

Comments for Planning Application APP/17/01350

Application Summary

Application Number: APP/17/01350

Address: 47 Hazleton Way, Waterloooville, PO8 9BP

Proposal: Application for Certificate of Lawfulness relating to the siting of a twin unit mobile home to provide additional living accommodation to the existing dwelling.

Case Officer: Tina Pickup

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Gemma Aked

Address: 49 Hazleton Way Cowplain

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Complainant

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: In respect of 47 Hazleton Way I have the following comments to make on the application:

1) I understand the mobile home is to be used as a separate dwelling following a conversation between my husband and Mrs Ann Gerrey (owner of 47 Hazleton Way) who outlined the following; 'Ann's daughter and her husband were moving into the garden into a log cabin [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

2) Based on the size of the mobile home at 20m by 6.5m I would not consider this to be incidental to the main dwelling, as it is not a minor accompaniment due to the fact the mobile home is larger in floor space than the main house. It is also capable of being a separate dwelling due to including all primary functions of 3 bedrooms/kitchen/utility room/bathroom, ensuite. There is no requirement for those living in the mobile home to need to use the facilities of the main house.

3) Looking at the plan the mobile home appears to be less than 2m from the boundary (in which case I am further concerned about the height of the home being over 2.5m)

I am in utter shock that the law potentially allows people to build properties up to 20m (which are technically moveable, but not going to be moved for years) bigger than the size of their house for people to live in without requiring planning permission. This will impact on privacy of neighbours with people permanently living at the end of the garden, increased noise from the additional dwelling and outlook from neighbours to have to permanently look at what is pretty much a bungalow in the neighbours garden and could devalue/put off future buyers of the surrounding houses.

I am concerned that if this is approved this sets a precedent for anyone to be able to do this and will become a commonplace in future years. This is bad for the area as these plots were not designed for this purpose to be crammed with additional housing of such magnitude in the gardens.