Hello David
Further to our discussion last month I apologise that I forgot you had asked me to forward you the dates that I took those photos of the site so am doing so now (please see below).

Further to my previous communications I note that subsequent comments and reports from agencies and consultees refer to guidance including light measurements with which I am not familiar but I would urge officers to consider that the very worst of the obtrusive light comes from the strip lighting. This completely surrounds the forecourt canopy (over 120m in length) in a double row and also runs up each side of the totem pole. Any reduction in the strength of these lights cannot address the glare and spillage they create as this radiates outwards with no way of controlling the direction. My photos indicate the how obtrusive the green light is but do not capture the uncomfortable glare they emit, which is dazzling.
Furthermore, photos which have now been submitted by applicant actually confirm this proposal is not like for like as suggested and in any case - was permission ever gained for what was in place before? There do not appear to have been previous and relevant applications.
As I understand this proposal has been given a red card, I would be grateful to know when it will go before committee.
Kind regards

[redacted]

30/06/25 2345hrs

16/12/25 1955hrs