

Havant Borough Council
Heritage Consultation Response

Date – 7/1/26

Officer – Rachael McMurray, Principal Heritage Officer

Application – APP/25/00677

Site Address – Rosemead, Edward Gardens, Havant

Proposal:

Single storey flank and rear extensions, together with garage conversion and addition of bay window to the front elevation.

Site / Location

The application site is in a residential cul-de-sac known as Edwards Gardens, which is within the Old Bedhampton Conservation Area. The property is two-storey and of modern construction (brick and tile) with an integral garage. To the south of the property lies Manor House, a Grade II listed building.

Legislation

When assessing the proposal, the following legislation and policy context is taken in consideration.

Planning (Listed Building and Conservation Areas) Act 1990

Section 16 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 ("1990 Act") requires local planning authorities to have special regard to the desirability of preserving a listed building, its setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest when considering whether to grant listed building consent.

Section 66 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 requires planning authorities, when considering whether to grant planning permission for development which affects a listed building or its setting, to have special regard to the desirability of preserving the building or its setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which it possesses.

Section 72 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 requires planning authorities, when considering whether to grant planning permission for development which affects a **Conservation Area**, to have special regard to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character and appearance of that area.

National Planning Policy Framework (revised December 2024)

Chapter 16 of the NPPF relates to **the Historic Environment** including paragraphs 202-221. The following are most relevant:

Para 212 states that:

'When considering the impact of a proposed development on the significance of a designated heritage asset, great weight should be given to the asset's conservation (and the more important the asset, the greater the weight should be). This is irrespective of whether any potential harm amounts to substantial harm, total loss or less than substantial harm to its significance'.

Para 214 states that:

*'Where a development will result in **substantial harm to, or total loss of**, the significance of a designated heritage asset, permission should be refused, unless this harm is necessary to achieve substantial public benefits, or a number of criteria are met. Where less than substantial harm is identified paragraph 208 requires this harm to be weighed against the public benefits of the proposed development*

Para 215 states that:

*'Where a development proposal will lead to **less than substantial harm** to the significance of a designated heritage asset, this harm should be weighed against the public benefits of the proposal including, where appropriate, securing its optimum viable use'.*

The building subject to this application is not listed, but is deemed to be a non-designated heritage asset (as set out in the introduction) and therefore the following paragraph is also relevant:

Para 216:

'The effect of an application on the significance of a non-designated heritage asset should be taken into account in determining the application. In weighing applications that directly or indirectly affect non-designated heritage assets, a balanced judgement will be required having regard to the scale of any harm or loss and the significance of the heritage asset'.

Havant Borough Local Plan (Core Strategy 2011 and Allocations Plan 2014)

Policy CS11 – Protecting and Enhancing the Special Environment and Heritage of the Havant Borough

Policy CS11 (4) states that planning permission will be granted for development which:

'Protects and where appropriate enhances the borough's statutory and non-statutory heritage designations by appropriately managing development in or adjacent to conservation areas, listed buildings, scheduled ancient monuments, historic parks and gardens, archaeological sites, buildings of local historic or architectural interest'.

Policy CS16 – High Quality Design

Policy CP16 (1a) of the Core Strategy states that:

'Planning permission will be granted for development that is designed to a high standard, which helps to create places where people want to live, work and relax. All development should demonstrate that its design:

- a) Identifies and responds positively to existing features of natural, historic or local character within or close to the proposed development site'.*

- b) Integrates with existing local landscape features, promotes wildlife and biodiversity and/or applies characteristics of the local area into the design of a scheme wherever possible to create variety and interest;*
- c) Uses the characteristics of the locality to help inform the design of the new development including heights, massing, existing buildings lines, plot widths and depths, materials and proportions of windows and doors;*
- d) Is well connected to and integrates with the immediate local area and the wider area by linking to existing pedestrian and cycle routes and encouraging people to use public transport where possible; and*
- e) The development does not cause unacceptable harm to the amenity of neighbours through smell, the loss of privacy, outlook, noise and overlooking.*

Policy DM20 (Allocations Plan) - Historic Assets

Policy DM20 states that;

'Planning permission will be granted for development proposals that conserves and enhances the historic assets of Havant. It also states that application which affect, or have the potential to affect, heritage assets are expected to provide a Heritage Statement that:

1. Describe the significance of the asset and its setting, using appropriate expertise and where necessary original survey, at a level of detail proportionate to its significance and sufficient to understand the potential impact of the proposal.

2. Sets out the impact of the development on the heritage assets and mitigation that is proportionate to the impact and the significance of the heritage asset, including where possible positive opportunities to conserve and enjoy heritage assets.

Assessment

A heritage statement has been submitted with the application which sets out the position of the property within the Old Bedhampton Conservation Area. The proposals relate to extensions to the side (east) and rear of the property. The rear element would comprise the demolition of an existing conservatory and the erection of a flat roof extension, with roof light windows. The side extension would feature pitched roof and would include a new bay window to replace the existing garage door.

The proposals are considered to be subservient in scale and would utilise matching materials to the existing house. Therefore, it is considered that the proposals would not result in harm to the significance of the conservation area, nor the setting of the Manor House which lies to the south of the front elevation of the application site.

Conclusion

Overall, it is considered that the proposals would not result in harm to the designated heritage assets and is therefore considered to meet the tests set out in S16, S66 and S72 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 ("1990 Act"), as well as being compliant with the relevant policies in the NPPF and adopted Local Plan.

NO OBJECTION