


Hants PO7 6AZ

24th May 2021



Dear Sirs,

Ref Planning Application APP/21/00179 35 Charlesworth Drive PO7 6AZ

Following the updated submission for the development of the above property, this just makes the complete application less valid. This is obviously not a professionally prepared project as the appearance has been significantly changed. Nor does it substantiate the declared objective of a property just for two people – it looks like an HMO plan! The following points from my first submission are still valid :-

1. A number of people on this estate have had planning applications rejected when they have sought to raise their roof line so this one should be refused as well.
2. This is an area that is a known bungalow development, sought by many people on retirement.
3. The proposed building is too large for the building plot. With the garage to be removed, there will be very little car parking space – already the area is short of suitable parking which leads often to the road being difficult for safe driving. New note – the new owners are already using the footpath on the corner illegally for parking.
4. The height of the proposed building will be obtrusive and top-heavy. For example, it will restrict the outlook to the west for us and other people in this area.
5. The reason for the development does not sound correct. This property has already been adequately enlarged suitable for two people. Further expansion as proposed does not match the stated objectives of the developer. Multiple use would also further complicate the parking situation and be totally unacceptable.
6. Further forward development would cross the existing building line and should not be allowed.
7. The existing front garden would be destroyed which would mean the loss of two developed trees, one of which (a magnolia) would be a big loss to the environment.
8. Discussions with fellow residents in this area have so far not found one person in favour of this intrusive development.

Additional new comments:-

This development will dwarf and overshadow the existing number 33 home.

Looking at other developments of bungalows in this area, this will be far too large in both height and spread and will destroy the character of the area as a quiet residential area for retired people and families.

The only appropriate response to this proposal apparently based on a false proposal (2 people only!) is to reject it completely.

Thank you,

