

Dr. ALAN J CLARKE



20 March 2016

Daphne Haywood,
Havant Borough Council
Planning Department
Civic Centre Road
Havant
PO9 2AX

By email

Dear Ms Haywood,

Re: Planning Application APP/15/01355

I write concerning the latest changes to the plans for Tamarisk. Unfortunately, the changes appear to be quite minor and consequently my objections to the plans remain.

I can see no justification for plans to construct a building so hugely different in footprint, size and location from the existing. No improvements to the style of the new building are proposed. It still has the appearance of an industrial complex which is completely incompatible with the neighbouring line of houses.

We all have a duty to try to improve the environment in which we live and these plans are a retrograde step. There is plenty of scope to design a house that is in keeping with style and size of the existing houses and makes an improvement to the appearance of that end of the road.

We should also have respect for the environment of our neighbours. The planned building shows no respect for neighbours and overshadows their property and rear garden.

My previous comments are still applicable and are copied below for reference.

Yours sincerely,

Alan Clarke.

This application is for the demolition of the existing building and the construction of a new building. I am very concerned about the plans for this building.

My family have been frequent visitors to Hayling Island for the past forty years. We love boating and often launch our RIB at the nearby slipway and eat at the Ferryboat Inn.

I am dismayed at the plans for this new building. It is massively larger than the existing building and completely out of character with the nearby residencies. The visual aspects are appalling – it looks like an industrial building set for demolition.

The new building extends the building lines both to the north and the south. The upper floor terrace at the rear overlooks the private areas of the adjacent residence. That building has a Right to Light that will be compromised by the planned building. The adjacent residence will be in shadow from midday when currently it receives full sun into the evening.

There are some very questionable statements in the Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment. "The scale of change through additional building height is not visually significant". That's nonsense. The new building is said to be two stories but each is far taller than for a normal house. The photos show that the Deck House is almost completely out of sight in the view from the west, just the top of the roof can be seen.

Table 1 describes potential impacts on views from around but makes no mention of the views from the adjacent residence. Those should surely be included.

"The new building will be a storey higher than the existing but will match in size and scale to the adjacent house to the east". That's a nonsense. The drawings and photos show how massive and overshadowing the new building is to the south, north and in its height.

To be acceptable, the new building should have a footprint not larger, nor further north or south than the adjacent building that it will overshadow, and have two stories of domestic, not industrial, proportions. It should be built from domestic building materials compatible with the nearby residences. Descriptions in the Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment needs to be more realistic and be reflective of the photos and drawings.

The planned building will be a blot on the landscape and an eyesore at the end of a line of very attractive houses.

Yours sincerely,

Alan Clarke