

To: Email: planning.development@havant.gov.uk
Cc: MR L OLIVER
Subject: APP/17/00600

19/06/2017

From Mr. F Wilson & Mrs. G Wilson
Gospel Lodge
56 B - Bedhampton RD
PO93EY



Dear Mr. Oliver

With reference to the proposed planning application changes- to the CAMBRIDGE (VOLVO). GARAGE - 23 Bedhampton Road PO93ES ,

We the above are good neighbors living **directly** opposite, and would like to register that we are **NOT in favor** of the proposed FLAG POLES erecting permanent or otherwise on the **frontage** of Bedhampton road and strongly oppose the applications for these reasons.

1 – HIGHWAY SAFETY & TRAFFIC . High level concerns

Fluttering flags would be even more adding the danger of distraction to drivers- we have frequently witnessed many tail gate style crashes outside our house when drivers are often taken unawares of cars wanting to turn into the Cambridge garage entrance-especially when traffic- either speeding off from the near traffic light crossing,- or getting impatient when traffic slows as frequent lines of cars grow by drivers going in the direction towards the close by railway crossing & New Rd bend .

2 NOISE- EFFECTS & OUTLOOK, Major concerns= effects- privacy- outlook- noise

Fluttering flags are **very noisy- distractive and reflective, causing visual disturbances and distress** we have experience this first hand when the currently used temporary flag poles, were placed directly in front of our house the MOVEMENT IS REFLECTIVE in **all** of OUR WINDOWS of our home, in recent months, the **noise at night was immensely distressing-** completely disturbing our sleep- it is likened to sails & spinnakers BASHING BANGING & TINKLING — it truly is a nightmare !!! I had to go over to the show room to explain our distress , they were eventually moved to the car park area [incidentally where they are now placed] which is the only acceptable place for our sanity sake, **please do not let them be — fronting the Bedhampton rd.**

3 EFFECTS PRIVACY OUTLOOK Major concerns= effects- privacy-outlook-design -wellbeing

With reference to the proposed **illuminating led signs [lighting]**

We are **opposed to any further or additional signage** for these reasons

There are **currently 7 yes seven illuminated signs fronting our home at present, plus x4 high voltage internal ceiling lights plus car headlights on- computer & advertising screens** all left on a night **which actually is a nightmare** my home is lit up all night- even with my use of wooden shutters- the ceiling light are the worst directly in line with our main bedroom which even penetrate the shutters! please suggest - low level floor lighting this should be used together with cameras as security (at present there is none) the **lighting at night is excessive** and should be **reviewed not added to**, please come and look for yourself

The **lighting should be decreased not added to**,

my son won't stay at my house because of the excessive lighting at night, directly into our home , the current illuminated signage is not necessary, only the major recent Volvo sign should be on at night it can be seen for ½ a mile away in any direction .

yours Sincerely