Hampshire County Council

Universal Services
The Castle
Winchester, Hampshire SO23 8UD

Telephone 0300 555 1375
Fax 01962 847055
www.hants.gov.uk

Havant Borough Council
Civic Offices
Civic Centre Road
Havant
PO9 2AX

Enquiries to	Sophie Osborne	My reference	062048
Direct Line	N/A	Your reference	APP/26/00165
Date	20 October 2026	Email	havantdc@hants.gov.uk

For attention of Ms K Taylor

Dear Kayleigh,

Re: APP/26/00165 At Grainger Development Site Land West of, London Road, Waterlooville

Request to Discharge Conditions 6 ii) - a) Layout of Roads and Footpaths, - b) Levels, d) Drainage including foul and SUDS, i) Hard Surfacing, j) Parking, l) Street Lighting, m) External Lighting, 11 (CEMP) & 18 (Drainage Scheme), relating to Phase 4 of outline planning application APP/10/00828 (Berewood Southern Access Junction).

Thank you for your re-consultation on the above planning application. In response to previous comments dated 21 August 2026 a revised CEMP Rev 04 dated 09 October 2026 has been submitted to discharge condition 11 of the outline planning consent APP/10/00828. No further information has been submitted at this time to satisfy the requirements of condition 6 i) ii) a) b) d) j) l) m) and 18. The Highway Authority have reviewed this document and have the following comments to make.

Section 4.3 Parking of Construction Personnel and Visitors Vehicles:
The refinement to the wording regarding the identified overspill parking area being the only approved parking area outside of the site compound is considered acceptable.

Section 4.4 Access and Egress from site – Deliveries, Site Personnel and Visitors:
It is unclear whether access via Purbrook Heath Road is being pursued as no further narrative has been provided on this matter. Should this be an option,

the previous comments raised within the formal response dated 21 August 2026 remain to be addressed.

The wording has been updated to prohibit access to the site via Milk Lane with direct access confirmed to either be via Marrelsmoor Avenue or Phase 5 infrastructure. This is welcomed however, no wider routing plan for construction vehicles remains to be provided and this is necessary to be included so the approved construction vehicle routing for all contractors and delivery vehicle movements is clear.

A commitment has also been provided to confirm that suitable sections of the Phase 4 Infrastructure Road are to be constructed and surfaced to accommodate construction traffic and prevent spread of mud and debris onto the highway network. This is accepted.

The CEMP confirms that any signage on the highway is to be installed only following the provision of relevant licences and permits. This is accepted.

4.5 Deliveries, Loading and Unloading of Plant and Materials and Storage:
Narrative has been provided confirming provision of a delivery booking system. Specific delivery time slots are to be allocated to suppliers to prevent congestion and ensure that all loading and unloading can occur within the site compound. Vehicle arriving ahead of their timeslot is to be instructed to wait before approaching the site. This method of scheduling is considered acceptable.

The swept path analysis provided within drawing 26895-MCL-XX-EW-GA-CE-200 Rev P1 confirms that suitable space is available within the site for an HGV to manoeuvre, access and egress in forward gear. A commitment has been provided to confirm that any reversing onto the public highway will not be acceptable. This is noted.

4.7 Pedestrians and cyclists:
A trained banksman or traffic marshal is to be provided to manage any interaction with pedestrians and cyclists whilst works are taking place if required. This is acceptable and ensures the safety of all users during construction.

4.8 Wheel Washing Facilities:
The refined narrative regarding wheel washing and road sweeper facilities is considered acceptable. Whilst the specific location of the wheel wash is not annotated on a drawing the narrative within the CEMP is considered sufficient.

Recommendations:
Having regard to the above further information is requested with regard to the use of Purbrook Heath Road for an alternative construction route and provision of a construction traffic routing plan before a formal recommendation can be given on the discharge of condition 11.

I trust that the above is clear but please do not hesitate to contact Sophie Osborne on the above contact details should further clarification be required.

Yours faithfully,

Sophie Osborne
Senior Transport Planner - Highway Development Planning
Universal Services