

Morning Amy ,

I am writing further to my previous objection following the submission of revised drawings.

I note that the plans now correctly identify [REDACTED] and that a section of acoustic fencing is now shown along part of our boundary. Whilst we appreciate these amendments, they do not resolve our concerns, and **all of the objections and representations made in our previous correspondence remain fully maintained.**

Our main concerns regarding the proposed 2m-high fencing also remain. Our garden is only approximately **17 feet wide**, and this boundary is on the sunrise side of our garden. Introducing a substantial high fence along this boundary would therefore have a significant impact on the amount of natural light reaching our garden and would materially change its existing open character.

We have not chosen to introduce this high boundary ourselves; it is a consequence of the proposed development. We therefore ask the Council to consider carefully the effect of this fencing on our property and garden, rather than simply treating it as mitigation for the development.

If, despite our objections, the Council determines that the development should proceed and considers acoustic fencing necessary, we ask that consideration be given to extending it along the **entire affected boundary**, rather than leaving a section of high fencing in the middle of our existing boundary. If such a substantial change is necessary, a consistent boundary treatment would at least provide a more uniform appearance from our side.

We also remain concerned about the condition of the existing fencing at the far end of our garden. The decking around the existing mobile home is considerably higher than our approximately 12-inch gravel boards and is fixed to our fencing. This has contributed to the deterioration and rotting of our fence. The revised plans appear to retain this section of fencing, despite the proposed removal of the decking and kennels. We are concerned that the removal works and subsequent construction could further compromise this already weakened section.

If the application is approved, we therefore ask that any new acoustic fencing adjoining our property is installed **before demolition, removal of the existing decking and kennels, excavation or construction works begin**. Ideally, it should be installed against the existing boundary so that our property is protected from the outset. This would also allow us to decide, in our own time, whether we wish to remove or alter our existing fencing and hedging, rather than having our boundary exposed during the construction process.

We also ask that the Council considers the other matters raised in our previous objections, including the impact on privacy, outlook and residential amenity, drainage and ground levels, the mature oak tree, and the significant increase in vehicle movements, parking and construction activity immediately alongside our garden.

For the avoidance of doubt, **this remains an objection to application APP/26/00585 as currently proposed.** The amendments to the drawings do not alter our fundamental concerns about the development and its impact 

We respectfully ask that these matters are fully considered before any decision is made.

Yours faithfully,

