

For the attention of MR J. GARGETT.
Re:- YOUR REF:- APP/16/01143

Dear Sir,

I am in receipt of your letter dated the 7th November 2016 concerning the application/proposal by others, to reduce the crown of the Oak tree, in my garden by 1.2m and cut back to the previous pruning points on the western face. Tree within Area 1, subject to TPO 1005

I must object to this latest application, being the owner of this tree, as this particular tree was subjected to a major reduction to the trees crown, and cut back of a considerable number of lower branches in June/July 2012.

This was all to enable my next door neighbour at No 18 Durham Gardens at the time, to sell their property, which they successfully achieved within 6 months.

The tree in my opinion at that time was reduced to much, as my neighbour requested the tree surgeon to thin out its density to allow further light into his garden. Upon your visit prior to the proposed reduction, you informed me any further surgery to any tree, cannot be carried out for at least 8 to 10 years, except if damaged or in poor state of health.

Now here we are 4 years later, the tree has barely had time to regrow what it previously lost, and some person(s) is insisting on further reduction in height etc. This is not a viable way to act as this will certainly affect the change and the overall shape and size of the tree.

I can be contacted on [REDACTED] or should you wish to visit my home address of 17 DURHAM GARDENS WATERLOOVILLE. to discuss the contents of the email.

Regards
MR B. BISHOP.