

I was contacted by [REDACTED] as a Cllr and understand another Cllr within the relevant ward is also aware of the case.

The original application APP/21/0131 included two velux windows on the East elevation, one each side of the dormer, the application drawings submitted clearly show they would be inline with the top of the existing dormer roof line and not lower than the existing windows in the dormer and would have not caused any issue [REDACTED] had those windows been placed where they had permission to be placed.

The windows have consequently been placed [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Had the windows been placed where they should have been - this would have not been an issue and privacy would have been retained for all . [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] but is still not where it is shown to be on the drawing.

The velux windows were not placed where permission was granted [REDACTED] which has led to this latest retrospective planning application (APP/24/00679) in relation to the velux windows in question on the East elevation.

I find it incredulous that the applicant should submit new drawings for the East elevation that still fail to represent what has actually been built, it's clear this second application shows both windows slightly lower but nowhere near where they have been placed in real terms [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] appears to be a blatant attempt to mislead the planning team and frustrate the process further by the applicant submitting drawings that I believe are led to deceive. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] would be familiar with what is/isn't acceptable within an application and it could be suggested that there was never an intention to place the windows where they should have been.

[REDACTED] I
[REDACTED] continuing harm that has been caused and what appears to be an attempt to hoodwink the overstretched planning team.

Kind Regards

Cllr Sharon Collings