

13th May 2019

Planning Inspectorate
Planning and Development Dept
Public Service Plaza
Civic Centre Road
Havant
Hampshire
PO9 2AX

Your Ref: **APP/19/00390**

Dear Sir/Madam

Re: Objection to 'Retrospective planning application APP/19/00390, 57 Linkenholt Way, Havant, PO9 4AS'. Single Storey rear extension.

In response to your letter dated 7 May 2019. We wish to raise an objection to the building of the rear extension on the said property. [REDACTED]

Having viewed the planning application on line and the photographic evidence supplied, the following comments support the objection:

1. The height of the extension is 3.35 metres prior to the roof being built. The top roof reaches the second storey of the building.
2. The length of the extension is 3.35 metres.
3. There is very little gap between the outer extension wall and the boundary fence which we believe to be in breach of the building regulations and could potentially cause damage/damp to the boundary fence.
4. The size of the rear extension impedes on our property in the following ways:
 - Blocks light and overshadows the rear of [REDACTED] causing the rooms a the rear of the house to be cold and dark.
 - The rear extension wall is a solid wall and makes the view from the rear windows of [REDACTED] bleak and depressing. It also impedes on the garden sitting area of said property which the occupants enjoy utilizing in the warm weather. They will not longer be able to enjoy this pleasure in the warm weather as it is now shaded and cold.
5. Point 4 of the Planning Application states: "Has work already been started without consent?" The application dated the April 2019 states 'No'. At the time of the application the building work had been started and exterior walls constructed prior to any permission being granted. This therefore is a false statement made by Mrs Jo Blakey. Evidence of the photographs of

Ref: APP/19/00390 continued:

the construction have been sent to the planning department enclosed in the recorded letter dated 15th April 2019, from ourselves.

6. There is also a front extension to 57 Linkenholt Way, which although being agreed with the neighbours at the time it was planned, has resulted in darkening the front room of [REDACTED]. Therefore with extensions at both the front and rear of the building makes the occupants of number [REDACTED], feel 'hemmed in'.

We feel therefore that:

- Mrs Jo Blakey, at no time considered the impact on the rear extension on her neighbours and their property.
- Mrs Jo Blakey was fully aware of the objections raised to her in person in the summer of 2018, by [REDACTED] and chose to ignore them, She only then applied for planning permission from HBC in April 2019, under the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 when she became aware that photographs were being taken of the extension by the owner of [REDACTED] and the possibility of a further objection to the extension.
- Mrs Jo Blakey did not approach Havant Borough Council and apply to the Neighbourhood Consultation Scheme to ensure they were adhering to current legislation regarding the building of a single storey extension and furthermore that there were any objections from neighbours.

We would therefore ask that this objection is investigated thoroughly, by the case officer appointed and given serious consideration by The Planning Committee under The Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015.

Yours faithfully

[REDACTED]