Notes on application for planning permission at 20, Hamilton Close. APP/11/00226.

1) There are several inconsistencies in the plans and descriptions in the documents supporting this application. E.g. in the 'Design and Access Statement' ["DAS"] three such examples are:-
   a) The width and nature of the access on the eastern side of the property
   b) The number, size and placing of windows (e.g. at 1st storey level to rear (north face) of proposal. [DAS p.13 & plan 1006-1004-1]
   c) Width of access to road on south face of property.

   I should like to have these inconsistencies clarified before the proposal is accepted.

   I currently have no access to the planning documents so hope that my references, from poorly produced copies, are correct.

2) DAS p.7 refers 'the front of the proposal has been carefully considered to provide a balance with the existing street frontage.....rear of property has been rendered.....'
   a) The existing 'balance' is of paired properties with alternate large and small gaps between them. Viz. DAS p5 upper photo where groupings are 15/16, 17/18, 19/20 21/22 Hamilton Close. The proposal would affect that aspect and alter the general air of well-spaced properties as one approaches this junction.
   b) A significant design consideration in respect of the east and north facing parts of the proposal is from the acoustic impact of the A27 Trunk road at the rear of the property. Rendering a surface may well increase the overall noise levels experienced in the rear garden(s). Due to the acoustic power envelope from the A27 being strongly biased to the east at this location, (because of the road curvature, elevation etc.), I would be strongly against the application of any rendering on the **east facing** large area of brickwork, on this proposal.

      Indeed I would strongly urge that this east facing surface is comprised of materials with good sound absorbing properties to mitigate any "resonance" between the proposal, at Number 20, [redacted] Hamilton Close.
3) The proposed fenestration on the roof at the rear of the property will directly overlook the rear garden of [redacted] Would it be possible to adapt these so that there is no clear direct overview?
4) The proximity of the two storey development to our property [redacted] will affect the available light, and visibility of the sun, at both the front and rear of the property [redacted]

[redacted]