

From: Aplin-Haynes, Louis
Sent: Monday, March 9, 2026 8:16 AM
To: Wells, Lesley
Cc: Osborne, Sophie (Universal Services)
Subject: RE: Various BT Hubs applications

Hi Lesley,

Apologies for the delay. We have reviewed each BT Hub application to establish whether we would have defensible reasons to object to them on the basis that they are a highway obstruction. The four I initially responded to have been re-reviewed and I have provided the following comments.

APP/25/00827 5 Queens Parade, London Road, Waterlooville, PO7 7EB – This BT Hub will be replacing the existing BT Phone Kiosk which is located roughly in the centre of the street. There is no highway reason for refusal given this BT Hub would not create a significant obstruction to pedestrians as this is located within a pedestrianised area and there is sufficient space either side to walk therefore not providing an obstruction.

APP/25/00833 250 London Road, Waterlooville, PO7 7HG – This BT Hub would not be replacing the exact location of the existing BT Phone Kiosk, as it is located slightly northwest of the BT Phone Kiosk. The BT Hub is slightly wider than the kiosk but provided it is in centre of the high street and is close to benches which pedestrians would walk around regardless, we wouldn't consider this a significant obstruction. Therefore, there are no highway reasons for refusal as the Highway Authority believe this location will cause minimal obstruction to pedestrians.

APP/25/00828 15 Market Parade, Havant, PO9 1PY – This BT Hub is replacing the exact location of an existing BT Phone Kiosk in an area which isn't likely to be an obstruction to pedestrians. With this in mind, we would have no highway grounds to refuse this proposal as we believe it has been located in a suitable position which would not provide a significant obstruction to pedestrians.

APP/25/00826 93 London Road, Waterlooville, PO7 7DS – Lastly, this BT Hub will be replacing the exact location of a BT Phone Kiosk. The Highway Authority consider this

BT Hub to be poorly located and could be relocated to the rear of the central benched area where footfall will be low. However, it is conceded that this is a pedestrianised precinct and ultimately would not pose a significant safety issue or obstruction and therefore there are no highway grounds for refusal.

Ultimately, there are no highway grounds for refusal on any of these BT hub applications. We would however request that the following informative is placed on all these applications:

Subsequent to any planning permission that may be forthcoming from Havant Borough Council as Local Planning Authority, a secondary permission will also be required from HCC as Local Highway Authority to undertake the implementation works for the BT Hub on the public highway. This will need to be undertaken via a S50 agreement, details of which can be found via the following web address –

<https://www.hants.gov.uk/transport/licencesandpermits/roadopening>.

Is this email sufficient to remove our holding objections to these applications or would you require formal responses?

Thank you.

Kind regards,

Louis Aplin-Haynes

Assistant Transport Planner - Highways Development Planning

Universal Services