per hour during the day for services between Portsmouth and Southsea and London Waterloo. Journeys to Portsmouth and Southsea take approximately 15 minutes with journeys to London Waterloo taking approximately 96 minutes.
- 2.35 In the AM and PM peaks there are trains roughly every 20 minutes in a westerly direction (to Portsmouth) and hourly in a northerly (to London) and easterly (Littlehampton) direction providing opportunities for commuters.
- 2.36 Bedhampton Railway Station provides an attractive option for commuters to Littlehampton, London and Portsmouth.

### Summary of Site Accessibility

- 2.37 The site is situated to the east of Drayton which hosts a selection of facilities and amenities including convenience stores, eateries and a public house all of which are within walking distance of the site and supported by pedestrian (and cycle) infrastructure. Furthermore, the site is located within an established residential area and is considered suitable to encourage sustainable development as identified by HBC's Draft Local Plan and Housing Statement
- 2.38 The site is also on a high frequency bus corridor (23 bus service) thereby providing an opportunity to participate in sustainable travel to surrounding destinations including Portsmouth and Havant.

### Local Road Network

- 2.39 The A2030 (Havant Road) is a single carriageway road c.9m wide, which runs through the centre of Bedhampton and Drayton. Havant Road (A2030) runs east to west from the Rusty Cutter Roundabout (A3(M), A27 and Bedhampton Hill) across the site frontage to the west of the site and terminates at the roundabout of High Street, London Road, Spur Road and Havant Road. Havant Road is subject to a 40mph speed restriction along the majority of the site frontage, although this decreases to 30mph roughly 60m west of the proposed western access into the development site.
- 2.40 On the northern side of the carriageway there is a footway for pedestrians (See **Photograph 2**), with no pedestrian footway on the southern side of the carriageway across the majority of the site frontage. The road environment is demonstrated in **Photograph 16**. Regular street lighting columns are present on the north side of Havant Road across the site frontage.



**Photograph 16:** Havant Road (A2030) Environment

- 2.41 Traffic surveys have been commissioned in the vicinity of the site along Havant Road to establish the

existing traffic situation on the Local Road Network (LRN) in order to fully understand the likely impact of the development. These surveys were undertaken in November 2015 with the results presented in **Table 3** and attached as **Appendix D**.

| Botley Road | AM Peak (0800-0900)<br>Volume (%) | PM Peak (1700-1800)<br>Volume (%) | Daily Flow (7-Day Average) | Daily 85%ile Speeds |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| Eastbound   | 683 (58.3%)                       | 445 (40.4%)                       | 7666                       | 40.5mph             |
| Westbound   | 489 (41.7%)                       | 657 (59.6%)                       | 7384                       | 40.3mph             |

**Table 3:** A2030 (Havant Road) Traffic Survey Summary

2.42 **Table 3** demonstrates that the A2030 carries a moderate level of traffic with a slightly greater flow of traffic eastbound over a 24-hour period. In the AM peak there is a slight greater flow of traffic in the eastbound direction (i.e. towards A3(M) and A27), whereas in the PM peak there is a stronger flow of traffic westbound (i.e. away from the A3(M) and A27).

2.43 Pre-application discussions with HCC Development Control Officers, indicated that the following key junctions require review as part of this TA;

- Rusty Cutter Roundabout (Havant Road, Bedhampton Hill, A3(M) and A27);
- Auriol Drive (eastern) and Havant Road;
- Bedhampton Hill and Havant Road; and
- A27 Tear Drop Junction.

2.44 The Rusty Cutter roundabout is a partially signalised roundabout which connects Havant Road to the A3(M), A27 and Bedhampton Hill. Bedhampton Hill runs east to west and offers a route towards Bedhampton Local Centre. The A3(M) connects London to Portsmouth and operates as an A road for its majority. The A27 operates between Salisbury in the west to Pevensey in the east. The roundabout is supported by dropped kerbs and tactile paving on the northern arms of the roundabout (A3(M) on and off-slips) and eastern arms across Bedhampton Hill, implemented as part of a cycle network scheme delivered by HCC.

2.45 The Auriol Drive and Havant Road junction is approximately 9m wide and is facilitated by a ghost island right turn lane and serves the residential properties of Auriol Drive.

2.46 The Bedhampton Hill and Havant Road junction is a left out only junction onto Havant Road towards the Rusty Cutter roundabout. The junction is demarcated with 'Keep Clear' road markings to ensure vehicles travelling west along Havant Road are able to turn right into Bedhampton Hill with no queuing

on Havant Road blocking this manoeuvre.

2.47 The A27 Teardrop junction is a partially signalised junction/roundabout which provides access to the A27 (eastbound and westbound) and Harts Farm Way. The A27 off-slip is signalised, but Harts Farm Way is not.

2.48 As a result of the Public Consultation event, undertaken on 2<sup>nd</sup> November 2017, it was also requested by a Portsmouth City Councillor and Portsmouth City Council (PCC) Highways that junctions within PCC jurisdiction were investigated in terms of traffic impact. Therefore, the Havant Road/Lower Farlington Road and Havant Road/Eastern Road junctions have also been assessed as part of the original TA and this RTA.

### Personal Injury Accident Data

2.49 Personal Injury Accident (PIA) data has been acquired from Hampshire Constabulary to assess and consider the existing safety situation on the local road network, in particular; Havant Road along the site frontage, Rusty Cutter roundabout and A27 Teardrop junction. The report obtained from Hampshire Constabulary detailing all the accidents is attached as **Appendix E**.

2.50 The patterns displayed in the data have been assessed with regards to the proximity, frequency and severity of incidents that have occurred that may require further in-depth consideration. The PIA data has been collected for a period of 5 years from January 2012 to December 2017 and is outlined in **Figure 7**.



Figure 7: PIA Incidents

2.51 There has been a total of 65 PIAs during this 5 year period; of which there were 0 fatalities, 12 serious

injury accidents and 53 slight injury accidents. However, 16 of these PIA's occurred on the A27 and A3(M) which given the very large volumes of traffic using such strategic roads does not suggest a significant trend.

- 2.52 A cluster of 14 PIAs; 1 serious and 13 slight incidents occurred at the Rusty Cutter roundabout. The one serious incident involved a motorist and a cyclist with the motorist passing too close to the on-road cyclist. The accident occurred in 2012 and since there have been improvements works at the Rusty Cutter roundabout including the implementation of a footway/cycleway around parts of the roundabout including up to the A27 Teardrop junction. Given the large volume of traffic utilising this roundabout accidents are expected and given the spread of accident timeframes and causation factors this is not a cause of concern.
- 2.53 Three PIA's were recorded which involved pedestrians (reference numbers: 120264040, 120297738 and 150029129). The accidents were evenly distributed across the local road network suggesting no highway design issues with all accidents reporting failing to look properly as a causation factor. No incidents involving pedestrians were recorded at the Rusty Cutter Roundabout or across the site frontage.
- 2.54 One serious PIA (reference number: 140079681) was reported opposite the proposed eastern site access, and the report from HCC is included as **Appendix E**. The accident was caused by a vehicle travelling along Havant Road losing control and veering into the path of an oncoming vehicle. This accident was a result of human error, and not a result of highway design.
- 2.55 A second cluster is apparent at the Rectory Avenue and Havant Road junction with 6 slight accidents (reference numbers: 130254105, 160351687, 110419494, 120100631, 120122498, 150029129). All incidents were a result of human errors, such as failing to look properly, aggressive driving, careless/reckless/in a hurry or failing to judge a person's speed. The junction exhibits good visibility enhanced by the wide footway along Havant Road and tactile paving and dropped kerbs for pedestrians. None of these incidents involved pedestrians. Therefore, the accidents here are not a result of highway design or a cause of concern.

- 2.56 Through Hampshire County Council's initial set of comments on the application it was identified that there was a small cluster of accidents at the Bedhampton Hill approach to the Rusty Cutter roundabout. Through the agreed traffic distribution as discussed later within this RTA only 5% of vehicle trips are likely to route along this arm, this equates to 4 vehicle movements in the AM (0800-0900) peak and 5 vehicle movements in the PM (1700-1800) peak. In addition an assessment of whether this arm could be signalised has been completed with confirmation received from HCC's ITS team that the LinSig assessment identified increases in the Degree of Saturation, delay and queue lengths as a result of signalisation which would not be acceptable. No further reviews have therefore been considered as it is not considered that traffic from the proposed development would exacerbate this accident problem.
- 2.57 No further comments from PCC or HE were received through the original planning application regarding the PIA data or assessment
- 2.58 The frequency and distribution of these PIAs and causation factors therefore do not indicate any cause for concern for HCC, HE and PCC.

### 3. PLANNING POLICY

#### **National Planning Policy Framework**

- 3.1 Adopted February 2019, the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) acts as the central guidance for development planning and replaces all national planning policy guidance including Planning Policy Guidance 13 (PPG13): Transport.
- 3.2 Designed to make the planning system less complex and more accessible, the NPPF gives greater responsibility to Local Authorities, a 'presumption in favour of sustainable development', and encourages local planning authorities to 'positively seek' opportunities to meet the development needs of their area.
- 3.3 The NPPF paragraph 109 states:
- "Development should only be prevented or refused on highways grounds if there would be an unacceptable impact on highways safety, or the residual impacts on the road network would be severe"
- 3.4 Therefore, all development which 'will generate significant amounts of movement should be required to provide a travel plan, and the application should be supported by a transport statement or transport assessment so that the likely impacts of the proposal can be assessed.
- 3.5 This TA and accompanying Travel Plan accord with these principles. The NPPF also cites the following as key considerations with regards to transport and development planning to which this proposal's highway approach adheres:
- The potential impacts of development on transport networks can be addressed;
  - Opportunities from existing or proposed transport infrastructure, and changing transport technology and usage, are realised – for example in relation to the scale and location or density of development that can be accommodated;
  - Opportunities to promote walking, cycling and public transport use are identified and pursued;
  - The environmental impacts of traffic and transport infrastructure can be identified, assessed and taken into account – including appropriate opportunities for avoiding and mitigating any adverse effects, and for net environmental gains; and
  - Patterns of movement, streets, parking and other transport considerations are integral to the design of schemes, and contribute to making high quality places.

### **Hampshire County Council Local Transport Plan 3 (LTP3 2011-2031)**

3.6 LTP3 sets out HCCs transport strategy and identifies a range of policy objectives, based on the three guiding principles of “developing and supporting stronger communities, maximising wellbeing and enhancing quality of place”. The most relevant policy objectives to this TA are summarised as:

- Continue to work to improve road safety through targeted measures that deliver reductions in casualties and to reduce the impact of traffic on community life and promote considerate driver behaviour;
- Work with district authorities to agree coherent policy approaches to parking; and
- Invest in sustainable transport measures, including walking and cycling infrastructure.

### **Havant Borough Council's Core Strategy (2011)**

3.7 Havant Borough Council's Local Plan is incorporated into their Core Strategy which was adopted in March 2011.

3.8 In accordance with the Core Strategy the proposed development would be required to comply with the following policies:

- DM11 'Planning for More Sustainable Travel'
- DM12 'Mitigating the Impacts of Travel'
- DM13 'Car and Cycle Parking on Residential Development'
- DM15 'Safeguarding Transport Infrastructure'

3.9 The site Masterplan ensures policies DM11 and DM13 are met with safe and secure parking spaces in accordance with standards, appropriate and safe access to public transport and pedestrian friendly streets with low vehicle speeds.

3.10 In accordance with Policy DM12, this Transport Assessment and an accompanying Travel Plan identify hard infrastructure improvements and behavioural change measures to ensure the impact of travel are mitigated.

3.11 In accordance with Policy DM15 'Safeguarding Transport Infrastructure' the proposed development would not be prejudicial to the future provision of strategic transport infrastructure.

### **Pre-Submission Havant Borough Local Plan 2036**

- 3.12 The Pre-Submission Havant Borough Local Plan 2036 was approved by the Full Council on 30<sup>th</sup> January and consulted on between 1<sup>st</sup> February and 18<sup>th</sup> March 2019. The Local Plan is awaiting submission to the Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government which is expected for Autumn 2019. Given that this plan is yet to be adopted, the principles from the 2011 Core Strategy have been applied to this development.

### **Local Development Status**

- 3.13 Through the Pre-Application discussions with HCC and HBC it was agreed that the following developments should be assessed and determined whether these should be included as 'Committed Development':

- APP/14/00199 Land at Portsdown Hill;
- 02/52646/016 and APP/13/00592 Former Depot Site (Harts Farm Way);
- APP/12/00504 and APP/16/00161 Former ICTS House, Solent Road; and
- APP/14/00724 Former Havant Road Retail Park.

- 3.14 David Wilson Homes' 'Land at Portsdown Hill (APP/14/00199) was at 50% occupation during Summer 2016 when traffic surveys were completed for the Forty Acre Farm proposals after receiving full planning consent in April 2014. Therefore, 50% of the site traffic will be added as 'Committed development' equating to 24 dwellings.

- 3.15 The Former Depot Site (Harts Farm Way) application received outline planning permission in December 2007 and received an extension of the time limit to implement the outline planning permission in June 2011. A number of Reserved Matters applications were submitted between June 2013 and February 2015. It is therefore considered that the development would be fully built and occupied given the nature of the development by the time traffic surveys were completed for this development application and therefore this site will not be treated as a 'Committed Development'. This development has also been counted in the TEMPRO growth factors through the NTEM Planning Data Version 7 (July 2016) after being identified in Havant Borough Council's Annual Monitoring Report (2014).

- 3.16 The APP/16/00161 application for former ICTS House was to extend the existing planning permission to include Class A1 uses on the site whereby the existing (outline) planning permission comprised of '948sqm of Class B1c (light industry) and/or Class B2 (general industrials) and/or Class B8 use (storage and distribution), to include ancillary trade counters and Class B1a Use (offices), with associated access and car parking.' This application was approved in April 2016. The APP/12/00504 for the original

outline planning application referred to above was granted permission in November 2012 with Reserved Matters approved in November 2015. This site will therefore be included as a 'Committed Development'.

- 3.17 The former Havant Road Retail Park application was to re-develop the site to provide 86 residential units. Whilst the former Havant Road Retail Park has been considered, upon further investigation the proposed development resulted in a decrease in vehicle trip numbers compared to its then existing use as a 26-lane bowling alley and a DIY store with a Garden Centre of 3,755sqm. This application and development has been considered as a 'Committed Development', but to present a worst-case assessment no reductions in traffic have been attributed.
- 3.18 In January 2018, HBC released a Draft Local Plan (2036) for consultation between 8<sup>th</sup> January and 16<sup>th</sup> February 2018. Under policy H15: Forty Acres, a reference is made to infrastructure being coordination across nearby site policies H41, H44 and H45. Whilst these sites have not been treated as 'committed developments' as no applications have been submitted, these are included within a Sensitivity Test in **Chapter 8** of the original TA and this RTA as requested by HCC.
- 3.19 The most recent Pre-Submission Havant Borough Local Plan 2036 which includes the proposed development site as Policy H14 does not make reference to the need for coordinated infrastructure, however, for completeness this Sensitivity Test included within **Chapter 8** remains.

## 4. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

- 4.1 The proposed development would consist of 320 residential dwellings with a mix of 30% affordable housing and 70% private and a 66-bedroom care home. A summary of the accommodation schedule is provided within **Table 4**, whilst the proposed development site layout is included as **Appendix A**. The development also incorporates allotments, a habitat mitigation zone, public open space and associated infrastructure.

| General Residential |              |                  |              |       |
|---------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|-------|
| Affordable Housing  |              | Private Housing  |              | Total |
| Size of Dwelling    | Unit Numbers | Size of Dwelling | Unit Numbers |       |
| 1 Bedroom           | 20           | 1 Bedroom        | 0            | 20    |
| 2 Bedroom           | 36           | 2 Bedroom        | 69           | 105   |
| 3 Bedroom           | 40           | 3 Bedroom        | 127          | 167   |
| 4 Bedroom           | 0            | 4 Bedroom        | 28           | 28    |
| Care Home           |              |                  |              |       |
| 66 Bedrooms         |              |                  |              |       |

**Table 4:** Accommodation Schedule

- 4.2 All of the 66 bedrooms of the care home would be single occupancy with shared communal facilities (lounges, dining, bistro, bathrooms etc). The care home would be staffed 24 hours a day with approximately 60 full time jobs, which would be operated over 3 shift patterns thus leaving 20 members of staff on site at a time.

### Access Arrangement

- 4.3 The site would be served by two vehicular access points onto Havant Road (the principle of which was agreed through pre-application discussions and a concept design check with HCC and Engineering Consultancy). Both accesses would be of bellmouth arrangements 7m wide supported by 15m radii. The access roads would reduce in width to 5.5m approximately 20m into the site. Such dimensions would allow two vehicles to pass when concurrently turning in and out of the access and would allow large vehicles to enter and exit the site safely without utilising the other side of the road. The access would be constructed to HCC adoptable standards and delivered through a Section 278 agreement. The proposed access arrangement is demonstrated in **Appendix F**.
- 4.4 Both accesses would be flanked by 2.0m wide pedestrian footways either side, except for the eastern access eastern side which is flanked by a 3m wide footway/cycleway which would connect to a 3m wide footway/cycleway creating a continuous footway/cycleway along the southern side of Havant Road between the Forty Acres W-bound bound bus stop and Havant Road NE-bound bus stop. The 3m wide footway/cycleway which flanks the eastern access eastern side would connect onto a 3m wide footway/cycleway which traverses the northern side of the site to provide connections to off-site highway works to support a safe route to school,

- 4.5 According to the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB) TD 42/95, a ghost island right turn lane should be “considered” given the total quantum of traffic generated by the site (1584 vehicles) and the existing flows on Havant Road (11,237 daily two-way movements).
- 4.6 Given the size of the proposed development, two accesses are proposed both facilitated by right turn lanes. Both right turn lanes have been designed in accordance with DMRB and consultation with Hampshire County Council (HCC). Both ghost island right turn lanes would be 3.0m, with through lanes 3.05m wide, as agreed with HCC. The turning lengths are 10m in accordance with paragraph 7.32 of TD 42/95. The taper length is 15m in accordance with design speeds for 70kph as outlined in paragraph 7.34 of TD 42/95. The deceleration length is 40m in accordance with design speeds of 70kph in relation to Table 7/5a and paragraphs 7.40 and 7.55 of TD 42/95.
- 4.7 A jug handle arrangement is proposed on the western extent of the footway/cycleway to provide a continuous connection for cyclists travelling to the site from Drayton/Cosham and a pedestrian crossing at the existing informal crossing point. This includes creating a build out into the existing bus layby on the north side of Havant Road whilst also creating a cycle lane within the existing hatching for the bus layby. A swept path analysis has been undertaken through this arrangement with a 12.5m long single decker bus to ensure a bus can still enter and exit the layby sufficiently. This is demonstrated in **Appendix F**.
- 4.8 The proposed access works and improvements along Havant Road fall within HCC and Portsmouth City Council jurisdiction, however, the section with PCC land is for circa 23m. Confirmation from PCC has been received that they accept the principle of the proposed works and connection and that HCC should deal with the full package of works with road space booked under Colas. The HCC and PCC boundary is shown on the drawings demonstrating the work, attached as **Appendix F**.
- 4.9 A modelling assessment using PICADY for a 2026 Baseline plus development flows was completed as a sensitivity test to support the pre-application enquiry to assess the operation of a single vehicular access with 100% of the vehicle trips, should one proposed access be used more than the other. This sensitivity test demonstrated that this would work well within capacity with minimal queues, but from a design and layout perspective two accesses provides a more permeable layout.
- 4.10 An independent Stage 1 Road Safety Audit (RSA) has been undertaken of the accesses and footways, and the full report including the Designers Response is provided in **Appendix G**. The RSA highlighted the following issues which have been addressed in the latest designs:
- No margin strip on the footway/cycleway;
  - Fencing required on the southern edge of the footway/cycleway;

- Risk of pedestrians waiting in the bus layby;
- Existing street furniture needs to be moved;
- No cycle access and exit points demarcated;
- Risk of pedestrian/cyclist collision at eastern bus stop;
- No crossing facilities for cyclists;
- Hatching around the refuge island; and
- Confusing road markings.

4.11 Whilst the majority of issues have been addressed the hatching around the refuge island will remain as previously proposed. The pedestrian refuge is 2.5m wide in accordance with Hampshire County Council's guidelines which is sufficient for the proposed end user and provides a safe crossing width.

4.12 In order to inform visibility requirements, the results of the 7-day speed survey on Havant Road (**Appendix D**) have been used. The results of this survey (summarised previously in **Table 3**) demonstrate that visibility splays of 4.5m x 120m in the primary direction and secondary direction would be required, with the x-distance determined through the pre-application. This drawing is attached as **Appendix F** for reference. These visibility splay lengths, calculated using DMRB guidance and speeds surveys were confirmed as acceptable to HCC Highways through the pre-application and concept design check process.

4.13 A 3m wide footway/cycleway will be created from the south-eastern bus stop on Havant Road which would extend across the site frontage and to an improved pedestrian crossing to the west of the site to the northern side of Havant Road to improve connectivity and permeability of the site as well as ensuring all parts of the site are easily accessible.

4.14 A concept design check has been completed in November 2017 with HCC and Engineering Consultancy for the accesses, footway/cycle and jug handle arrangement/upgraded pedestrian crossing to the west of the site. Engineering Consultancy confirmed they were satisfied with the junction form whilst a recommendation for a 1m separation strip from the live carriageway should be implemented which has been addressed in the latest designs attached as **Appendix F**. The Concept Design Check report from Engineering Consultancy is attached as **Appendix H**.

- 4.15 Through discussions of the access design HCC also requested a PV Squared calculation was completed to understand whether the traffic flow and pedestrian demand would warrant the need for a signalised crossing across Havant Road. To complete the calculations the 2015 traffic surveys were used along with the results from a pedestrian survey undertaken on 29<sup>th</sup> November 2017. As submitted within the original TA the PV Squared value equated to  $0.42 \times 10^8$  which suggests that a signalised pedestrian crossing across Havant Road is not required. The results were discussed in a meeting at HBC offices with further correspondence and updates to the calculations with HCC later confirming that a controlled crossing was not required.
- 4.16 Following the original application, HCC's comments state the need to 'consider crossing at the eastern end of Havant Road by signal control'. Since the original submission further work has been completed to review the PV Squared calculations including within the submission of the Sustainability Review Report. Within the Sustainability Review Report the PV squared calculation equates to  $0.69 \times 10^8$  and is therefore still unlikely to be pushed above the  $0.85 \times 10^8$  threshold. Confirmation from HCC was received on 25<sup>th</sup> April 2019 that desire lines would not support a stand along signalised crossing.
- 4.17 HCC's comments to the original application also stated the need to consider additional uncontrolled crossings of the A2030 across the site frontage and connectivity of the internal site layout with the proposed offsite improvement. The improvements to provide a 3m wide footway/cycleway include the provision of a new pedestrian and cyclist crossing to the west with the existing crossing to the east retained.
- 4.18 Given the two exit points of Auriol Drive onto Havant Road being located on the eastern and western perimeters of the access points it is considered that residents from this area would more likely walk to the existing crossing facilities to the east and west to travel east or west and thus not require an additional crossing facility more central to the site frontage.

4.19 An assessment was completed to demonstrate the walking distance from a central residential unit on Penk Ridge (to the north) to represent the area of residential development to the north of Forty Acre Farm who may use a crossing in between the proposed access points. This plan (**Figure 8**) demonstrates that of the four routes, for the two of which travel east from the unit the walking distance would be 667m utilising an existing facility or 601m using a crossing in between the access, equating to a 66m difference. For the two routes which travel west from the unit the walking distance would be 653m at an existing crossing or 527m at a crossing in between the two access points, equating to a circa 126m difference. It is therefore clear that the difference in walking distance of providing a 3<sup>rd</sup> pedestrian crossing is negligible (circa 1 minute). The creation of 3 uncontrolled crossings within an 500m stretch of carriageway is considered excessive, with the banking between Auriol Drive and Havant Road guiding pedestrians towards the proposed western and existing eastern crossing.



**Figure 8:** Walking Distances from Penk Ridge

### Internal Layout

- 4.20 The internal carriageways have been designed to a width of 5.5m and would be supported by 2m footways in line with MfS guidance. Where there is perpendicular parking directly fronting the carriageway, the carriageway is 6m to ensure vehicles can enter and exit the vehicle parking spaces. The carriageway geometries would provide sufficient space for a car and larger vehicle (e.g. refuse vehicle) to pass.
- 4.21 The internal roads have been designed to accommodate the occasional Heavy Goods Vehicle (HGV's), such as refuse vehicles/emergency service/food home delivery etc and can cater for occasional on street visitor parking.

- 4.22 As the internal road moves out towards the periphery of the site into quieter cul-de-sacs, there will be shared-surface carriageways adopting 'home zone' principles.
- 4.23 In accordance with MfS design guidelines, all carriageway bends within the 'home zone' area of the proposed internal site design would achieve the required inter-visibility for vehicles travelling up to 15/20mph within the site, supported by planting maintained below 600mm in height (Rogers Cory Partnership (RCP) drawings are attached as **Appendix I**).
- 4.24 The care home element of the site will remain privately operated with a gated vehicle entrance to ensure only vehicles associated with the care home are able to enter.
- 4.25 Segregated pedestrian routes are provided through the site taking pedestrians to a large attractive area of open space along with footpaths for leisure or dog walking. This is evident in **Appendix A**.

### **Refuse and Servicing**

- 4.26 Provision for servicing of the site is provided with adequate on-site turning facilities for refuse and emergency vehicles, therefore ensuring such vehicles can enter and exit the proposed development site in a forward gear. A vehicle tracking exercise completed by RCP demonstrating turning manoeuvres is attached as **Appendix J**.
- 4.27 Access to all dwellings can be achieved within 45m of the proposed units and would not require emergency vehicles to reverse more than 20m, in line with building Regulation requirements (RCP drawings attached in **Appendix K**).
- 4.28 The care home would also be serviced on site with emergency service vehicles and waste collection vehicles able to enter the care home parking court turn and leave in a forward gear. A layby has also been provided within the care home to allow vehicles such as minibuses and delivery vans to wait without obstructing free flow of the car park.

### **Parking**

- 4.29 The number of parking spaces required to serve the mix of residential dwellings and care home has been informed by Havant Borough Council's (HBC) Parking Supplementary Planning Document (2016), which given the development's location are as set out in **Table 5**.

| General Residential |              |                                               |                     |              |                |                                   |
|---------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| Allocated Parking   |              |                                               | Unallocated Parking |              |                | Total                             |
| Unit Type           | Unit Numbers | Minimum Standards                             | Unit Type           | Unit Numbers | Shared Parking |                                   |
| 1 Bedroom           | 0            | 1                                             | 1 Bedroom           | 20           | 0.9            | 18                                |
| 2 Bedroom           | 87           | 2                                             | 2 Bedroom           | 18           | 1.3            | 197                               |
| 3 Bedroom           | 167          | 2                                             | 3 Bedroom           | 0            | 1.9            | 334                               |
| 4 Bedroom           | 28           | 3                                             | 4 Bedroom           | 0            | 2.4            | 84                                |
| Care Home           |              |                                               |                     |              |                |                                   |
| 66 Bedrooms         |              | 1 space per 4 residents and 1 space per staff |                     |              |                | 17 for residents and 20 for staff |

**Table 5:** HBC Car Parking Standards

- 4.30 The development would provide a total of 694 spaces for C3 General Residential. Of these, 541 spaces are allocated spaces, 97 are provided in garages and 56 spaces are unallocated for the flatted development. A further 64 spaces are provided for formal visitor parking spaces in accordance with the 20% (0.2 per dwelling) standards requested by HBC.
- 4.31 The proposed development would also provide 28 spaces for the care home element which includes 2 disabled spaces. Gates would be provided upon the entrance into the care home's parking court in order to prevent unauthorised use of the parking spaces provided. Given the dependency level of the residents they will not have the capacity to drive and therefore the car parking management has been designed to ensure that there is adequate provision at all times for relatives, families, staff and emergency services. Staff wishing to park in the car park must also ask consent from the management in advance and will be encouraged to travel by bike, on foot or by public transport. Families who are moving residents and their furniture into the home will be allocated a dedicated space in advance. Therefore, whilst the provision does not meet the proposed standards of 37, no residents will own/drive a vehicle negating the need for 17 spaces and therefore the provision of 28 is considered sufficient to cater for all care home user demands.
- 4.32 The development therefore meets HBC's standards when using both the allocated and shared/communal parking standards. Given that the site is in a sustainable and accessible location with access to high frequency bus services to Portsmouth City Centre and Havant and being within a 10-minute cycling distance of Bedhampton Railway Station, the location is recognised as accessible and that the level of parking proposed is appropriate for the quantum and mix of housing and will not generate additional on-street parking demand.
- 4.33 The proposed development would also be supported by a Full Residential Travel Plan which would again support the parking provision and considers the urban design principles/incentivises alternative modes of travel.

- 4.34 An additional 4 parking spaces have also been provided to cater for the allotments which is considered sufficient the intended local use.
- 4.35 Based on the sustainable travel opportunities available in the surrounding area we would not anticipate any over spill parking occurring on the local road network and are therefore comfortable that any parking associated with this development would occur within the development boundaries.
- 4.36 Through the original submission no adverse comments from HBC were received and it is therefore considered that the level of provision is considered acceptable.
- 4.37 The site would also provide cycle parking in accordance with HBC standards as outlined in **Table 6**.

| Unit Type                     | Unit Numbers | HBC Cycle Parking Standard                                      |            |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
|                               |              | Long Stay                                                       | Short Stay |
| <i>1 Bedroom</i>              | 20           | 1                                                               | 1          |
| <i>2 - 3 Bedroom</i>          | 272          | 2                                                               | 1          |
| <i>4 Bedroom</i>              | 28           | 2                                                               | 1          |
| <i>Nursing and Rest Homes</i> | 66 Bedrooms  | 1 long stay cycle space per 6 staff and 1 loop/hoop per 4 units |            |

**Table 6:** HBC Cycle Parking Standards

- 4.38 Based on the accommodation schedule, the site would need to provide a total of 620 cycle spaces for the C3 General Residential and 20 for the care home element. For the general residential use this would be provided in rear garden sheds for the houses or within the garages for those dwellings with garages, and communal storage is proposed for the flats (see IDP plan included as **Appendix L**). For the care home covered cycle storage would be provided adjacent to the car park and main building entrance and provides storage for 8 cycles. As highlighted previously, residents of the care home would not be physically able to drive or cycle and so it is only staff that are likely to need to store bicycles and these 8 spaces are adequate to accommodate all demand.

### Offsite Highway Works

- 4.39 Offsite highway works are proposed to facilitate pedestrian movements along the northern site boundary between the bus stops east and west of the accesses along Havant Road.

- 4.40 The footway/cycleway would be a minimum of 3m wide including a 0.5m buff strip and include an upgraded pedestrian crossing at the western end of the footway to facilitate pedestrian movements to the north of Havant Road. The 0.5m buff strip is considered suitable for the footway/cycleway and avoids unnecessary maintenance for the local council whilst still proving a separation from the live carriageway as identified through the Concept Design Check. A jug handle arrangement is also proposed on the western extent of the footway/cycleway to provide a continuous connection for cyclists travelling eastbound. This includes creating a build out into the existing bus layby on the north side of Havant Road whilst also creating a cycle lane within the existing hatching for the bus lay by. The eastern westbound bus stop would also be relocated to the back edge of the footway under these proposals. A detailed plan demonstrating the existing highway boundary along with the site layout are attached as **Appendix F**. There are no plans to alter the highway boundary.
- 4.41 Pedestrian and cycle improvements are also proposed along the route to Bidbury Infant and Bidbury Junior Schools. Photographs of the existing route conditions are outlined below with photographs starting at the footpath which connects from Bedhampton Road to the Bidbury Schools and finishing at the Rusty Cutter roundabout.



**Photograph 11:** Pedestrian footpath from Bedhampton Road to Bidbury Schools



**Photograph 12:** Pedestrian footpath on southern side of Bedhampton Road



**Photograph 13:** Signalised junction of Bedhampton Road/Hulbert Road



**Photograph 14:** Pedestrian Refuge Island crossing on Bedhampton Road



**Photograph 15:** Junction of Bedhampton Road/Nursery Road



**Photograph 16:** Junction of Bedhampton Road/Brookside Road



**Photograph 17:** Pedestrian footway along southern side of Bedhampton Road



**Photograph 18:** Pedestrian footway along southern side of Bedhampton Hill



**Photograph 19:** Pedestrian footway along northern side of Bedhampton Hill



**Photograph 20:** Junction of Bedhampton Hill/Bedhampton Hill (Beefeater entrance)



**Photograph 21:** Pedestrian footway along northern side of Bedhampton Hill



**Photograph 22:** End of footway/cycleway on Bedhampton Hill at Rusty Cutter Roundabout

4.42 Improvements are proposed as a result of pre-application discussions with HCC who have confirmed the schools are within an acceptable walking distance. The improvements proposed include widening the existing footway along Bedhampton Hill on the north/western side to form a c.3m wide footway/cycleway utilising highway verge. This footway/cycleway would continue c.43m north of the junction serving the Rusty Cutter Beefeater Pub (also called Bedhampton Hill) which would also see improvements in the form of tactile paving and an improved pedestrian refuge island to HCC standards. At the end of the footway/cycleway, a pedestrian refuge island would be provided within the existing hatching of the right turn lane to Maple Wood which would be wide enough to facilitate a cyclist waiting at the island. For cyclists travelling southbound on Bedhampton Hill a dropped kerb is proposed to allow cyclists to use the new crossing. Signage and a dropped kerb would be provided for cyclists travelling northbound to join the carriageway. A plan demonstrating the proposals is attached as **Appendix M**.

4.43 To the east of the new pedestrian refuge island the southern side of Bedhampton Hill/Bedhampton Road provides the best and quickest route to the local schools which involves fewer junctions to cross and provides a wider footway. Pedestrians travelling towards the local schools can travel along the southern side of the carriageway up to the signalised crossing located directly in front of the entrance to the footpath which connects to the infants and junior schools. Following the original application, the Sustainability Review Report has reviewed this route and the proposals in more detail. HCC have confirmed that no further works to the east are required at this stage to support the development proposals.

- 4.44 Improvements are also proposed at the Rusty Cutter roundabout for pedestrians/cyclists by providing a route around the south of the Rusty Cutter roundabout. This includes the provision of a 3m wide footway/cycleway through the north of the proposed development site with a 3m wide footway/cycleway with a 1:20 gradient up to the Rusty Cutter roundabout and the provision of a 3m wide footway/cycleway around the internal roundabout of the Rusty Cutter with guard railing offset 450mm from the kerbline.
- 4.45 Under the A3(M) motorway bridge on the South Circulatory arm there is a small area of narrowing similar to the existing signposted footway/cycleway on the North Circulatory arm. Two options have been proposed within this location. This first keeps the pinch point as existing with a 2.1m wide footway (taking into account 450mm offset guard railing) under the bridge with cyclist dismount signs at either end. The second involves increasing the width to accommodate a 3.0m wide footway/cycleway plus guard railing which results in the need to narrow the existing lane widths under the bridge to 3.3m each.
- 4.46 Both options were presented in the GG119 compliant Road Safety Audits and no concerns were raised with either option. It is therefore suggested that the additional works required to narrow carriageway lane widths under the A3(M) bridge are not considered necessary given the short distance of narrowing (circa 20m), clear inter-visibility and retaining a useable footway/cycleway width greater than that which has been accepted on the northern route around the Rusty Cutter roundabout which is a signposted footway/cycleway.
- 4.47 As identified within the Sustainability Review Report, of the various options assessed, this arrangement is considered appropriate based on the criteria assessed due to desire lines, the shortest travel distance, suitable gradients, deliverability and having no impact on the operation of the Rusty Cutter Roundabout.
- 4.48 As part of these works, alterations to the location of the stop lines at the A3(M) northbound off-slip, South Circulatory arm and North Circulatory arms would be required to accommodate walk with traffic pedestrian phases. A 3m wide walking area in between studs is proposed with the stop line set 3m back from this crossing area. The A3(M) northbound off-slip stop line has been set back by 2.6m-3.7m, the South Circulatory arm stop line has been pushed back by 3.4m-3.8m and the North Circulatory arm stop line has been pushed back by 2.8m-4.6m. The A3(M) southbound off-slip would not be amended. Junction modelling has been completed to review the impact of setting back of stop lines which is discussed within **Chapter 7**.

4.49 A GG119 compliant Stage 1 Road Safety Audit has been completed on the design works. The Stage 1 RSA highlighted the following comments:

- No safety margin on the proposed shared footway along Bedhampton Hill (east of Rusty Cutter Roundabout)
- Vegetation currently overhanging footway along Bedhampton Hill (east of Rusty Cutter Roundabout)
- Need to relocate maintenance lay-by due to restricted visibility regarding signal heads
- Risk of cycle/vehicle collisions as no guard railing preventing cyclists re-joining carriageway where the footway/cycleway enters the site to the west
- Risk of vehicles striking pedestrians/cyclists on the A3(M) southbound off-slip where it joins the roundabout with all other signal arms provided with pedestrian cycle signal heads.

4.50 All these comments have been addressed within the plans attached as **Appendix M**.

4.51 A GG119 compliant Designers Response Report has also been prepared to support the Stage 1 RSA and is currently being assessed by Highways England. The GG119 compliant Stage 1 RSA and preliminary Designers Response Report is attached as **Appendix N**.

4.52 The method of delivery mechanisms and triggers for these works are being consulted with Hampshire County Council Highways, along with the Education Authority and Highways England.

## 5. TRIP GENERATION AND ASSIGNMENT

### Trip Generation

- 5.1 In order to establish the number of trips the proposed development would generate, the industry standard TRICS database has been consulted. By comparing the site to those with similar characteristics, it is possible to calculate the spread and volume of trips across the day.
- 5.2 Due to the mixed-use nature of the development the following land uses have been assessed:
- 03 Residential – A Houses Privately Owned
  - 05 Health – F Care Home (Elderly Residential)
- 5.3 The criteria used included sites in England only (excluding Greater London), located within ‘suburban’ and ‘edge of town’ locations. Surveys were only included where undertaken on weekdays (no weekend data). The TRICS data outputs are attached as **Appendix N** for reference whilst the peak period and daily trip generation for the proposed development is presented in **Table 7**.

| TRICS                               | AM Peak (0800-0900) |            | PM Peak (1700-1800) |            | Total Daily Trips |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|------------|-------------------|
|                                     | Arrivals            | Departures | Arrivals            | Departures |                   |
| Trip Rate per unit (Private Houses) | 0.127               | 0.381      | 0.325               | 0.189      | 4.580             |
| Trip Generation (320 Houses)        | 41                  | 122        | 104                 | 61         | 1466              |
| Trip Rate per reside (Care Homes)   | 0.084               | 0.066      | 0.049               | 0.092      | 1.848             |
| Trip Generation (66 Care Home)      | 5                   | 4          | 3                   | 6          | 122               |
| <b>TOTAL</b>                        | <b>172</b>          |            | <b>174</b>          |            | <b>1588</b>       |

**Table 7:** Proposed Trip Generation

- 5.4 The trip rate assessment indicates that the proposed development would generate approximately 172 vehicle trips in the AM peak (0800-0900hrs), 174 vehicle trips in the PM peak (1700-1800hrs) and approximately 1588 trips across the day. These trips and trip rates were considered acceptable within the comments received on the original application from Hampshire County Council and Highways England. Comments were received on their accuracy from PCC which are reviewed in more detail below. These trip rates also cover any vehicle trips which may be associated with school travel trips.
- 5.5 The existing site has been treated as ‘greenfield’ in terms of development traffic with the above traffic added to the base network.
- 5.6 The trip generation outlined in **Table 7** acts as a worst-case scenario where all general residential units

have been treated as 'Private Houses'. The site layout indicates a mix of affordable (30%) and private units, as well as some flats. Therefore, the trip generation is likely to be lower than that outlined, however, to ensure a robust approach the trip generation for all private houses is used for junction modelling and access design.

- 5.7 No trip rates have been assigned to the allotments, given the expectation of local use outside of peak times (evenings/weekends/seasonal).
- 5.8 The above trip rates were agreed with HCC through the pre-application process. Whilst PCC initially raised concerns with the trip rates, following sensitivity tests relating to traffic distributions also, these concerns were alleviated. This is discussed in more detail below.

### **Consented Development**

- 5.9 Pre-application liaison with HCC revealed a number of consented developments that would need to be considered when assessing road network and junction capacity. After reviewing all development in **Chapter 3** the following sites only will be taken into consideration as 'committed development':
- APP/14/00199 Bedhampton Hill (50% off development trips)
  - APP/12/00504 and APP/16/00161 Former ICTS House, Solent Road

### **Trip Assignment**

- 5.10 Having estimated the number of trips likely to be generated by the site, traffic distribution diagrams have been created using the 2011 'Travel to Work' Census data for Havant 018 (Bedhampton). Data set WF01BEW has been assessed to determine the likely destinations and route residents of the proposed development would use and by which proportion. **Table 8** shows the main destinations and the percentage of Bedhampton residents that commute to each destination along with the most likely route used. **Table 9** then summarises each route by percentage of residents expected to use each one.

| Destination                                      | Percentage  | Route Assignment                |
|--------------------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|
| Portsmouth                                       | 11.0%       | A27 WB                          |
| Bedhampton                                       | 9.0%        | Bedhampton Hill/Bedhampton Road |
| Waterlooville                                    | 7.5%        | A3 (M)                          |
| Cosham                                           | 6.7%        | Havant Road WB                  |
| Hilsea                                           | 6.6%        | A27 WB                          |
| Chichester                                       | 5.5%        | A27 EB                          |
| Fareham                                          | 4.1%        | A27 WB                          |
| West Leigh, Havant                               | 3.9%        | Bedhampton Hill/Bedhampton Road |
| Farlington                                       | 3.8%        | Havant Road WB                  |
| Emsworth, Chidham, Bosham                        | 3.7%        | A27 EB                          |
| Winchester                                       | 3.4%        | A27 WB                          |
| East Hampshire                                   | 3.3%        | A27 EB                          |
| Hayling Island                                   | 2.9%        | A27 EB                          |
| London                                           | 2.8%        | A3 (M)                          |
| Southampton                                      | 2.7%        | A27 WB                          |
| Southsea                                         | 2.4%        | A27 WB                          |
| Cowplain                                         | 2.3%        | A3 (M)                          |
| Widley, Havant                                   | 2.2%        | A3 (M)                          |
| Portchester                                      | 1.9%        | A27 WB                          |
| Purbrook Way, Havant                             | 1.9%        | A3 (M)                          |
| Gosport                                          | 1.8%        | A27 WB                          |
| Surrey                                           | 1.7%        | A3 (M)                          |
| Eastleigh                                        | 1.5%        | A27 (WB)                        |
| Bedfordshire/Hertfordshire/Berkshire             | 1.1%        | A3 (M)                          |
| West Sussex                                      | 0.8%        | A27 EB                          |
| Fratton                                          | 0.7%        | A27 WB                          |
| South and South West (Bath, Wiltshire, Cornwall) | 0.6%        | A27 WB                          |
| Horndean                                         | 0.6%        | A3 (M)                          |
| North                                            | 0.4%        | A3 (M) NB                       |
| Basingstoke                                      | 0.4%        | A27 WB                          |
| Dorset                                           | 0.3%        | A27 WB                          |
| Hart                                             | 0.3%        | A3 (M)                          |
| Witterings                                       | 0.3%        | A27 EB                          |
| Chandlers Ford                                   | 0.3%        | A27 WB                          |
| Test Valley                                      | 0.3%        | A27 WB                          |
| Rushmoor                                         | 0.2%        | A3 (M)                          |
| East Sussex                                      | 0.2%        | A27 EB                          |
| New Forest                                       | 0.1%        | A27 WB                          |
| Essex                                            | 0.1%        | A27 EB                          |
| Isle of Wight                                    | 0.1%        | A27 WB                          |
| <b>TOTAL</b>                                     | <b>100%</b> | <b>N/A</b>                      |

**Table 8:** 2011 Census 'Travel to Work' Data

| Route Assignment                | Percentage  |
|---------------------------------|-------------|
| A27 Westbound                   | 42.5%       |
| A27 Eastbound                   | 20.0%       |
| A3 (M)                          | 27.5%       |
| Bedhampton Hill/Bedhampton Road | 5%          |
| Havant Road Westbound           | 5%          |
| <b>TOTAL</b>                    | <b>100%</b> |

**Table 9:** Route Assignment by Percentage

- 5.11 Based on these traffic distributions approximately 95% of AM development traffic would travel east on Havant Road utilising the Rusty Cutter roundabout, with 27.5% travelling on to the A3(M) and 62.5% using the Tear Drop junction to access the A27 with 5% travelling west towards Drayton and Cosham. For the PM peak it is estimated that 5% would be arriving from the east and 95% from the west.
- 5.12 However, the above route assignment is for 'Travel to Work' trips only and excludes other trips such as leisure or school runs. It is therefore proposed that more than 5% of the development traffic would travel west, with the supermarket and local shops being located to the west of the development. If these are linked trips, then vehicles may use Havant Road to access the A27 where they can travel east or west or continue travelling west along Havant Road to Cosham. As a result, the following split (**Table 10**) and route assignment (**Table 11**) from the site is proposed.

| Route Assignment | AM Peak (0800-0900) | PM Peak (1700-1800) |
|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Havant Road East | 85%                 | 85%                 |
| Havant Road West | 15%                 | 15%                 |

**Table 10:** Development Traffic Distribution

| Route Assignment                | Percentage  |
|---------------------------------|-------------|
| A27 Westbound                   | 35%         |
| A27 Eastbound                   | 20%         |
| A3 (M)                          | 25%         |
| Bedhampton Hill/Bedhampton Road | 5%          |
| Havant Road Westbound           | 15%         |
| <b>TOTAL</b>                    | <b>100%</b> |

**Table 11:** Updated Route Assignment

- 5.13 The route assignment figures demonstrated above were agreed through the pre-application with HCC Highways. The resulting traffic distribution diagrams are attached as **Appendix O**.
- 5.14 Within their original comments, PCC raised concerns with the distributions and trip rates (despite these being agreed with HCC through the pre-application process). To test the trip rates, a one day turning count survey was completed at Westways (the residential cul-de-sac west of Forty Acre Farm) during the peak period which identified trips rates as evident in **Table 12**.

|           | AM Peak (0700-0800) |            | PM Peak (1700-1800) |            |
|-----------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|------------|
|           | Arrivals            | Departures | Arrivals            | Departures |
| Trip Rate | 0.127               | 0.494      | 0.437               | 0.185      |

**Table 12:** Westways Trip Rate

5.15 The trip rates derived from Westways demonstrates that the AM arrivals and PM departures are almost identical to those proposed through the pre-application process and the original TA. The AM departures and PM arrivals from Westways appear slightly higher than those included in the pre-app and original TA, however, Westways is a considerably smaller development which serves private houses only, where the proposed development includes a mixture of houses and flats and affordable and private units and is therefore not wholly comparable. The trip rates prepared at the pre-application stage and subsequently agreed and used in the original TA therefore provide an accurate and robust assessment of the impact on the road network using national standards for deriving trip rates.

5.16 Based on the housing distribution within the proposed site layout (attached as **Appendix A**) and the distribution agreed at the pre-application stage with 85% of trips travelling to/from the east and 15% to/from the west, it is proposed that 60% of all vehicle trips would utilise the eastern access whilst 40% would utilise the western access. This distribution is a result of a higher housing density in the western portion of the site but with more vehicles wishing to travel east and therefore may use internal roads to travel across to the eastern access. Using this distribution, this would result in the following vehicle split:

| TRICS                | AM Peak (0800-0900) |            | PM Peak (1700-1800) |            | Total Daily Trips |
|----------------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|------------|-------------------|
|                      | Arrivals            | Departures | Arrivals            | Departures |                   |
| Eastern Access (60%) | 28                  | 76         | 65                  | 40         | 953               |
| Western Access (40%) | 18                  | 50         | 42                  | 27         | 635               |
| <b>TOTAL</b>         | <b>172</b>          |            | <b>174</b>          |            | <b>1588</b>       |

**Table 13:** Proposed Vehicle Splits Between Accesses

### TEMPro Traffic Growth

5.17 In line with HCC guidance, analysis of the traffic impact will be undertaken for 2023, five years from the date of lodging the planning application. The development also considers an additional assessment for 10 years from lodging the application (2028).

5.18 To factor up the base traffic data to the required assessment years, TEMPRO (NTEM) version 7 growth factors for 'Havant' have been applied dependent on the baseline year of the surveys. The growth factors applied are given in **Table 14**.

| Period    | Growth Rate |         |
|-----------|-------------|---------|
|           | AM Peak     | PM Peak |
| 2015-2023 | 1.1299      | 1.1260  |
| 2015-2028 | 1.1869      | 1.1821  |
| 2016-2023 | 1.1193      | 1.1137  |
| 2016-2028 | 1.1758      | 1.1693  |
| 2017-2023 | 1.1009      | 1.0965  |
| 2017-2028 | 1.1565      | 1.1511  |

**Table 14:** TEMPRO Growth (NTEM Adjusted)

5.19 The TEMPRO growth factors were considered acceptable in HCC's and HE's original response to the TA.

## 6. PERCENTAGE IMPACT ASSESSMENTS

- 6.1 In order to assess the percentage impact on the local road network a comparison between the baseline conditions and the total number of development trips proposed to use such junctions (and/or arm of a junction) has been completed. This has been done using Baseline 2028 values as this is when the site is likely to be fully built and occupied.
- 6.2 To assess the proposed development's percentage impact on the highway network as requested at the pre-application stage by HCC and at a request from Portsmouth City Council, percentage impact assessments have been completed for the following junctions:
- Rusty Cutter roundabout
  - A27 Teardrop junction
  - Havant Road/Auriol Drive
  - Havant Road/Bedhampton Hill
  - Bedhampton Hill/Portsdown Hill Road/Bedhampton Road
  - Havant Road/Farlington Road
  - Havant Road/Eastern Road
- 6.3 The results of the percentage impact assessments have been used to inform the scope of junction modelling required, as agreed at the pre-application stage. However, it should be noted that HCC requested that as a minimum the Rusty Cutter Roundabout and A27 Teardrop junction should be modelled (despite very small percentage impacts in traffic volumes).
- 6.4 As per the junction turning count surveys at the Rusty Cutter roundabout and A27 Teardrop junction the peak hours are confirmed as:
- AM – 07:30-08:30
  - PM – 16:00-17:00
- 6.5 Aside from the site accesses, the proposed development would add the largest volume of traffic (85%) to the Rusty Cutter roundabout. The impact of development on the roundabout is outlined in **Table 15** using 2028 Baseline figures, a worst case by assigning peak development traffic onto peak network times even through they may not correlate.

| Rusty Cutter Roundabout | AM Peak (0730-0830) |             |          | PM Peak (1600-1700) |             |          |
|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------|----------|---------------------|-------------|----------|
|                         | Baseline            | Development | Increase | Baseline            | Development | Increase |
|                         | 5475                | 146         | 2.6%     | 5362                | 148         | 2.8%     |

**Table 15:** Impact of Development on Rusty Cutter Roundabout

- 6.6 An additional 146 vehicle movements would be routed through the roundabout in the AM peak as a

result of the proposed development, and this figure slightly increases to 148 vehicles in the PM peak. This equates to a 2.6% increase in traffic in the AM peak through the Rusty Cutter and a 2.8% increase in the PM peak, which is considered a modest increase.

- 6.7 Through the pre-application process HCC also requested a percentage impact assessment on each arm of the Rusty Cutter. The results of this percentage impact assessment are demonstrated in **Table 16**.

|                 | AM Peak (0730-0830) |                    |                 | PM Peak (1600-1700) |                    |                 |
|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
|                 | <i>Baseline</i>     | <i>Development</i> | <i>Increase</i> | <i>Baseline</i>     | <i>Development</i> | <i>Increase</i> |
| Havant Road     | 1015                | 108                | 10.6%           | 962                 | 59                 | 6.13%           |
| A3(M) North     | 1676                | 12                 | 0.72%           | 1356                | 27                 | 1.99%           |
| Bedhampton Hill | 728                 | 4                  | 0.55%           | 829                 | 5                  | 0.60%           |
| A27             | 1232                | 9                  | 0.73%           | 1533                | 21                 | 1.37%           |
| A3(M) South     | 824                 | 16                 | 1.94%           | 682                 | 37                 | 5.43%           |

**Table 16:** Impact of Development on Rusty Cutter Roundabout Arms

\*Totals marginally above those identified in Table 14 due to rounding.

- 6.8 The percentage impact assessment on the arms of the Rusty Cutter roundabout demonstrates, as expected, the Havant Road arm would experience the largest increase in the AM peak (10.6%). In the PM peak the largest percentage increase is again seen on the Havant Road arm (6.13%) with the A3(M) south arm having a similar percentage increase of 5.43% to due to arrivals from the east. These percentage increases are considered modest.
- 6.9 Based on the distributions presented in **Table 10**, 25% of vehicles would travel north onto the A3(M), 5% would cross the roundabout and travel towards Bedhampton and 55% would turn right and continue onto the A27 Teardrop junction. The proposed impact on the A27 Teardrop junction is outlined in **Table 17**, with 2028 Baseline figures.

| Tear Drop Junction | AM Peak (0730-0830) |                    |                 | PM Peak (1600-1700) |                    |                 |
|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
|                    | <i>Baseline</i>     | <i>Development</i> | <i>Increase</i> | <i>Baseline</i>     | <i>Development</i> | <i>Increase</i> |
|                    | 5353                | 79                 | 1.48%           | 5725                | 58                 | 1.01%           |

**Table 17:** Impact of Development on Teardrop Junction

- 6.10 As displayed in **Table 17**, this therefore equates to 79 vehicles using the Teardrop Junction in the AM peak and 58 vehicles in the PM peak resulting in a 1.48% increase in traffic volumes in the AM peak and 1.01% in the PM peak, which is considered an even more modest increase.
- 6.11 At the more local junctions the percentage impact assessments have been included as one summary in **Table 18**.

| Junction                                         | Total Percentage Impact<br>AM (development<br>vehicles/total vehicles) | Total Percentage Impact<br>PM (development<br>vehicles/total vehicles) |
|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Havant Road/Auriol Drive                         | (146/1593) 9.17%*                                                      | (148/1752) 8.45%*                                                      |
| Havant<br>Road/Bedhampton Hill                   | (146/1839) 7.94%                                                       | (148/2029) 7.29%                                                       |
| Bedhampton<br>Hill/Portsdown<br>Hill/Havant Road | (11/2461) 0.45%                                                        | (10/2614) 0.38%                                                        |
| Havant Road/Farlington<br>Road                   | (23/1975) 1.16%                                                        | (19/2239) 0.85%                                                        |
| Havant Road/Eastern<br>Road                      | (15/2173) 0.69%                                                        | (14/2669) 0.52%                                                        |

**Table 18:** Percentage Impact on Local Road Network (2028)

\* No additional vehicles turning into/out of Auriol Drive

- 6.12 **Table 18** demonstrates that the proposed development should not have a material impact on the operation of the Bedhampton Hill/Portsdown Hill/Havant Road, Havant Road/Farlington Road and Havant Road/Eastern Road. With percentage increases at these junctions in both the AM and PM peak not exceeding 1.2%. It is therefore considered that the impact to these junctions would be modest and no further junction assessment work is required for these junctions.
- 6.13 Given the percentage increases at the Havant Road/Auriol Drive and Havant Road/Bedhampton Hill junctions exceeding 5% these two junctions have been considered in more detail in **Chapter 7**.
- 6.14 In their response to the original application PCC raised concerns with the traffic distributions proposed and thus requested a percentage impact assessment at the Havant Road/Farlington and Havant Road/Eastern Road junction.
- 6.15 A sensitivity test has since been completed to understand the percentage impact at the Havant Road/Farlington Road and Havant Road/Eastern Road junction as per HCC and PCC's request in their response to the original TA. Reviewing turning count data at the Westways/Havant Road and Auriol Drive/Havant Road junctions identified a total of circa 68 existing residential dwellings recorded as travelling towards Farlington from these two areas, equating to 24% with the remaining 213 homes from this area travelling east (76%). If the proposed percentage of vehicles travelling westbound of 15% doubled to 30% (higher than the surveyed figure of 24%) a revised (and robust) percentage impact assessment can be reviewed as per **Table 19**.

| Junction                       | Total Percentage Impact<br>AM (development<br>vehicles/total vehicles) | Total Percentage Impact<br>PM (development<br>vehicles/total vehicles) |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Havant Road/Farlington<br>Road | (46/1975) 2.33%                                                        | (38/2239) 1.70%                                                        |
| Havant Road/Eastern<br>Road    | (30/2173) 1.38%                                                        | (28/2669) 1.05%                                                        |

**Table 19:** PCC Sensitivity Assessment

- 6.16 The percentage impact demonstrated above for the two junctions in question remain low with a highest increase of 2.33%. The conclusions made in the original TA therefore stand with the proposed development at Forty Acre Farm unlikely to cause a 'severe' impact in terms of the operation at these two junctions and the development would therefore not have a material impact upon junctions on the Portsmouth highway network.
- 6.17 PCC concluded on 29<sup>th</sup> August 2018 that following the additional sensitivity test and review of trips rates they were 'content that the development would not have a severe impact upon the Portsmouth road network.
- 6.18 HE also questioned the traffic distributions in their original response to the TA. This was clarified through email correspondence with HE between 25<sup>th</sup> June to 10<sup>th</sup> July 2018 and no further comments were received. The works since have therefore taken place with the agreed distributions.

## 7. JUNCTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS

### Scope of Modelling

- 7.1 In accordance with the percentage impact assessment to assess the proposed development's impact on the local highway network, the modelling will consist of the following junctions:
- Site Accesses
  - Havant Road/Auriol Drive
  - Havant Road/Bedhampton Hill
  - Rusty Cutter Roundabout
  - A27 Tear Drop Junction
- 7.2 The junction assessments cover the following scenarios as agreed with HCC through the pre-application stage, with the future years 5 and 10 years post application submission:
- Baseline 2016
  - Baseline 2023
  - Baseline 2023 + 'Committed Development'
  - Baseline 2023 + 'Committed Development' + 50% of Proposed Development
  - Future Year Baseline (2028) + 'Committed Development'
  - Future Year Baseline (2028) + 'Committed Development' + Proposed Development
- 7.3 The Baseline 2016 junction models for the Rusty Cutter roundabout and A27 Teardrop junction have been agreed with HCC Intelligent Transport Systems Team and Highways England respectively.
- 7.4 Modelling of the Rusty Cutter and A27 Teardrop junction has been completed using the network peak periods which were identified as 07:30-08:30 and 16:00-17:00 and as previously identified the development traffic predicted for the traditional 0800-0900 and 1700-1800 periods has been added to these network peaks for robustness. For the additional junctions which have been modelled the same peak periods have been used (0730-0830 and 1600-1700) for completeness. At the accesses, the peak periods are as per those in Table 3, which are the traditional AM and PM peaks of 0800-0900 and 1700-1800 respectively.
- 7.5 Modelling has been completed using Junction 9 for roundabouts and T-junctions and LinSig for the signalised junctions. The outputs for each model provide a ratio of flow to capacity (RFC) or degree of saturation (DoS) for LinSig outputs and maximum queue lengths associated with each stream (i.e. the arm of the junction). RFC values exceeding 0.85 or degrees of saturation at 90% signify the point at which capacity is being approached and the potential to improve capacity should be explored, whilst RFC values of 1.00 or degrees of saturation at 100% represent the junction operating at capacity.

7.6 The method used to estimate the traffic generation of the proposed development and the method used to account for the future years and committed development is a worst-case scenario for the following reasons:

- The trip rates used to assess the proposed developments traffic generation are for 'Private Houses' only which produce significantly higher trip rates than flat or mixed/affordable trip rates;
- The modelling and traffic generation does not quantify the impact of the Travel Plan which could achieve a modal shift with a sustainable transport strategy including the implementation of the footway along the southern boundary of Havant Road and significant pedestrian/cyclists' improvements towards Bedhampton schools;
- The assessment does not take account the impact of peak spreading, whereby individuals may exit the development onto the local road network earlier or later to avoid travelling in the peak period; and
- Where TEMPro growth factors have been applied, this assumes a percentage of traffic growth occurring on all links and junctions which would in part be attributed to new developments. Where we have included committed developments in our modelling assessment it is likely there would be an element of double counting with TEMPRO accounting for development growth traffic and our committed development scenarios accounting for development growth traffic.

## **Modelling Results**

### Site Access

7.7 Capacity modelling analysis for the proposed site accesses has been undertaken using Junction 9 modelling software for the following timescales;

- Baseline 2023 plus committed development plus 50% proposed development; and
- Baseline 2028 plus committed development plus 100% proposed development.

7.8 Modelling has been completed on each of the two accesses, with 60% of the vehicle trips proposed to use the eastern access and 40% proposed to use the western access. HCC's comments on the original application identified some concerns with the access models namely the major carriageway width and the need for the access road to be modelled as 'one lane plus flare'. These updates have been completed and have been provided to HCC on 8<sup>th</sup> March 2019. For completeness the updated modelling results are presented in **Tables 20** and **21** respectively and the full results are attached as **Appendix P**.

| Site Access (Eastern – 60%)                                            |                  | AM (0800-0900) |       |           | PM (1700-1800) |       |           |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------|-------|-----------|----------------|-------|-----------|
|                                                                        |                  | RFC            | Max Q | Delay (s) | RFC            | Max Q | Delay (s) |
| Baseline 2023 Plus Committed Development Plus 50% Proposed Development | Site Access Road | 0.25           | 0.4   | 18.41     | 0.14           | 0.2   | 17.06     |
|                                                                        | Havant Road      | 0.01           | 0.0   | 7.77      | 0.02           | 0.0   | 9.17      |
| Baseline 2028 Plus Committed Development Plus Proposed Development     | Site Access Road | 0.26           | 0.4   | 19.99     | 0.15           | 0.2   | 18.41     |
|                                                                        | Havant Road      | 0.01           | 0.0   | 7.89      | 0.03           | 0.0   | 9.40      |

**Table 20:** Site Access (Eastern) Modelling

| Site Access (Western – 40%)                                            |                  | AM (0800-0900) |       |           | PM (1700-1800) |       |           |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------|-------|-----------|----------------|-------|-----------|
|                                                                        |                  | RFC            | Max Q | Delay (s) | RFC            | Max Q | Delay (s) |
| Baseline 2023 Plus Committed Development Plus 50% Proposed Development | Site Access Road | 0.16           | 0.2   | 15.81     | 0.09           | 0.1   | 15.06     |
|                                                                        | Havant Road      | 0.01           | 0.0   | 7.70      | 0.01           | 0.0   | 9.00      |
| Baseline 2028 Plus Committed Development Plus Proposed Development     | Site Access Road | 0.17           | 0.2   | 16.96     | 0.09           | 0.1   | 16.12     |
|                                                                        | Havant Road      | 0.1            | 0.0   | 7.83      | 0.01           | 0.0   | 9.00      |

**Table 21:** Site Access (Western) Modelling

7.9 The modelling results demonstrate that the proposed accesses to the development perform well within capacity in all scenarios, with minimal queuing on the minor arms and RFC values well below 0.85. The maximum queue values are all below 1 vehicle, and based on NPPF guidance will not have a 'severe' impact on the local road network.

#### Havant Road/Auriol Drive

7.10 Capacity analysis of the eastern Havant Road/Auriol Drive T-Junction has been completed using Junctions 9 software. The modelling results are presented in **Table 22**, with the full Junctions 9 modelling outputs attached as **Appendix Q**.

| Havant Road/Auriol Drive                                               |                    | AM (0730-0830) |       |           | PM (1600-1700) |       |           |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|-------|-----------|----------------|-------|-----------|
|                                                                        |                    | RFC            | Max Q | Delay (s) | RFC            | Max Q | Delay (s) |
| Baseline 2016                                                          | Track (Forty Acre) | 0.03           | 0.0   | 11.36     | 0.00           | 0.0   | 0.0       |
|                                                                        | Havant Road (East) | 0.03           | 0.0   | 7.29      | 0.05           | 0.1   | 7.15      |
|                                                                        | Auriol Drive       | 0.09           | 0.1   | 9.09      | 0.04           | 0.0   | 8.36      |
|                                                                        | Havant Road (West) | 0.00           | 0.0   | 0.0       | 0.00           | 0.0   | 0.0       |
| Baseline 2023                                                          | Havant Road (East) | 0.04           | 0.0   | 7.70      | 0.06           | 0.1   | 7.50      |
|                                                                        | Auriol Drive       | 0.11           | 0.1   | 9.93      | 0.05           | 0.1   | 8.82      |
|                                                                        | Havant Road (West) | 0.00           | 0.0   | 0.00      | 0.00           | 0.0   | 0.000     |
| Baseline 2023 Plus Committed Development                               | Havant Road (East) | 0.04           | 0.0   | 7.73      | 0.06           | 0.1   | 7.53      |
|                                                                        | Auriol Drive       | 0.11           | 0.1   | 9.99      | 0.05           | 0.1   | 8.86      |
|                                                                        | Havant Road (West) | 0.0            | 0.0   | 0.00      | 0.00           | 0.0   | 0.00      |
| Baseline 2023 Plus Committed Development Plus 50% Proposed Development | Havant Road (East) | 0.04           | 0.0   | 7.96      | 0.06           | 0.1   | 7.65      |
|                                                                        | Auriol Drive       | 0.11           | 0.1   | 10.41     | 0.05           | 0.1   | 9.09      |
|                                                                        | Havant Road (West) | 0.0            | 0.0   | 0.00      | 0.00           | 0.0   | 0.00      |
| Baseline 2028                                                          | Havant Road (East) | 0.04           | 0.0   | 7.90      | 0.07           | 0.1   | 7.69      |
|                                                                        | Auriol Drive       | 0.11           | 0.1   | 10.31     | 0.05           | 0.1   | 9.08      |
|                                                                        | Havant Road (West) | 0.0            | 0.0   | 0.00      | 0.00           | 0.0   | 0.00      |
| Baseline 2028 Plus Committed Development                               | Havant Road (East) | 0.04           | 0.0   | 7.94      | 0.07           | 0.1   | 7.72      |
|                                                                        | Auriol Drive       | 0.11           | 0.1   | 10.36     | 0.05           | 0.1   | 9.12      |
|                                                                        | Havant Road (West) | 0.00           | 0.0   | 0.00      | 0.00           | 0.0   | 0.00      |
| Baseline 2028 Plus Committed Development Plus Proposed Development     | Havant Road (East) | 0.04           | 0.0   | 8.46      | 0.07           | 0.1   | 7.98      |
|                                                                        | Auriol Drive       | 0.12           | 0.2   | 11.36     | 0.05           | 0.1   | 9.63      |
|                                                                        | Havant Road (West) | 0.00           | 0.0   | 0.00      | 0.00           | 0.0   | 0.00      |

**Table 22:** Havant Road/Auriol Drive Modelling

- 7.11 The modelling results demonstrate that with both the committed development and proposed development the Havant Road/Auriol Drive junction would work significantly under capacity with highest RFC values of 0.12 and the longest delays of 11.36 seconds seen on the Auriol Drive arm in the Baseline 2028 plus committed development plus proposed development scenario (AM peak). However, when compared with the Baseline 2028 plus committed development scenario the proposed development results in a 1 second increase in delay and 0.01 increase in RFC which would not be considered to cause a severe impact to the operation of the local road network.
- 7.12 The 'Track' arm was only modelled in the Baseline 2016 model as the access (serving Forty Acre Farm) was present in the turning count surveys and will be closed as part of the development proposals.
- 7.13 Whilst HCC's comments on the original application identified concerns with the models for this junction, HCC confirmed they have re-run the models with minor alterations made with the results showing 'only a small increase in RFC and queuing which was not considered as significant'. HCC therefore confirmed that the junction operates 'within practical capacity in all scenarios in both peak periods'.

#### Havant Road/Bedhampton Hill

- 7.14 Capacity analysis of the eastern Havant Road/Bedhampton Hill junction has also been completed using Junctions 9 software. The modelling results are presented in **Table 23**, with the full Junctions 9 modelling outputs attached as **Appendix R**.

| Havant Road/Bedhampton Hill              |                       | AM (0730-0830) |       |           | PM (1600-1700) |       |           |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-------|-----------|----------------|-------|-----------|
|                                          |                       | RFC            | Max Q | Delay (s) | RFC            | Max Q | Delay (s) |
| Baseline 2016                            | Bedhampton Hill       | 0.21           | 0.3   | 9.39      | 0.24           | 0.4   | 9.48      |
|                                          | Havant Road (West)    | 0.00           | 0.0   | 0.00      | 0.00           | 0.0   | 0.00      |
|                                          | Havant Road Slip Road | 0.27           | 0.4   | 11.94     | 0.34           | 0.6   | 12.85     |
| Baseline 2023                            | Bedhampton Hill       | 0.24           | 0.3   | 10.13     | 0.28           | 0.4   | 10.21     |
|                                          | Havant Road (West)    | 0.00           | 0.00  | 0.00      | 0.00           | 0.0   | 0.00      |
|                                          | Havant Road Slip Road | 0.31           | 0.5   | 12.83     | 0.38           | 0.7   | 13.96     |
| Baseline 2023 Plus Committed Development | Bedhampton Hill       | 0.24           | 0.4   | 10.16     | 0.28           | 0.4   | 10.24     |
|                                          | Havant Road (West)    | 0.00           | 0.00  | 0.00      | 0.00           | 0.0   | 0.00      |
|                                          | Havant Road Slip Road | 0.31           | 0.5   | 12.85     | 0.38           | 0.7   | 13.99     |

|                                                                        |                       |      |      |       |      |     |       |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|------|------|-------|------|-----|-------|
| Baseline 2023 Plus Committed Development Plus 50% Proposed Development | Bedhampton Hill       | 0.25 | 0.4  | 10.42 | 0.28 | 0.4 | 10.37 |
|                                                                        | Havant Road (West)    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00  |
|                                                                        | Havant Road Slip Road | 0.31 | 0.5  | 13.05 | 0.38 | 0.7 | 14.11 |
| Baseline 2028                                                          | Bedhampton Hill       | 0.26 | 0.4  | 10.53 | 0.30 | 0.5 | 10.61 |
|                                                                        | Havant Road (West)    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00  |
|                                                                        | Havant Road Slip Road | 0.33 | 0.5  | 13.34 | 0.40 | 0.7 | 14.60 |
| Baseline 2028 Plus Committed Development                               | Bedhampton Hill       | 0.26 | 0.4  | 10.57 | 0.30 | 0.5 | 10.64 |
|                                                                        | Havant Road (West)    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00  |
|                                                                        | Havant Road Slip Road | 0.33 | 0.5  | 13.36 | 0.40 | 0.7 | 14.63 |
| Baseline 2028 Plus Committed Development Plus Proposed Development     | Bedhampton Hill       | 0.27 | 0.4  | 11.15 | 0.30 | 0.5 | 10.94 |
|                                                                        | Havant Road (West)    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00  |
|                                                                        | Havant Road Slip Road | 0.34 | 0.6  | 13.80 | 0.41 | 0.7 | 14.90 |

**Table 23:** Havant Road/Bedhampton Hill Modelling

7.15 The modelling results demonstrate that the Havant Road/Bedhampton Hill junction operates well under capacity with a highest RFC value of 0.41 which is seen in the Baseline 2028 plus committed development plus proposed development PM scenario, an increase of only 0.01 when compared with the Baseline 2028 plus committed development. The delay values also remain low in all scenarios with the longest delay at 14.90 again seen in the Baseline 2028 plus committed development plus proposed development scenario with the proposed development only increasing delay by 0.27 seconds.

7.16 It is recognised that the junction model may not be wholly representative of real time operations due to the keep clear box markings and proximity to the Rusty Cutter Roundabout. However, it is prudent to note that the percentage impact at this junction as a result of the proposed development remains relatively low at 7.94% in the AM peak and 7.29% in the PM peak. This is further demonstrated in the minimal increases in RFC, queue and delay values when the scenarios without the proposed development are compared to scenarios with proposed development.

7.17 Whilst HCC's comments on the original application identified concerns with the models for this junction, HCC have themselves re-run the models with minor alterations made with the results showing 'only a small increase in RFC and queuing'. HCC therefore confirmed that the junction operates '*within practical capacity in all scenarios with a maximum RFC of 0.41 and 0.7PCU queue in the Havant Road slip road arm of the junction in the PM peak in 2028 with committed and proposed development. This is therefore considered to be acceptable*'.

#### Rusty Cutter Roundabout

7.18 The Rusty Cutter roundabout is a partially signalised roundabout which connects Havant Road, the A27, Bedhampton Hill and the A3(M). The roundabout has signals operating on the A3(M) southbound off-slip, A3(M) northbound off-slip and the south and north circulatory sections. The remaining arms are controlled by give way movements.

7.19 Due to the roundabout being partially signalised, the roundabout has been modelled in two separate programmes with parameters from each being combined. Firstly, the junction was modelled in Junctions 9 as a roundabout, with the slope and intercept values taken from each output and included in the LinSig models. This allows the junctions to be modelled as partially signalised (an approach accepted by Highways England and Hampshire County Council).

7.20 In order to construct the model, traffic signal timings were purchased from Hampshire County Council's Intelligent Transport Systems team in November 2016. The baseline model was agreed with Hampshire County Council and Highways England and has been validated by queue length surveys. The models have been optimised.

7.21 Following submission of the original application and despite acceptance at the pre-application stage. Highways England raised concerns with the model itself and thus updates to the model were required along with requests for supporting information. Changes were made to the baseline model as per HE's request, and the model was therefore agreed on 30<sup>th</sup> August 2018 as Version 10.

7.22 As part of this Revised TA and given the provision of southern route around the south of the Rusty Cutter roundabout the modelling results of the Rusty Cutter roundabout demonstrate the set back in the stop lines to accommodate the walking area run on the latest approved model.

7.23 The modelling results using the agreed Highways England model are outlined in **Table 24**, with the full modelling outputs attached as **Appendix S**.

| Rusty Cutter Roundabout (Version 11) (Revised Stop Lines) |                        | AM    |       |           | PM    |       |           |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|
|                                                           |                        | DoS   | Max Q | Delay (s) | DoS   | Max Q | Delay (s) |
| Baseline 2016                                             | A3 NB Off Slip         | 84.3% | 14.5  | 33.4      | 84.8% | 11.1  | 39.0      |
|                                                           | Havant Road            | 48.1% | 1.6   | 5.4       | 51.6% | 1.7   | 4.3       |
|                                                           | A3 SB Off Slip         | 77.1% | 9.5   | 19.4      | 74.6% | 8.2   | 24.6      |
|                                                           | Bedhampton Hill        | 48.2% | 2.3   | 4.1       | 37.5% | 2.3   | 3.2       |
|                                                           | A27                    | 42.6% | 0.4   | 2.2       | 53.6% | 0.6   | 2.3       |
|                                                           | Circulatory South      | 84.4% | 14.5  | 33.8      | 83.4% | 15.2  | 27.1      |
|                                                           | Circulatory West       | 55.9% | 0.6   | 2.1       | 54.0% | 0.6   | 2.0       |
|                                                           | Circulatory North      | 62.3% | 6.4   | 22.2      | 77.2% | 7.7   | 14.9      |
|                                                           | Circulatory East       | 48.5% | 0.5   | 1.8       | 32.5% | 0.2   | 1.4       |
|                                                           | Circulatory South East | 16.9% | 0.1   | 1.1       | 17.5% | 0.1   | 1.1       |
| Baseline 2023                                             | A3 NB Off Slip         | 94.2% | 20.5  | 51.7      | 94.4% | 16.1  | 60.0      |
|                                                           | Havant Road            | 59.3% | 2.1   | 7.6       | 63.3% | 3.6   | 5.4       |
|                                                           | A3 SB Off Slip         | 84.8% | 11.7  | 21.9      | 85.3% | 10.7  | 30.5      |
|                                                           | Bedhampton Hill        | 60.9% | 3.3   | 7.0       | 44.5% | 2.9   | 4.3       |
|                                                           | A27                    | 49.2% | 0.5   | 2.3       | 61.4% | 1.6   | 2.5       |
|                                                           | Circulatory South      | 94.6% | 22.1  | 53.9      | 92.9% | 20.9  | 39.8      |
|                                                           | Circulatory West       | 62.5% | 0.8   | 2.5       | 60.1% | 0.8   | 2.3       |
|                                                           | Circulatory North      | 72.5% | 9.2   | 24.7      | 83.3% | 9.5   | 17.1      |
|                                                           | Circulatory East       | 54.2% | 0.6   | 2.0       | 36.2% | 0.3   | 1.4       |
|                                                           | Circulatory South East | 19.0% | 0.1   | 1.1       | 19.5% | 0.1   | 1.1       |
| Baseline 2023 Plus Committed Development                  | A3 NB Off Slip         | 94.2% | 20.5  | 51.7      | 94.4% | 16.1  | 60.0      |
|                                                           | Havant Road            | 60.0% | 2.3   | 7.7       | 63.4% | 3.7   | 5.5       |
|                                                           | A3 SB Off Slip         | 84.8% | 11.7  | 21.9      | 85.5% | 10.8  | 30.7      |
|                                                           | Bedhampton Hill        | 61.0% | 3.3   | 7.0       | 44.7% | 2.9   | 4.3       |
|                                                           | A27                    | 49.3% | 0.5   | 2.3       | 61.7% | 1.6   | 2.5       |

|                                                                        |                        |              |      |      |              |      |      |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|------|------|--------------|------|------|
|                                                                        | Circulatory South      | <b>94.6%</b> | 22.1 | 53.9 | <b>93.2%</b> | 21.2 | 40.6 |
|                                                                        | Circulatory West       | 62.6%        | 0.8  | 2.5  | 60.2%        | 0.8  | 2.3  |
|                                                                        | Circulatory North      | 72.5%        | 9.2  | 24.7 | 83.3%        | 9.5  | 17.1 |
|                                                                        | Circulatory East       | 54.3%        | 0.6  | 2.0  | 36.3%        | 0.3  | 1.4  |
|                                                                        | Circulatory South East | 19.0%        | 0.1  | 1.1  | 19.6%        | 0.1  | 1.1  |
| Baseline 2023 Plus Committed Development Plus 50% Proposed Development | A3 NB Off Slip         | <b>94.2%</b> | 20.5 | 51.7 | <b>94.4%</b> | 16.1 | 60.0 |
|                                                                        | Havant Road            | 65.8%        | 3.2  | 9.1  | 63.9%        | 3.7  | 5.8  |
|                                                                        | A3 SB Off Slip         | 86.4%        | 12.4 | 23.7 | 85.5%        | 10.8 | 30.7 |
|                                                                        | Bedhampton Hill        | 62.4%        | 3.4  | 7.4  | 45.1%        | 3.0  | 4.4  |
|                                                                        | A27                    | 49.4%        | 0.5  | 2.3  | 62.1%        | 1.7  | 2.6  |
|                                                                        | Circulatory South      | <b>95.2%</b> | 22.7 | 56.2 | <b>94.2%</b> | 22.0 | 43.3 |
|                                                                        | Circulatory West       | 62.5%        | 0.8  | 2.5  | 60.2%        | 0.8  | 2.3  |
|                                                                        | Circulatory North      | 70.2%        | 8.9  | 22.9 | 83.6%        | 9.6  | 17.3 |
|                                                                        | Circulatory East       | 55.2%        | 0.6  | 2.1  | 36.8%        | 0.3  | 1.5  |
|                                                                        | Circulatory South East | 19.2%        | 0.1  | 1.1  | 20.1%        | 0.1  | 1.2  |
|                                                                        |                        |              |      |      |              |      |      |
| Baseline 2028                                                          | A3 NB Off Slip         | <b>99.1%</b> | 27.6 | 77.9 | <b>99.3%</b> | 21.8 | 88.9 |
|                                                                        | Havant Road            | 65.5%        | 2.9  | 9.5  | 70.0%        | 4.5  | 6.9  |
|                                                                        | A3 SB Off Slip         | 89.0%        | 14.2 | 25.0 | 89.9%        | 12.5 | 34.6 |
|                                                                        | Bedhampton Hill        | 68.1%        | 4.0  | 8.8  | 48.1%        | 3.3  | 4.9  |
|                                                                        | A27                    | 52.4%        | 0.7  | 2.3  | 65.4%        | 2.2  | 2.8  |
|                                                                        | Circulatory South      | <b>99.2%</b> | 29.4 | 79.6 | <b>97.6%</b> | 27.2 | 58.5 |
|                                                                        | Circulatory West       | 65.7%        | 1.0  | 2.7  | 63.1%        | 0.9  | 2.5  |
|                                                                        | Circulatory North      | 76.2%        | 10.0 | 26.5 | 87.5%        | 12.1 | 20.4 |
|                                                                        | Circulatory East       | 57.0%        | 0.7  | 2.1  | 38.1%        | 0.3  | 1.5  |
|                                                                        | Circulatory South East | 19.9%        | 0.1  | 1.2  | 20.5%        | 0.1  | 1.2  |
| Baseline 2028 Plus Committed Development                               | A3 NB Off Slip         | <b>99.1%</b> | 27.6 | 77.9 | <b>99.3%</b> | 21.8 | 88.9 |
|                                                                        | Havant Road            | 66.2%        | 3.1  | 9.7  | 70.1%        | 4.5  | 6.9  |
|                                                                        | A3 SB Off Slip         | 89.0%        | 14.2 | 25.0 | 89.8%        | 12.6 | 34.9 |

|                                                                    |                        |               |      |      |              |      |      |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------|------|------|--------------|------|------|
|                                                                    | Bedhampton Hill        | 68.3%         | 4.0  | 8.9  | 48.5%        | 3.3  | 4.9  |
|                                                                    | A27                    | 52.5%         | 0.7  | 2.3  | 65.7%        | 2.2  | 2.9  |
|                                                                    | Circulatory South      | <b>99.3%</b>  | 29.6 | 80.5 | <b>97.9%</b> | 27.8 | 60.4 |
|                                                                    | Circulatory West       | 65.7%         | 1.0  | 2.7  | 63.2%        | 0.9  | 2.5  |
|                                                                    | Circulatory North      | 76.2%         | 10.0 | 26.5 | 87.5%        | 12.1 | 20.4 |
|                                                                    | Circulatory East       | 57.1%         | 0.7  | 2.1  | 38.2%        | 0.3  | 1.5  |
|                                                                    | Circulatory South East | 19.9%         | 0.1  | 1.2  | 20.6%        | 0.1  | 1.2  |
| Baseline 2028 Plus Committed Development Plus Proposed Development | A3 NB Off Slip         | <b>99.1%</b>  | 27.6 | 77.9 | <b>99.3%</b> | 21.8 | 88.9 |
|                                                                    | Havant Road            | 78.2%         | 5.5  | 15.2 | 71.0%        | 4.6  | 7.3  |
|                                                                    | A3 SB Off Slip         | 89.0%         | 14.2 | 25.0 | 89.8%        | 12.6 | 34.9 |
|                                                                    | Bedhampton Hill        | 71.3%         | 4.1  | 10.1 | 49.5%        | 3.7  | 5.0  |
|                                                                    | A27                    | 52.9%         | 0.8  | 2.4  | 66.7%        | 2.6  | 3.0  |
|                                                                    | Circulatory South      | <b>100.3%</b> | 32.0 | 89.4 | <b>99.7%</b> | 31.9 | 73.4 |
|                                                                    | Circulatory West       | 65.8%         | 1.0  | 2.7  | 63.2%        | 0.9  | 2.5  |
|                                                                    | Circulatory North      | 77.2%         | 10.3 | 27.1 | 88.0%        | 12.7 | 21.0 |
|                                                                    | Circulatory East       | 58.9%         | 0.7  | 2.2  | 39.1%        | 0.3  | 1.5  |
|                                                                    | Circulatory South East | 20.4%         | 0.1  | 1.2  | 21.4%        | 0.1  | 1.2  |

**Table 24:** Rusty Cutter Modelling

7.24 The modelling results demonstrate that in the AM peak the Rusty Cutter roundabout experiences capacity issues in the Baseline 2023 scenario on the A3(M) NB off-slip and Circulatory South arms. These arms therefore also experience capacity issues in the later scenario runs. It is clear that the capacity issues are a result of the growth in the baseline traffic as opposed to the level of traffic being added to the roundabout from the proposed development.

7.25 Following liaison with HE after the original application submission, HE have confirmed that *‘the summary results continue to show that the impact of the proposed development at this junction will be minimal and not severe and is therefore acceptable to Highways England’* (email dated: 30<sup>th</sup> August 2018). As a result of setting back the stop lines the modelling results remain the same, a summary technical note was provided to HCC and HE highlighting the minimal impact of the proposals and therefore it is considered that the impact of the proposed development on the operation of the Rusty Cutter roundabout is not severe. This was confirmed in an email dated 14<sup>th</sup> January 2019 which states that *‘we have reviewed the latest results and compared them with those that were agreed on 30<sup>th</sup> August and we have identified that there are no changes to the queue lengths on the A3 slip roads from those that were previously agreed’*.

#### A27 Teardrop Junction

7.26 The A27 Teardrop junction is a partially signalised teardrop shaped roundabout/junction which connects the A27 east to the A3(M), Bedhampton and the Industrial Estate of Harts Farm Way. The junction has signals operating the A27 off-slip and the A27 circulatory arm from the Rusty Cutter roundabout. The Harts Farm Way arm and A27 eastbound junctions are therefore controlled by give way movements.

7.27 The A27 Teardrop junction has therefore been modelled with the same principles as the Rusty Cutter by combining parameters from Junctions 9 and LinSig. The baseline 2016 model has been agreed with Highways England, whilst also being validated against queue length surveys. Following submission of the original application, HE provided further comments on the junction model, with updated required. The final model (Version 9) was therefore approved by Highways England on 30<sup>th</sup> August 2018.

7.28 The results of the modelling are outlined in **Table 25** whilst the outputs for LinSig are attached as **Appendix T**.

| A27 Teardrop Junction (Version 9) |                        | AM (0730-1830) |       |           | PM (1600-1700) |       |           |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|-------|-----------|----------------|-------|-----------|
|                                   |                        | DoS            | Max Q | Delay (s) | DoS            | Max Q | Delay (s) |
| Baseline 2016                     | To/From Rusty Cutter   | 72.3%          | 1.3   | 3.5       | 46.1%          | 0.4   | 1.6       |
|                                   | A27 East               | 76.4%          | 8.8   | 23.2      | 56.8%          | 5.2   | 12.1      |
|                                   | Harts Farm Way         | 32.1%          | 0.2   | 2.7       | 83.1%          | 4.9   | 7.5       |
|                                   | Circulatory Southbound | 77.0%          | 7.6   | 19.3      | 61.0%          | 3.8   | 20.2      |
| Baseline 2023                     | To/From Rusty Cutter   | 81.0%          | 2.1   | 5.1       | 51.3%          | 0.5   | 1.8       |

|                                                                                    |                        |       |       |      |              |      |      |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------|-------|------|--------------|------|------|
|                                                                                    | A27 East               | 84.6% | 11.0  | 28.7 | 63.2%        | 6.1  | 13.2 |
|                                                                                    | Harts Farm Way         | 37.4% | 0.3   | 3.0  | <b>92.5%</b> | 8.6  | 13.5 |
|                                                                                    | Circulatory Southbound | 84.3% | 10.0  | 23.4 | 67.9%        | 4.6  | 22.5 |
| Baseline 2023 Plus<br>Committed<br>Development                                     | To/From Rusty Cutter   | 81.0% | 2.1   | 5.1  | 51.5%        | 0.5  | 1.8  |
|                                                                                    | A27 East               | 84.6% | 11.0  | 28.7 | 66.8%        | 6.6  | 14.8 |
|                                                                                    | Harts Farm Way         | 37.4% | 0.3   | 3.0  | <b>93.9%</b> | 10.0 | 17.3 |
|                                                                                    | Circulatory Southbound | 84.3% | 10.0  | 22.8 | 62.3%        | 4.2  | 19.3 |
| Baseline 2023 Plus<br>Committed<br>Development Plus<br>50% Proposed<br>Development | To/From Rusty Cutter   | 82.2% | 2.3   | 5.4  | 51.8%        | 0.5  | 1.8  |
|                                                                                    | A27 East               | 85.1% | 11.1  | 29.2 | 67.6%        | 6.7  | 15.0 |
|                                                                                    | Harts Farm Way         | 37.7% | 0.3   | 3.1  | <b>94.1%</b> | 10.4 | 18.0 |
|                                                                                    | Circulatory Southbound | 84.8% | 10.1  | 23.0 | 64.3%        | 4.4  | 20.1 |
| Baseline 2028                                                                      | To/From Rusty Cutter   | 85.0% | 2.8   | 6.4  | 53.8%        | 0.6  | 1.9  |
|                                                                                    | A27 East               | 88.9% | 12.6  | 33.9 | 66.5%        | 6.6  | 13.9 |
|                                                                                    | Harts Farm Way         | 40.1% | 0.3   | 3.2  | <b>97.7%</b> | 18.0 | 24.4 |
|                                                                                    | Circulatory Southbound | 87.7% | 11.6  | 26.2 | 71.2%        | 5.0  | 23.1 |
| Baseline 2028 Plus<br>Committed<br>Development                                     | To/From Rusty Cutter   | 85.0% | 2.8   | 6.4  | 54.1%        | 0.6  | 1.9  |
|                                                                                    | A27 East               | 88.9% | 12.63 | 33.9 | 70.1%        | 7.2  | 15.7 |
|                                                                                    | Harts Farm Way         | 40.0% | 0.3   | 3.2  | <b>97.0%</b> | 12.4 | 21.1 |
|                                                                                    | Circulatory Southbound | 87.7% | 11.6  | 26.2 | 65.3%        | 4.5  | 20.7 |
| Baseline 2028 Plus<br>Committed<br>Development Plus<br>Proposed<br>Development     | To/From Rusty Cutter   | 87.4% | 3.4   | 7.5  | 54.7%        | 0.6  | 1.9  |
|                                                                                    | A27 East               | 89.8% | 13.0  | 35.4 | 71.8%        | 7.4  | 16.2 |
|                                                                                    | Harts Farm Way         | 40.9% | 0.3   | 3.3  | <b>97.1%</b> | 12.2 | 20.7 |
|                                                                                    | Circulatory Southbound | 88.5% | 11.7  | 26.6 | 62.4%        | 4.3  | 22.3 |

**Table 25:** A27 Teardrop Junction Modelling (As agreed with HE)

7.29 The modelling results demonstrate that the junction experiences capacity issues from the Baseline 2023 on the Harts Farm Way arm in the PM peak whilst the AM peak operates sufficiently under capacity. As a result of the proposed development the DoS values increase by 0.1% in the 2028 Baseline on the Harts Farm Way arm suggesting that the development would not have a severe impact on the operation of this junction.

- 7.30 Queue and delay values remain low even when the junction is nearing capacity with maximum queue values of 13.5 and delays of 36.6 seconds. This is also outlined in **Table 16** which highlights the percentage impact as 1.48% in the AM peak and 1.01% in the PM. No mitigation works are therefore proposed at this junction given the very minimal impact resulting from the development.
- 7.31 Following submission of the revised models and the summary to Highways England, HE were able to confirm that the proposed development at Forty Acre Farm would have '*minimal impact*' (email dated 30<sup>th</sup> August 2018). No further works are therefore required to review this junction.

#### **Summary of Junction Capacity Analysis**

- 7.32 In summary the junction capacity analysis of the four off-site junctions and of the two site accesses demonstrate that the development would not have a severe impact on the operation of the local road network.
- 7.33 The revised modelling of the two site accesses (following HCC's original comments) demonstrate that the proposed accesses would perform well within capacity in all scenarios with minimal queuing on the on the minor arm and RFC values well below the 0.85 threshold.
- 7.34 The two T-junctions subject to modelling (Havant Road/Auriol Drive and Havant Road/Bedhampton) are shown to operate sufficiently within capacity, with HCC confirming through their own updates to these models that the junctions would only experience small increases in RFC and queues and thus operating within practical capacity.
- 7.35 HE have confirmed that the impact of the proposed development on the Rusty Cutter roundabout and A27 Teardrop junction is minimal and thus not severe and is therefore acceptable to Highways England.
- 7.36 It is therefore considered that this RTA demonstrates that the proposed development at Forty Acre Farm (including off-site highway works) would not have a severe impact on the operation of the local road network.

## 8. SENSITIVITY TEST

- 8.1 As part of the pre-application process with HCC it was requested that a review of the draft Havant Borough Local Plan 2036 was completed as part of this TA in order to perform a modelling exercise with a sensitivity test of the Strategic Road Network (Rusty Cutter roundabout and A27 Teardrop junction). HBC released their Draft Local Plan 2036 in January 2018 and the Pre-Submission Draft Havant Borough Local Plan 2036 was adopted by full council in January 2019.
- 8.2 Paul Basham Associates have reviewed the latest Local Plan in order to complete a Sensitivity Test within the junction modelling to ensure the cumulative impact of housing sites provisionally allocated in the Local Plan is assessed. The review concluded that the following proposed development sites should be considered in the sensitivity test:
- Policy H40: 560 Dwellings at Campdown
  - Policy KP8: 95 Dwellings at Havant and South Downs Colleges
- 8.3 Within the original TA, Policy H44 for 100 Dwellings at Land to the north of Fort Purbook was included in the 2018 Draft Local Plan and consequently in the Sensitivity Test. Whilst this site does not appear to be included in the latest Local Plan, for consistency these 100 dwellings have been kept within the sensitivity test which ensures a robust assessment has been completed.
- 8.4 These three allocations have been chosen to be included in the assessment due to their location and scale in relation to the proposed development at Forty Acre Farm.
- 8.5 Given that no applications have been submitted for the above sites, for modelling purposes, the trip rates identified in this TA (and agreed with HCC) have been used to ascertain likely trip generation from the 3 site allocations. The trip generation for the AM (0800-0900) and PM (1700-1800) peak periods is outlined in **Table 26**.

| TRICS                               | AM Peak (0800-0900) |            | PM Peak (1700-1800) |            |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|------------|
|                                     | Arrivals            | Departures | Arrivals            | Departures |
| Trip Rate per unit (Private Houses) | 0.127               | 0.381      | 0.325               | 0.189      |
| Trip Generation (755 Houses)        | 96                  | 288        | 245                 | 143        |
| <b>TOTAL</b>                        | <b>384</b>          |            | <b>388</b>          |            |

**Table 26:** Sensitivity Test Trip Generation

8.6 In order to identify how traffic generated by these 3 sites would distribute onto the local road network, the 2011 Census data for 'Travel to Work' (data set: WF01BEW) has been assessed using the local Purbrook/Widley output area. The same distribution percentages have been used for all 3 allocations due to their location and proximity and this is outlined in **Table 27**.

| Destination    | Percentage | Route Assignment |
|----------------|------------|------------------|
| Portsmouth     | 35%        | A27 WB           |
| Southampton    | 4%         | A27 WB           |
| East Hampshire | 5%         | A3 (M)           |
| Fareham        | 5%         | A27 WB           |
| Gosport        | 2%         | A27 WB           |
| Waterlooville  | 12%        | A3               |
| West Leigh     | 2%         | Purbook Way      |
| North Havant   | 1%         | Bedhampton Road  |
| Widley         | 7%         | Stakes Road      |
| Emsworth       | 1%         | A27EB            |
| Havant         | 9%         | Bedhampton Road  |
| Hayling Island | 1%         | A27 EB           |
| Winchester     | 5%         | A27 WB           |
| Surrey         | 1%         | A3 (M)           |
| Sussex         | 5%         | A27 EB           |
| Bedhampton     | 3%         | Bedhampton Road  |
| Cowplain       | 1%         | A3               |
| London         | 1%         | A3 (M)           |

**Table 27:** Sensitivity Test Trip Distributions

8.7 The results of the distributions suggest that the highest proportion of vehicle trips are towards Portsmouth with the second highest towards Waterlooville with a higher percentage of vehicle trips utilising the A27 westbound.

8.8 Of the destinations outlined in **Table 27**, vehicle trips towards Emsworth, Hayling Island and Sussex only would result in trips utilising the Rusty Cutter roundabout and Teardrop junction to travel eastbound on the A27. This therefore equates to 7% of development trips. This value has therefore been applied to the likely developments' cumulative trip generation outlined in **Table 28**.

| TRICS                 | AM Peak (0800-0900) |            | PM Peak (1700-1800) |            |
|-----------------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|------------|
|                       | Arrivals            | Departures | Arrivals            | Departures |
| 7% of Trip Generation | 7                   | 20         | 17                  | 10         |

**Table 28:** Sensitivity Trip Generation through SRN

- 8.9 These 'allocation' trips have therefore been added to the model with all departures utilising the A3(M) southbound off-slip arm of the Rusty Cutter to access the A27 Eastbound on-slip of the A27 Teardrop junction, travelling east and the arrivals utilising the A27 Westbound off-slip arm of the A27 Teardrop junction and A27 arm of the Rusty Cutter to access the A3(M) travelling north. The distribution diagram of the HBC Local Plan Sensitivity Test is attached as **Appendix U**.
- 8.10 This modelling assessment work was submitted to HCC in March 2018 as part of a Strategic Network Junction Modelling Technical Note (document reference: 041.0023/SNJMTN/2).
- 8.11 The LinSig models have been run using the Baseline 2028 Plus Committed Development Plus Proposed Development Plus HBC Local Plan Sensitivity Test with the results displayed in **Table 27** and the full outputs attached as **Appendix S** and **T** respectively. These use the approved models for each junction respectively.

| HBC Local Plan Sensitivity Test                                                                         |                        | AM (0730-1830) |       |           | PM (1600-1700) |       |           |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|-------|-----------|----------------|-------|-----------|
|                                                                                                         |                        | DoS            | Max Q | Delay (s) | DoS            | Max Q | Delay (s) |
| Baseline 2028 Plus Committed Development Plus Proposed Development Plus HBC Local Plan Sensitivity Test | <b>Rusty Cutter</b>    |                |       |           |                |       |           |
|                                                                                                         | A3 NB Off Slip         | <b>99.1%</b>   | 27.6  | 77.9      | <b>99.3%</b>   | 21.8  | 88.9      |
|                                                                                                         | Havant Road            | 78.4%          | 5.7   | 15.4      | 71.6%          | 4.7   | 7.1       |
|                                                                                                         | A3 SB Off Slip         | <b>90.4%</b>   | 15.8  | 26.6      | <b>90.6%</b>   | 13.2  | 36.1      |
|                                                                                                         | Bedhampton Hill        | 72.2%          | 4.3   | 10.6      | 49.7%          | 3.7   | 5.2       |
|                                                                                                         | A27                    | 53.3%          | 0.8   | 2.4       | 67.7%          | 2.7   | 3.1       |
|                                                                                                         | Circulatory South      | <b>100.8%</b>  | 33.3  | 94.3      | <b>100.5%</b>  | 34.5  | 81.2      |
|                                                                                                         | Circulatory West       | 65.9%          | 1.0   | 2.7       | 63.6%          | 0.9   | 2.5       |
|                                                                                                         | Circulatory North      | 77.2%          | 10.4  | 27.1      | 88.0%          | 12.7  | 20.9      |
|                                                                                                         | Circulatory East       | 59.4%          | 0.7   | 2.3       | 39.3%          | 0.3   | 1.5       |
| Baseline 2028 Plus Committed Development Plus Proposed Development Plus HBC Local Plan Sensitivity Test | <b>A27 Teardrop</b>    |                |       |           |                |       |           |
|                                                                                                         | To/From Rusty Cutter   | 87.4%          | 3.4   | 7.5       | 55.2%          | 0.6   | 2.0       |
|                                                                                                         | A27 East               | <b>90.5%</b>   | 13.5  | 36.6      | 73.0%          | 7.6   | 16.5      |
|                                                                                                         | Harts Farm Way         | 40.9%          | 0.3   | 3.3       | <b>97.3%</b>   | 12.4  | 21.6      |
|                                                                                                         | Circulatory Southbound | 88.5%          | 11.7  | 26.2      | 69.8%          | 5.1   | 23.4      |

**Table 27:** Sensitivity Test SRN Modelling

- 8.12 The results of the SRN junction modelling sensitivity test demonstrate that similar DoS values are seen in the earlier scenario runs with the largest increase at the Rusty Cutter roundabout seen on the A3 SB Off-slip of 1.4% in the AM peak and at the A27 Teardrop junction on the A27 East arm in the AM peak of 0.7%. Both increases are modest and do not result in significant increases in queue or delay values. HE have confirmed (in emails dated 30<sup>th</sup> August 2018 and 14<sup>th</sup> January 2019) that the modelling results demonstrate minimal impact of the development on the operation of the SRN which included the modelling outputs of the Sensitivity Test.
- 8.13 No further off-site highway works are therefore proposed as a result of additional traffic from the sensitivity test. It would however be the responsibility of those planning applications to fully demonstrate the impact of their development from a highways perspective through respective Transport Assessment works.

## 9. CONCLUSION

- 9.1 This Revised Transport Assessment has been prepared by Paul Basham Associates on behalf of Barratt David Wilson Homes to support a re-consultation on a full planning application for the residential development of 320 dwellings and a 66-bedroom care home at Forty Acre Farm, Bedhampton. The scope of the RTA has been guided by the original TA which was based on pre-application feedback with HCC on a scoping note produced by PBA along with a Concept Design Check with HCC and Engineering Consultancy on the access designs. This RTA also responds to comments on the application by three highway authorities and works through the application process.
- 9.2 The scope of this TA reflects the principles of the now withdrawn DfT 'Guidance on Transport Assessments' (March 2007), reviewing site accessibility, personal injury accident data, the proposed accommodation schedule, car and cycle parking requirements, visibility and access arrangements, servicing arrangements, trip assessments, traffic impact and capacity assessment on the local road network (LRN) and draws conclusions from the overall assessment.
- 9.3 The proposed development consists of 320 residential dwellings with 30% affordable housing and 70% private housing. A 66-bedroom care home would also be provided on site along with allotments, a habitat mitigation zone, provision of open space and associated infrastructure.
- 9.4 The proposed site is located to the west of Bedhampton, and east of Drayton and is within the recommended walk/cycle distances of public transport infrastructure, with bus stops located on Havant Road either side of the proposed site accesses offering a high frequency service. The proposed site exhibits good accessibility to local amenities and pedestrian and cycle networks, and as such is in an appropriate location for sustainable development (as confirmed by the allocation in HBC Pre-Submission Local Plan).
- 9.5 PIA data for the most recent five years has been reviewed along Havant Road (across the site frontage), the Rusty Cutter roundabout and A27 Teardrop junction. Within the study area there has been a total of 65 PIA's, with 0 fatalities, 12 serious injuries and 53 slight accidents. A cluster of 14 PIAs (1 serious and 13 slight) were reported at the Rusty Cutter roundabout of Havant Road, Bedhampton Hill, A3(M) and A27. This roundabout has recently been partly signalised which should help mitigate against future accident occurrences. The roundabout also experiences high traffic volumes and therefore the incident causes, dispersion and frequency are not a cause of concern. A small cluster is also visible at the Rectory Avenue and Havant Road junction with 6 slight accidents. All accidents were a result of human error as opposed to highway design. 1 serious accident was also reported opposite the proposed site access; however, analysis of the HCC reports determines the accident was a result of human error, and is not a result of highway design. The frequency and

distribution of the PIAs and causation factors do not indicate any cause for concern.

- 9.6 The development would be served by two vehicular accesses both facilitated by ghost island right turn lanes. Both accesses would be of bellmouth arrangements 7m wide supported by 15m radii with 2.0m wide footways flanking both sides of the access road which connect to a 3m wide footway/cycleway which borders the north of the site along Havant Road. The access designs have been subject to a HCC Concept Design Check in November 2017 which raised no issues in principle with the accesses and pedestrian improvements designed.
- 9.7 The trip generation associated with the proposed development has been calculated using the industry standard TRICS database. The trip generation assessment indicated the proposed development would generate a total of 1584 daily vehicle trips, with 172 vehicle trips in the AM peak and 174 vehicle trips in the PM peak.
- 9.8 The proposed development intends to provide a total of 758 parking spaces for the general housing with 694 spaces for residents and 64 visitor parking bays. This level of parking is in accordance with Havant Borough Council's Parking Standards for allocated and unallocated spaces and visitor parking. The development would also provide cycle parking in accordance with HBC standards. The care home would have 28 parking spaces and 8 cycle storage spaces which given the intended end users is considered sufficient to cater for all demand.
- 9.9 The internal site carriageways are designed to a width of 5.5m and are supported by 2m footways in line with MfS guidance, except for a 3m wide footway/cycleway on the eastern access eastern side which would connect to an internal 3m footway cycleway which flanks the northern site boundary. Where parking directly fronts carriageways, the width is increased to 6m to ensure vehicles can enter and exit the parking spaces. As the internal roads move out toward the periphery of the site into quieter cul-de-sacs, shared-surface carriageways are proposed adopting 'home zone' principles with active property frontages. The geometries would accommodate the passing of 2 vehicles and allow cyclists and pedestrians to safely manoeuvre through the site. The care home would have a gated access to prevent unauthorised use of the parking with a refuse vehicle and fire appliance able to turn on site. A delivery layby is also provided to ensure the car park of the care home can remain free flowing.
- 9.10 It has been established through pre-application discussions with Hampshire County Council highway officers that several junctions on the local road network need to be assessed in terms of quantifying the impact of the development. These junctions are:

- Site Accesses;

- Havant Road/Auriol Drive;
- Havant Road/Bedhampton Hill;
- Rusty Cutter Roundabout; and
- A27 Teardrop Junction.

9.11 Percentage impact assessments have also been completed for local junctions to reaffirm the scope of junction modelling, identifying that, as a result of the proposed development, less than a 1.2% increase in traffic is seen at the Havant Road/Lower Farlington Road junction, Havant Road/Eastern Road junction and Bedhampton Road/Portsdown Hill Road/Bedhampton Hill roundabout.

9.12 The modelling results do not present a 'severe' impact of the proposed development on any of the junctions modelled when also considering committed development with the growth in baseline traffic resulting in the largest increases in RFC/DoS, queue and delay. This includes modest changes to the stop lines at the Rusty Cutter Roundabout associated with off-site highway works to provide a safe route to school which do not have an impact on the operation or capacity. Through HCC's original comments on the application, it was confirmed that the junctions experienced only small increases in RFC and queuing which was not considered significant.

9.13 A package of offsite highway improvements are proposed as part of the development. This includes the provision of a 3m wide footway/cycleway along the southern side of Havant Road and improvements along the pedestrian route to Bidbury Infant and Junior Schools. Along this route it is proposed to extend the existing footway/cycleway from the Rusty Cutter Roundabout up to the junction of Bedhampton Hill and the Rusty Cutter Beefeater Restaurant along with upgrading an existing pedestrian crossing and implementing a new pedestrian island to facilitate east to west movements across Bedhampton Hill. Improvements are also proposed around the south of the Rusty Cutter Roundabout. This includes the provision of a 3m wide footway/cycleway around the internal island of the roundabout with 'walk with' crossing points at the four existing signalised arms of the Rusty Cutter Roundabout. Guard railing offset 450mm from the kerb edge are also proposed. The works around the south of the Rusty Cutter Roundabout have been subject to a GG119 compliant Stage 1 Road Safety Audit with modest updates required which have been demonstrated within this RTA.

- 9.14 As part of pre-application liaison, HCC requested that a Sensitivity Test was completed to include a review of HBC's Draft Local Plan (2036). Through the original TA three 'allocated' sites were identified for review due to their scale and proximity to Forty Acre Farm, which equated to an additional 755 houses. Whilst in the latest Local Plan revision not all sites appear to be allocated, for consistency and to provide a robust assessment all three sites have remained within the sensitivity test. A review of the likely distributions from these sites was conducted as part of the original TA identifying 7% of vehicle trips are likely to utilise the SRN in proximity to Forty Acre Farm (Rusty Cutter roundabout and A27 Teardrop). These two junctions have been re-modelled (using the approved models, including altered stop lines at the Rusty Cutter Roundabout) with the additional 'allocated sites' vehicle trips which demonstrates that very minor increases in DoS, queue and delay values are seen with the largest DoS increase at the Rusty Cutter roundabout being 1.4% and 0.7% at the A27 Teardrop junction. No further mitigation works are therefore required to support additional traffic resulting from the sensitivity test. HE confirmed through their review of the modelling that the developments impact on the operation of these two junctions was 'minimal'.
- 9.15 This Revised Transport Assessment has demonstrated that the proposed development would not have a significant impact on the operation of the local highway network. We therefore respectfully request HCC and HE take a balanced view of the development proposals and raise no highways objection to this site.