

Selina,

To my mind, the wording of c.6 is as a compliance condition which does not require express discharge – it's trigger clause (for approval of something) is the need for piling, and it only restricts the developer in constructing foundations where they are to be piled – the foundation design submitted demonstrates that the development is deliverable without piling, so there should be no need to seek discharge.

c.8 does however restrict commencement, so does need to be addressed. I have reviewed the c.8 submissions, and the short version is that they are of insufficient scope to allow us to recommend discharge of the PtC clause. Recommendation is ultimately for refusal to discharge c.8 in part or in full – essentially in line with the conclusions of the submitted report. See attached for details, some advice/guidance for the applicant on how we view the SI results, and what we are looking for in terms of a scheme of remediation/risk mitigation.

I trust that this is sufficient for the current application.

Best Regards,

Jon

Jonathan Driver

Environmental Control Officer