



52 Long Copse Lane
Emsworth
Hants
PO10 7UR

08/06/17

Dear Mr Oliver,

Planning Application APP/16/01234

I am writing to further object to the above planning application in addition to my previous letter of 17.3 17.

New additional information has been provided by the reports of the Gypsy Liaison Officer (GLO) and the Hampshire Consortium GTAA provide by Opinion Research Services.

The GLO's report has brought out several issues. It has been put forward that the applicant has been living with his partner in a caravan on the site in question for the last 12 months. There is considerable doubt about this. His partner is said in the report to be pregnant with the baby being due in September this year. It is beholding of the Council to verify that this information is correct as the applicant and his partner were said to be homeless in the previous document supplied to the Council. (Madgwick Hearing Statement para 112) In that document it was also stated that the applicant's partner was expecting a baby due in March 2017 . This does not seem to have happened.

The report also says that the applicant leads a nomadic life style. This is debateable and the the offer in the report to provide written evidence to support this notion by the applicant should be asked for.

It is also put forward that there are no sites available to pitch his caravan. The Locum Clerk to the Westbourne Parish Council has written " There are several vacant pitches in Westbourne which the applicant could avail themselves of " Proof should be given that an application has been made to this site.

The GLO also outlined the family connections and facilities at the former Hampshire County Council site at Bury Bricklands in the New Forest which is said to be very much horse and pony orientated. Again proof of application should be sought for this site.

The point about traffic in Long Copse Lane (Para 16 report 24.04 17) is directed to limited movement of a small caravan. If the application is granted there remains the points made about traffic in previous letters where the size and volumes of traffic are unknown so are still relevant.

It has been put forward in the GTAA document that there is only one Gypsy and Traveller site required in Havant until 2036 and that the unauthorised site that is presently occupied in the Borough would be suitable. All the arguments rehearsed against the application for a traveller site in Long Copse Lane stand in my and others previous letters of objections and should be carefully examined against current planning laws and the above application rejected

Yours sincerely,